

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education

Document Code

UNES	A A	Unde	rgrad	duate G	Suidance	e and	Cou	nseli	ng S	Stud	dy Pro	gr	am	1	
				SEM	IESTER	RLE	ARN	ING	PL	AN					
Courses				CODE		Course	e Family	,	Cred	lit We	ight		SEM	IESTER	Compilation Date
Group co	onseli	ng		862010203	6				T=2	P=0	ECTS=3	.18		5	July 17, 2024
AUTHOR	RIZATI	ON		SP Develor	oer			Cours	e Clus	ter Co	ordinato	r		dy Progi rdinatoi	
													Dr.		ningsih, S.Pd., I.Pd.
Learning model	3	Project Based L	earning	J											
Program		PLO study pro	gram tl	hat is char	ged to the c	ourse									
Learning		Program Objec	tives (	PO)											
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix													
				P.O											
		PO Matrix at th	e end	of each lea	ırning stage	(Sub-P	0)								
Chart		Ctudente meeter	P.	1 2	2 3 4		6 7	8		10 stives	11 12		13		15 16
Short Course Descript		Students master of group guidan participants or gro	ce, type	es, group o	guidance tech	ıniques,	can des	sign a	group	guidai	nce progi	ram	acco	rding to	the needs of
Referen	ces	Main :													
		<ol> <li>Corey, Company</li> <li>Nursalim</li> <li>Nursalim</li> <li>Prayitno.</li> <li>Jacobs, Brooks/C</li> </ol>	6. 2010 y. , Moch, , Moch 2004. I E.E., H	dan Suradi dan Retno H LayananBim Harvill, R.L., Dlishing Con	. 2002. Layana Hariastuti. 200 IbinganKelomp , & Masson, npany.	nf Couns an BK. S 7. Konse pokdanL R.L. 19	eling ar turabaya elingKelo ayanank 94. Grou	: Unesa mpok . : (onselin p Cou	nothera Unive Suraba gKelon nseling	apy(ter rsity P aya: Ur npok. I g . Stra	nthed). Ca ress nesa Univ Padang : ategies &	versit UNP Ski	nia: E y Pre P. ills (s	Brooks/C	Company. Cole Publishing ed.). California: int of Prentice-
		Supporters:													
Support lecturer	9	Dr. Retno Tri Har Dr. Denok Setiaw Muhammad Fario	ati, M.F	d., Kons.											
Week-	each stag			Eva	luation			Lear Stude	elp Lea ning m nt Ass stimate	nethod	ds, ents,		ma	arning iterials [ erences	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub	)-PO)	Inc	dicator	Criteria &	Form		ine ( ine )	0	nline	( online )			1	

(3)

(1)

(2)

(4)

(6)

(7)

(8)

	1		Ι	1	Γ	1	
1	Students understand various aspects related to the lecture process, including explanations of RPS, lecture approaches and evaluation systems. A learning social contract is also implemented by accommodating suggestions and input as well as students' learning needs. In addition, students are given the opportunity to convey their impressions and perceptions about counselor competence as entry behavior in the lecture process.	1.Students understand the learning contract 2.Convey their impressions and perceptions about counselor competence as entry behavior in the lecture process.	Criteria: For the written test, full marks are obtained if you do all the questions correctly.	direct learning 2 X 50			0%
2	Students understand the nature of group guidance and counseling	Students are able to explain the nature of group guidance and counseling	Criteria: Students will get a perfect score if they are able to answer all the questions	Definition, Components of group guidance, Principles of group guidance, advantages and disadvantages 4 X 50			0%
3	Students understand the nature of group guidance and counseling	Students are able to explain the nature of group guidance and counseling	Criteria: Students will get a perfect score if they are able to answer all the questions	Definition, Components of group guidance, Principles of group guidance, advantages and disadvantages 4 X 50			0%
4	Students understand the comparison of group guidance and counseling as well as the types of group guidance	Students can compare types of group guidance	Criteria: Students will get a perfect score if they are able to answer all questions well	cooperative learning 2 X 50			0%
5	Students understand the comparison of types of group guidance	Students can compare group guidance on free topics and assignment topics	Criteria: Students will get a perfect score if they are able to do their assignments well	problem- based learning, experience- based learning 2 X 50			0%
6	Students understand the techniques in group guidance. Students understand group discussion techniques	Students are able to analyze the advantages and disadvantages of group discussion techniques	Criteria: The more complete the components explained and in accordance with the scenario created, the better the score	problem- based learning and experience- based learning 2 X 50			0%
7	Students analyze the advantages and disadvantages of homeroom engineering group guidance	Students understand the nature of homeroom engineering. Students analyze the advantages and disadvantages of homeroom engineering group guidance	Criteria: The more complete the components explained and in accordance with the scenario created, the better the score	cooperative learning, problem- based learning 2 X 50			0%
8	SUB SUMATIVE EXAMINATION	able to answer all questions well		2 X 50			0%

9	Students	Students can	Criteria:	problem			0%
-	understand and are skilled at sociodrama and psychodrama techniques	analyze the suitability of the steps in the video according to theory	A perfect score if students are able to practice group discussions according to the scenario and are able to make good written reports	based learning, 2 X 50 experiences			
10	Students understand and are skilled in the techniques of sociodrama, psychodrama, field trips, games and in group guidance	Students can explain and be skilled in the techniques of sociodrama, psychodrama, field trips, games and in group guidance	Criteria: The more appropriate the scenario created, the more perfect the value	10 X 50 experience- based learning			0%
11	Students understand and are skilled in the techniques of sociodrama, psychodrama, field trips, games and in group guidance	Students can explain and be skilled in the techniques of sociodrama, psychodrama, field trips, games and in group guidance	Criteria: The more appropriate the scenario created, the more perfect the value	10 X 50 experience- based learning			0%
12	Students understand and are skilled in the techniques of sociodrama, psychodrama, field trips, games and in group guidance	Students can explain and be skilled in the techniques of sociodrama, psychodrama, field trips, games and in group guidance	Criteria: The more appropriate the scenario created, the more perfect the value	10 X 50 experience- based learning			0%
13	Students understand and are skilled in the techniques of sociodrama, psychodrama, field trips, games and in group guidance	Students can explain and be skilled in the techniques of sociodrama, psychodrama, field trips, games and in group guidance	Criteria: The more appropriate the scenario created, the more perfect the value	10 X 50 experience- based learning			0%
14	Students understand and are skilled in the techniques of sociodrama, psychodrama, field trips, games and in group guidance	Students can explain and be skilled in the techniques of sociodrama, psychodrama, field trips, games and in group guidance	Criteria: The more appropriate the scenario created, the more perfect the value	10 X 50 experience- based learning			0%
15	Students understand and are skilled at techniques in group guidance group work	Students are able to explain and practice techniques in group guidance group work	Criteria: perfect score if students can practice according to the scenario created	experience- based learning 2 X 50			0%
16	summative exam			2 X 50			0%
			l	1	I	l	

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
  and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.

- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.

  12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.