

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education Undergraduate Guidance and Counseling Study Program

Document Code

Courses		CODE	CODE		(Course	Family			Credit	Weig	ht	SE	SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Evaluation & Supervision of Guidance and Counseling		862010205	8620102057		(Compulsory Study Program Subjects		1	T=2 F	P=0 E	ECTS=3.1	.8	4	February 5, 2022	
AUTHORIZATION		SP Develo	per					Co	urse	Cluste	r Coo	rdinator		Study Program Coordinator	
		Bambang [Dibyo	Wiyond	o, S.Pd.	., M.Pd		Pro	of. Dr.	Budi F	Purwol	ko, M.Pd.		Or. Evi Win	ingsih, S.Pd., .Pd.
Learning model	Project Based L	earning						ı							
Program	PLO study pro	gram which is cl	harge	ed to th	he cou	rse									
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-5	Able to design and methods	l carry	out res	search	based c	n scier	ntific pi	rincipl	es and	ethics	s using qu	ıalitati	ve and qua	ıntitative
	PLO-11	Able to manage guidance and counseling services in various contexts and report the results to related parties using information and communication technology													
	Program Object	Program Objectives (PO)													
	PO - 1	PO - 1 Able to manage guidance and counseling services in various contexts and report the results to related parties													
	PO - 2 Able to design evaluation research based on scientific principles and ethics using qualitative and quantitative methods														
	PO Matrix at th	at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)													
		P.O				4 -		Ι,	1	Veek	10	44 4	0 4	0 14	45 40
		DO 1	1	2		4 5	6	7	8	9	10	11 1	2 1	.3 14	15 16
		PO-1 PO-2	•		•	/ /	/	•	1	/	/		, ,	/ /	· ·
Short Course Description	procedures, varied development of eresults. BK serv learning. Assess tests. The study evaluation approguidance and control of the study evaluation approach to the study evaluation approach to the study evaluation approach to the study evaluation and control of the study evaluation approach to the study evaluation approach to the study evaluation approach to the study evaluation and control of the study evaluation approach to the study evalu	ches students to ous approaches or evaluation instrume ices. The learning ment of the succer materials for this ach models 3. basi ounseling programment & present it re	types ents ir proc ss of c cou c con is 5.	s of evan cluding edures this course rse are cepts o definition	aluation g evaluation used to urse is e as foul of evaluation, obj	i, the so ation of to achie seen fr llows: 1 ation an ectives,	cope of the BK eve lea om par defin d types	evaluation evaluation evaluation evaluation, evaluation, evaluation, evaluation evaluation, evaluation	ation fram, to outcome action	in guid he prod mes al ctivities ives, p on 4. p	ance cess ore; pro s, asse orincip roced	and cour of implem oblem-ba essment olles, factoures for ir	seling enting sed le of proj ors tha nplem	, as well a the BK pr arning and ect results at influence enting eval	s practicing the ogram, and the ogram, and the dispersion of the project-based, portfolios and evaluation 2 uation planning

- Badrujaman, Aip. 2011. Teori dan Aplikasi Evaluasi Program Bimbingan Konseling. Jakarta: PT Indeks
- Fitzpatrick, Jody L, et.al. 2004. Program Evaluation (Alternative Approaches and Practical Guidelines. Boston: Pearson Education.
- 3. Arikunto, S. & Jabar, C.S.A. 2014. Evaluasi Program Pendidikan. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Gysbers, N. C. & Henderson, P. 2006. Developing and Managing Your School Guidance and Counseling Program (4th Ed.). Alexandria, VA: ACA
- Departemen Pendidikan Nasional. 2007. Penataan Pendidikan Profesional Konselor dan Layanan Bimbingan dan Konseling dalam Jalur Pendidikan Formal. Jakarta: Departemen Pendidikan Nasional.
- Winkel WS & Sri Hastuti. (2005). Bimbingan dan Konseling di Institusi Pendidikan. Yogyakarta: Media Abadi.
- 7. IM, M., & Choi, W. (2023a). A qualitative study on the supervision experience of counseling trainees: Focusing on the experience of the counseling process and the effect on performance. Korean Association For Learner-Centered Curriculum And Instruction, 23(11), 247–261. https://doi.org/10.22251/jlcci.2023.23.11.247.
- Barrio Minton, C. A., Gibson, D. M., Wachter Morris, C. A. (2016). Evaluating Student Learning Outcomes in Counselor Education. Amerika Serikat: Wiley.
- Page, S., Wosket, V. (2013). Supervising the Counsellor: A Cyclical Model. Britania Raya: Taylor & Francis.
- 10. Play Therapy Supervision: A Practical Guide to Models and Best Practices. (2022). Britania Raya: Taylor & Francis.
- 11. Munir, A., Lubis, M. R., & Ginting, R. L. (2019). Design and development of interactive learning media based on contextual teaching learning (CTL) in the subject of Evaluation and Supervision of Guidance and Counseling. Journal of Community Research and Service, 3(1), 1-4.

Supporters:

- 1. Purwoko, B. (2008). Organisasi Manajemen Bimbingan dan Konseling.
- Wiyono, B. D., Nursalim, M., Pratiwi, T. I., & Ilhamuddin, M. F. (2023, March). Evaluation of the Quality of Counseling Services in Improving the Achievement Motivation of Senior High School Students. In International Joint Conference on Arts and Humanities 2022 (IJCAH 2022) (pp. 1027-1038). Atlantis Press.
- 3. Pratiwi, T. I., Hariastuti, R. T., Wiyono, B. D., Farid, M., Dewanata, A. P., & Agustien, D. M. (2023). The implementation of guidance and counselling supervision on teachers in middle school. In Reimagining Innovation in Education and Social Sciences (pp. 40-47). Routledge.
- 4. Purwoko, Budi. Sartinah, Endang Pudjiastuti. Nurismawan, Ach Sudrajad (2022). Pengembangan Buku Manajemen dan Supervisi Bimbingan dan Konseling di Sekolah. Counsellia: Jurnal Bimbingan dan Konseling, 12(1), 48-63. Doi.org/10.25273/counsellia.v12i111197

Supporting lecturer

Dr. Hadi Warsito Wiryosutomo, M.Si., Kons. Dra. Titin Indah Pratiwi, M.Pd.

Prof. Dr. Budi Purwoko, S.Pd., M.Pd. Prof. Dr. Najlatun Naqiyah, M.Pd. Muhammad Farid Ilhamuddin, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evaluation Indicator Criteria & Form		Learı Studer	Ip Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)			Offline (offline)	Online (online)]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	able to explain the meaning, objectives, principles, factors that influence evaluation	1.Accuracy in explaining the meaning of BK evaluation 2.Accuracy in explaining the purpose of the BK evaluation 3.Accuracy in explaining the principles of BK evaluation 4.The provisions explain the factors that influence BK evaluations	Criteria: Scoring Guidelines (Marking Scheme) Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Problem- based learning (case method) Prepare a summary of the meaning, objectives and principles of BK 2 X 50 evaluation	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Basic concepts of evaluation References: Arikunto, S. & Jabar, CSA 2014. Educational Program Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	2%
2	able to explain the meaning, objectives, principles, factors that influence evaluation	1.Accuracy in explaining the meaning of BK evaluation 2.Accuracy in explaining the purpose of the BK evaluation 3.Accuracy in explaining the principles of BK evaluation 4.The provisions explain the factors that influence BK evaluations	Criteria: Scoring Guidelines (Marking Scheme) Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Problem- based learning (case method) Develop a mind map of factors that influence BK 2 X 50 evaluation	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Basic concepts of evaluation References: Arikunto, S. & Jabar, CSA 2014. Educational Program Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	3%

3	able to compare various evaluation approach models	1.The accuracy of differentiating various evaluation approach models 2.Accuracy in describing aspects of each evaluation approach model	Criteria: Rubric Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Group discussion, PBL Prepare 2 X 50 group papers	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Evaluation approach model References: Badrujaman, Aip. 2011. Theory and Application of Counseling Guidance Program Evaluation. Jakarta: PT Index	3%
4	able to compare various evaluation approach models	1.The accuracy of differentiating various evaluation approach models 2.Accuracy in describing aspects of each evaluation approach model	Criteria: Rubric Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Group discussions, PBL Making presentations. 2 X 50	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Evaluation approach model References: Badrujaman, Aip. 2011. Theory and Application of Counseling Guidance Program Evaluation. Jakarta: PT Index	3%
5	able to compare various evaluation approach models	1.The accuracy of differentiating various evaluation approach models 2.Accuracy in describing aspects of each evaluation approach model	Criteria: Rubric Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Group discussions, PBL Making presentations. 2 X 50	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Evaluation approach model References: Badrujaman, Aip. 2011. Theory and Application of Counseling Guidance Program Evaluation. Jakarta: PT Index	3%
6	able to compare various evaluation approach models	1.The accuracy of differentiating various evaluation approach models 2.Accuracy in describing aspects of each evaluation approach model	Criteria: Rubric Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Group discussions, PBL Making presentations. 2 X 50	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Evaluation approach model References: Badrujaman, Aip. 2011. Theory and Application of Counseling Guidance Program Evaluation. Jakarta: PT Index	3%
7	able to compare various evaluation approach models	1.The accuracy of differentiating various evaluation approach models 2.Accuracy in describing aspects of each evaluation approach model	Criteria: Rubric Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Group discussions, PBL Making presentations. 2 X 50	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Evaluation approach model References: Badrujaman, Aip. 2011. Theory and Application of Counseling Guidance Program Evaluation. Jakarta: PT Index	3%

8	Understand the basic concepts of	1.Explain the	Criteria:	Sub	UTS	Material:	20%
	evaluation and types of evaluation	meaning, objectives, principles, factors that influence evaluation 2.Comparing evaluation approaches 3.Explain the procedures for implementing the BK program planning evaluation 4.Explain the procedures for implementing the procedures for implementing the bK program process	Accuracy of answers using the assessment rubric Form of Assessment: Test	Summative Exam (Mid Semester Exam) 2 X 50	2 X 50	Evaluation and Supervision of Guidance and Counseling Literature:	
9	able to explain procedures for implementing evaluation planning for guidance and counseling programs	1.Accuracy in explaining planning evaluation procedures 2.Accuracy in explaining the implementation of planning evaluation	Criteria: Accuracy of answers Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Group discussions, PBL Develop mind mapping for evaluation of BK program planning. 2 X 50	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Evaluation of the results of the BK program Library: Badrujaman, Aip. 2011. Theory and Application of Counseling Guidance Program Evaluation. Jakarta: PT Index	3%
10	able to explain the procedures for implementing the evaluation process of the guidance and counseling program.	1.Accuracy of explaining process evaluation procedures 2.Accuracy in explaining the implementation of process evaluation	Criteria: Rubric Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Group discussion, PBL Develop mind mapping for evaluation of the BK program process. 2 X 50	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: General procedures for evaluating the BK program Library: Badrujaman, Aip. 2011. Theory and Application of Counseling Guidance Program Evaluation. Jakarta: PT Index	3%
11	able to explain procedures for implementing evaluation of guidance and counseling program results	1.Accuracy in explaining results evaluation procedures 2.Accuracy in explaining the implementation of results evaluation	Criteria: Rubric Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Group discussions, PBL Develop mind mapping for evaluating the results of the BK program. 2 X 50	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Concept of BK Supervision Library: Winkel WS & Sri Hastuti. 2005. Guidance and Counseling in Educational Institutions. Yogyakarta: Eternal Media.	3%
12	able to explain the meaning, objectives, principles and models of BK supervision	1.Accuracy in explaining the meaning, objectives and principles of supervision 2.Accuracy in explaining the BK supervision model	Criteria: completeness of the report - analysis results - recommendations Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Group discussion, PBL Compile a 2 X 50 supervision mind map	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Definition, objectives, principles and models of BK Library supervision:	5%

13	able to explain the meaning, objectives, principles and models of BK supervision	1.Accuracy in explaining the meaning, objectives and principles of supervision 2.Accuracy in explaining the BK supervision model	Criteria: completeness of the report - analysis results - recommendations Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Group discussion, PBL Compile a 2 X 50 supervision mind map	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Definition, objectives, principles and models of BK Library supervision:	5%
14	able to design guidance and counseling evaluation instruments & present them responsibly and ethically	1.Accuracy determines the evaluation technique used 2.Accuracy of making evaluation instrument grids	Criteria: Scoring Guidelines Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Group discussion, PBL Develop a 2 X 50 evaluation instrument	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Designing an evaluation instrument Literature:	5%
15	able to design guidance and counseling evaluation instruments & present them responsibly and ethically	1.Accuracy determines the evaluation technique used 2.Accuracy of making evaluation instrument grids	Criteria: Scoring Guidelines Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Group discussion, PBL Develop a 2 X 50 evaluation instrument	eLearning: VINESA 2 X 50	Material: Designing an evaluation instrument Literature:	6%
16	Understand the concept, model and application of evaluation and supervision in guidance and counseling	1.Explain the meaning, objectives, principles, factors that influence evaluation 2.Comparing evaluation approaches 3.Explain the procedures for implementing the BK program planning evaluation 4.Explain the procedures for implementing the evaluation of the BK program process 5.Explain the procedures for implementing evaluation of BK program evaluation of BK program results 6.Explain the general procedures for evaluating the BK program (Pattern 17 and Comprehensive) 7.Explain the meaning, objectives, principles of BK supervision	Criteria: Accuracy of answers using the assessment rubric Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Test	UAS 2 X 50	UAS 2 X 50	Material: Evaluation and Supervision of Guidance and Counseling Literature:	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	4.5%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	56%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	4.5%
4.	Test	35%
		100%

Notes

1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.

- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.