

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, Bachelor of History Education Study Program

Document Code

UNES	A										
			SEM	ESTER L	EARNII	NG I	PLAN	I			
Courses		CODE	Co	ourse Family	•	Credit Weight		SEMESTER	Compilation Date		
Sej. International Relations			872010217	2		-	T=2 P=0	ECTS=3.18	0	July 18, 2024	
AUTHOR	RIZAT	ION	SP Develo	SP Developer		Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator		
									Dr. Wisnu, M.Hum.		
Learning model	)	Case Studies	1		<u> </u>						
Program	n	PLO study program that is charged to the course									
Learning Outcom	g ies	Program Objectives (PO)									
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix									
	_	P.O									
		PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)									
			P.O								
				2 3 4 5	6 7	8 !	9 10	11   12	13   14	15 16	
Short Course Descript									evelopment of tional relations		
Referen	ces	Main :									
<ol> <li>Iva Rachmawati. 2012. Memahami Perkembangan Studi Hubungan Internasional . Yogyakarta: Aswaja Pressir</li> <li>Aria TM. Wibisono. 2010. Political Elites and Foreign Policy; Democratization in Indonesia. Jakarta: UI Press.</li> <li>Chusnul Mar 19iyah. 2005. Indonesia-Autralia; Tantangan dan Kesempatan dalam Hubungan Politik Bilatera I. All, PPS FISIP UI dan Granit.</li> <li>Hans J. Morgenthau. 2010. Politik Antarbangsa. Jakarta: Yayasan Obor.</li> <li>Robert Jackson &amp; Georg Sorensen. 2009. Pengantar Studi Hubungan Internasional. Jakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.</li> </ol>								ess. tera I. Jakarta:			
		Supporters:									
Support lecturer	ting	Drs. Agus Trilaks THOMAS NUGR									
Week-	eac	nal abilities of ch learning age ub-PO)	Eva	Evaluation		Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)		
	(Sul		Indicator	Criteria & For	m Offlin		Online	( online )	1		
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			(6)	(7)	(8)	

1	Students are able to understand several approaches to the Political Study of International Relations	approach to the Political Study of International Relations	Criteria: presentation completeness	lecture, discussion 2 X 50		0%
2	Students are able to understand and identify the historical characteristics of the international relations system during the Chou dynasty, Greek city states and the Renaissance period in Italy	History of the International System	Criteria: completeness	presentation discussion 2 X 50		0%
3	Students are able to understand and identify the historical characteristics of international relations during the 18th century Europe, the 19th century state system, and the contemporary global system	historical characteristics of international relations during the 18th century Europe, the 19th century state system, and the contemporary global system	Criteria: presentation completeness	lecture, discussion 2 X 50		0%
4	Students are able to understand the foreign policy orientation and national role of a country	foreign policy orientation and national role of a country	Criteria: presentation completeness	discussion lecture 2 X 50		0%
5	Students are able to understand the objectives of foreign policy	objectives of foreign policy	Criteria: presentation completeness	lecture, discussion 2 X 50		0%
6	Students are able to understand aspects of strength, capability and influence of a country's actions in its foreign policy	Foreign policy actions: power, capabilities, and influence	Criteria: presentation completeness	lecture, discussion 2 X 50		0%
7	Students are able to understand foreign policy (diplomacy) instruments: institutions, rules and personnel as well as the functions and objectives of diplomacy	Foreign policy instruments: Diplomatic negotiations	Criteria: presentation completeness	2 X 50		0%
8	Midterm exam		Criteria: accuracy of answering questions	2 X 50		0%
9	Students are able to understand the meaning of propaganda, propaganda targets, impacts and propaganda techniques	definition of propaganda, targets of propaganda, impacts and techniques of propaganda	Criteria: presentation completeness	lecture, discussion 2 X 50		0%
10	Students are able to understand economic policy in international relations; embargoes, policy wars, and economic aid as instruments of international economic policy	economic policy in international relations; embargoes, policy wars, and economic aid as instruments of international economic policy	Criteria: presentation completeness	discussion lecture 2 X 50		0%

11	Students are able to understand aspects of liberalism in relations between countries: international liberalism, institutional liberalism and international neoliberalism	aspects of liberalism in relations between countries: international liberalism, institutional liberalism and international neo-liberalism	Criteria: presentation completeness	lecture, discussion 2 X 50		0%
12	Students understand the influence of Marxism in international relations: the basic elements of Marx's thought, world systems theory and dependency theory	basic elements of Marx's thought, world systems theory and dependency theory	Criteria: presentation completeness	lecture, discussion 2 X 50		0%
13	Students understand international relations approaches related to environmental perspectives	the international relations approach is related to the environmental perspective	Criteria: presentation completeness	discussion lecture 2 X 50		0%
14	Students understand the history of foreign policy of the Republic of Indonesia and the implementation of foreign policy	foreign policy of the Republic of Indonesia and the implementation of foreign policy	Criteria: presentation completeness	lecture, discussion 2 X 50		0%
15	Students understand the history of foreign policy of the Republic of Indonesia and the implementation of foreign policy	foreign policy of the Republic of Indonesia and the implementation of foreign policy	Criteria: presentation completeness	lecture, discussion 2 X 50		0%
16	Final exams		Criteria: accuracy of answering questions	2 X 50		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

	Percentage	р. О	
	0%		

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
  and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.

 $12. \ \mathsf{TM}\text{=}\mathsf{Face} \ \mathsf{to} \ \mathsf{face}, \ \mathsf{PT}\text{=}\mathsf{Structured} \ \mathsf{assignments}, \ \mathsf{BM}\text{=}\mathsf{Independent} \ \mathsf{study}.$