

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts German Literature Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

| | | | | | SEN | ΛES | TEF | R LE | | | IG PI | _AN | I | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Courses | Courses | | | CODE | | | Cou | rse Fa | mily | | | Credit Weight | | SEMESTER | | Comp | Compilation Date | | |
| Uebersetzen | Uebersetzen | | | 7920603243 | | | Compulsory Study Program | | Iram | T=3 P=0 ECTS=4.77 | | 1 | 5 | | May 1, | 2023 | | | |
| AUTHORIZATI | ON | | SP Deve | loper | | | - Sub | jects | | | Course C | luster | Coordin | ator | Stuc | ly Progi | ram Co | ordinato | or |
| | | | Ajeng Dianing Kartika, S.S, M.Ht | | | Hum | um Dr. phil. A M.Hum | | Dr. phil. A M.Hum | Agus Ridwan, S.Pd, | | Dr. Wisma Kurniawati, M.Pr | | M.Pd. | | | | | |
| Learning model | Project Based Learning | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program Learning | PLO study program which is charged to the course | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outcomes (PLO) | PLO-5 | Able to r Framew | naster Ge ork of Refe | rman la erence | anguage (CEFR) | e skills a) level E | and app 31 | ly them | orally a | and i | n writing a | accordii | ng to cor | ntext with a | bilities | equival | ent to C | Common | European |
| | PLO-12 | Able to p | promote to | urist de | estinatio | ons and | produc | ts both | orally a | nd in | writing in | i Germa | an throug | gh the use | of digi | tal appli | cations. | | |
| | Program Object | tives (PC | C) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 1 | Students | are able t | to trans | late tex | ts from | Germa | n to Inc | lonesiar | ı | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 2 | Students | are able t | to trans | late tex | ts from | Indone | sian to | Germar | ı | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 3 | Students | are able t | to analy | /ze the | results | of Gern | nan-Ind | onesiar | n and | Indonesi | an-Ger | man tran | slations | | | | | |
| | PO - 4 | Students | s are able t | to unde | rstand | translat | ion pro | cedures | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at th | e end of | P.O | rning : | PLO-5 | | | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Week 9 | | | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Short Course Description | Providing the abi Assessment of th | | | | | | | | | | | | | | clude | 50 theor | y and § | 50 practi | cal activitie |
| References | Main : 1. Freeman, Henry G. 2003. Wörterbuch technischer Begriffe mit 6500 Definitionen nach D Deutsch und Englisch. 5. Aufl. Berlin u.a.: Beuth. 2. Görner, Horst. 2001. Langenscheidts Fachwörterbuch kompakt Technik und angewandte Wissenschaften. Englisch-Deutsch, Deutsch- Englisch. u.a.: Langenscheidt. 3. Horn-Helf, Brigitte. 1999. Technisches &Uebersetzen in Theorie und Praxis. Tuebingen/Basel: Francke. 4. Katan, David. 1999. Translating Cultures: An Introduction for Translators, Interpreters and Mediators. Manchester: St. Jerome. 5. Ludwig, Heidrun. 1999. Methoden und Ziele der Fachtextlinguistik: eine Bestandsaufnahme am Beispiel englischsprachiger natur wissenschaft Abstracts. (Diss. Universität Marburg). Marburg: Tectum. 6. Prunc, Erich. 2000. Einfuhrung in die Translationswissenschaft, Band 1. Orientierungsrahmen, Universitaet Graz, Graz Druckwerk. 7. Reiss, K./Vermeer, H., J. 1991. Grundlegung einer allgemeinen Translationstheorie: 2.A. udflage, Max Niemeyer Verlag, Tübingen. 8. Kußmaul, Paul. 2010. Verstehen und Übersetzen. Ein Lehr- und Arbeitsbuch. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag 9. Radegundis, Stolze. 2005. Uebersetzungstheorien. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag 10. Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation Studies. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company Supporters: 1. http://www.translationconcepts.org/pdf/translationstheorien.pdf | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Supporting lecturer | Dr. phil. Agus Ric Yunanfathur Rah Ajeng Dianing Ka | man, S.S. | ., M.A. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Week | Final abilities of each Evaluation | | tion | Learning Student A | earning, J methods, ssignments, ated time] | Learning materials [References] | Assessment Weight (%) |
|------|--|---|--|---|---|--|--------------------------|
| | (505-10) | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline (offline) | Online (online) | | |
| (1) | (2) 1.Students are able to understand translation procedures 2.Students are able to understand | (3) 1.Know the definition of translating 2.Understanding the realm of translation | (4) Criteria: 1.1 active sehr 2.2 active 3.3 active weniger 4.4 passive | (5) Lectures, discussions, analysis and case studies 2 X 50 | (6) | (7) Material: Translation steps Reference: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall | (8) 2% |
| | transposition translation procedures 3.Students are able to understand modulation translation procedures | | Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | | | Material: Transposition translation procedures References: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall | |
| | | | | | | Material: Modulation translation procedures References: Horn-Helf, Brigitte. 1999. Technisches &Uebersetzen in Theorie und Praxis. Tuebingen/Basel: Francke. | |
| 2 | 1.Students are able to understand translation procedures 2.Students are able to understand | Students are able to apply transposition translation procedures Students are able to apply modulation | Criteria: 1.1 active sehr 2.2 active 3.3 active weniger 4.4 passive Form of Assessment : | Lectures, discussions, analysis and case studies 2 X 50 | | Material: Translation steps Reference: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall | 5% |
| | transposition translation procedures 3.Students are able to understand modulation translation procedures | procedures 3.Students are able to 3.Students are able to analyze transposition understand and modulation modulation translation translation methods | Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | | | Material: Transposition translation procedures References: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall | |
| | | | | | | Material: Modulation translation procedures References: Horn-Helf, Brigitte. 1999. Technisches &Uebersetzen in Theorie und Praxis. Tuebingen/Basel: Francke. | |
| 3 | Using the correct meaning and significance of words based on context through the use of the Ekabahsa Dictionary | Students are able to understand adaptation translation procedures Students are able to understand contextual translation | Criteria: 1.1 active sehr 2.2 active 3.3 active weniger Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, discussions, analysis and case studies 2 X 50 | | Material: Steps to translating adaptations References: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall | 2% |
| | | procedures | | | | Material: Steps to contextual translation References: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall | |
| | | | | | | Material: Context-based translation References: Radegundis, Stolze. 2005. Uebersetzungstheorien. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag | |
| 4 | Using the correct meaning and significance of words based on context through the use of the Ekabahsa Dictionary | Students are able to understand adaptation translation procedures Students are able to understand contextual translation | Criteria: 1.1 active sehr 2.2 active 3.3 active weniger 4.4 passive Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, | Lectures, discussions, analysis and case studies 2 X 50 | | Material: Steps to translating adaptations References: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall Material: Steps to | 5% |
| | | and contextual brocedures 3.Students are able to analyze adaptation and contextual translation methods | | | | contextual translation References: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall | |
| | | | | | | Material: Context-based translation References: Radegundis, Stolze. 2005. Uebersetzungstheorien. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag | |

| 5 | Understand idiom translation procedures Understand free translation procedures Understand the communicative translation process | Students are able to understand idiom translation procedures Students are able to understand the free translation procedure | Criteria: 1 active sehr Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | lectures and discussions 2 X 50 | Material: Procedure for translating idioms References: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall Material: Translation of German idioms References: Kußmaul, Paul. 2010. Verstehen und Übersetzen. Ein Lehr- und Arbeitsbuch. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag Material: Communicative translation procedures References: Prunc, Erich. 2000. Einfuhrung in die Translationswissenschaft, Band 1. Orientierungsrahmen, Universitaet Graz, Graz Druckwerk. | 2% |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|----|
| 6 | Understand idiom translation procedures Understand free translation procedures Understand the communicative translation process | Students are able to understand idiom translation procedures Students are able to understand the free translation procedure | Criteria: 1.80-90 able to practice translation procedures with 80-90% accuracy 2.70-79 able to practice translation procedures with 70% accuracy 3.60-69 able to practice translation procedures with 50-60% accuracy Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | Lectures, Discussions and Practices 2 X 50 | Material: Procedure for translating idioms References: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall Material: Translation of German idioms References: Kußmaul, Paul. 2010. Verstehen und Übersetzen. Ein Lehr- und Arbeitsbuch. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag Material: Communicative translation procedures References: Prunc, Erich. 2000. Einfuhrung in die Translationswissenschaft, Band 1. Orientierungsrahmen, Universitaet Graz, Graz Druckwerk. Material: Free translation procedures References: Katan, David. 1999. Translating Cultures: An Introduction for Translators, Interpreters and Mediators. Manchester: St. Jerome. | 5% |
| 7 | Students are able to mention Schreiber's translation techniques Students are able to understand the lexicalische Entlehnung translation technique Students are able to understand the translation technique of Lexicalische Ersetzung | Students are able to mention various Schreiber translation techniques Students are able to understand the lexicalische Entlehnung translation technique Students are able to understand the translation technique of Lexicalische Ersetzung | Criteria: 1.85 analysis results based on theory and accompanied by appropriate explanations 2.75 analysis results based on theory and accompanied by explanations, but less detailed 3.60 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Lectures and group discussions 2 X 50 | Material: Screiber Translation Techniques References: Radegundis, Stolze. 2005. Uebersetzungstheorien. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag Material: Translation Techniques lexikalische Entlehnung, lexiklische Ersetzung, and lexikalische Sistemwechsel References: Snell- Hornby, Marie. 2006. The Turns of Translation Studies. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company | 2% |
| 8 | Students are able to mention Schreiber's translation techniques Students are able to understand the lexicalische Entlehnung translation technique Students are able to understand the translation technique of Lexicalische Ersetzung | Mention various Schreiber translation techniques Practicing the lexicalische Entlehnung translation technique Practicing the lexicalische Ersetzung translation technique Practicing the translation technique of lexicalische Sistemwechsel | Criteria: 1.90-95 able to practice translation techniques with 80-90% accuracy 2.80-89 is able to implement translation techniques with 70-80% accuracy 3.70-79 able to practice translation techniques with 50-60% accuracy 4.60-69 able to practice translation techniques with accuracy below 50% Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance | Lectures and group discussions 2 X 50 | Material: Screiber Translation Techniques References: Radegundis, Stolze. 2005. Uebersetzungstheorien. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag Material: Translation Techniques lexikalische Entlehnung, lexiklische Ersetzung, and lexikalische Sistemwechsel References: Snell- Hornby, Marie. 2006. The Turns of Translation Studies. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company | 5% |

| 9 | UTS | UTS | Criteria: 1.90-95 translation portfolio results reach 90% accuracy 2.80-89 translation portfolio results accuracy reaches 80% 3.70-79 translation portfolio results accuracy reaches 70% 4.60-69 translation portfolio results accuracy reaches 60% 5.50-59 translation portfolio results accuracy reaches 50% 6.40-49 translation portfolio results accuracy translation portfolio results accuracy below 50% Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Preparation of a 2 X 50 Portfolio | Material: Translation Procedures Bibliography: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall Material: Translation Techniques Literature: Snell-Hornby, Marie. 2006. The Turns of Translation Studies. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company | 15% |
|----|--|---|--|---|---|-----|
| 10 | Students are able to understand the Wort- für-Wort-Übersetzung translation technique Students are able to understand the Permutation translation technique Students are able to understand Expansion translation techniques | Able to understand the Wort-für-Wort- Ubersetzung translation technique Able to understand Permutation translation techniques Able to understand Expansion translation techniques | Criteria: 1.80-90 active in discussions, able to ask questions and respond relevantly 2.80-89 active in discussions, able to ask questions but unable to respond relevantly 3.70-79 active in discussions, but unable to ask questions and respond relevantly | Lectures and Group Discussions 2 X 50 | Material: Wort-für-Wort- Ubersetzung Translation Techniques, Permutation, and Expansion Library: Snell-Hornby, Marie. 2006. The Turns of Translation Studies. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company Material: Wort-für-Wort- Ubersetzung Translation Techniques References: Radegundis, Stolze. 2005. Uebersetzungstheorien. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag | 2% |
| 11 | Students are able to understand the Wort- für-Wort-Ubersetzung translation technique Students are able to understand the Permutation translation technique Students are able to understand Expansion translation techniques | Able to understand the Wort-für-Wort- Ubersetzung translation technique Able to understand Permutation translation techniques Able to understand Expansion translation techniques | Criteria: 1.80-90 able to apply translation techniques with 80-90% accuracy 2.80-89 able to apply translation techniques with 70-80% accuracy 3.70-79 able to apply translation techniques with 60-70% accuracy 4.60-69 able to apply translation techniques with accuracy below 50% Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | Lectures and Group Discussions 2 X 50 | Material: Wort-für-Wort- Ubersetzung Translation Techniques, Permutation, and Expansion Library: Snell-Hornby, Marie. 2006. The Turns of Translation Studies. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company Material: Wort-für-Wort- Ubersetzung Translation Techniques References: Radegundis, Stolze. 2005. Uebersetzungstheorien. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag | 3% |
| 12 | Students are able to understand Wechsel's intracategory translation technique Students are able to understand Modulation translation techniques Students are able to understand Explication/Implication translation techniques | able to understand Wechsel's intracategory translation technique able to understand Modulation translation techniques able to understand Explication/Implication translation techniques | 2.70-79 active in discussions, able | Lectures and group discussions 2 X 50 | Material: Modulation and Explication Translation Techniques References: Snell- Hornby, Marie. 2006. The Turns of Translation Studies. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company Material: Wechsel's intracategorical in translation References: Kußmaul, Paul. 2010. Verstehen und Übersetzen. Ein Lehr- und Arbeitsbuch. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag | 2% |

| 13 | Students are able to practice the Wechsel Intracategorical translation technique Students are able to practice Modulation translation techniques Students are able to practice the Explication/Implication translation technique | able to practice the Wechsel Intracategory translation technique able to practice Modulation translation techniques able to practice Explication/Implication translation techniques | Criteria: 1.80-90 able to practice translation techniques with 80-90% accuracy 2.70-79 able to practice translation techniques with 70% accuracy 3.60-69 able to practice translation techniques with 60% accuracy 4.50-59 only practice translation techniques with 50% accuracy 5.40-49 able to practice translation techniques with accuracy below 50% Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Lectures, group discussions, and practice/performance 2 X 50 | Material: Modulation and Explication Translation Techniques References: Snell- Hornby, Marie. 2006. The Turns of Translation Studies. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company Material: Wechsel's intracategorical in translation References: Kußmaul, Paul. 2010. Verstehen und Übersetzen. Ein Lehr- und Arbeitsbuch. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag Material: Modulation in translation References: Newmark, Peter. 1988. A Textbook of Translation. London: Prentice Hall | 2% |
|----|---|---|--|---|--|-----|
| | Students are able to translate short story texts in German using the techniques and methods they have learned Students are able to translate German news texts using the techniques and methods they have learned Students are able to translate German news texts using the techniques and methods they have learned | Able to practice translation of short story texts in German Able to practice translation of German news texts | Criteria: 1.90 students were able to translate the text with 80- 90% accuracy and presented the translation procedures carried out 2.80 students were able to translate the text with 60- 69% accuracy and presented the translation procedures carried out 3.70 students were able to translate the text with 50- 59% accuracy and presented the translation procedures carried out 4.60 students were able to translate the text with 40- 49% accuracy and presented the translation procedures carried out 5.50 students were able to translate the text with 30- 39% accuracy and presented the translation procedures carried out 5.50 students were able to translate the text with 30- 39% accuracy and presented the translation procedures carried out 6.40 students were able to translate the text with an accuracy of 10- 19% and presented the translation procedures carried out 8.20 students were able to translate the text with an accuracy of 10- 19% and presented the translation procedures carried out 8.20 students were able to translate the text with an accuracy of 1-9% and presented the translation procedures carried out 9.0 students were unable to translate the text with an accuracy of 1-9% and presented the translation procedures carried out 9.0 students were unable to translate the text with an accuracy of 1-9% and presented the translation 9.0 students were unable to translate the text with an accuracy of 1-9% and presented the translation 9.0 students were unable to translate the text with an accuracy of 1-9% and presented the translation 9.0 students were unable to translate the text with an accuracy of 1-9% 9.0 students were unable to translate the text with an accuracy of 1-9% 9.0 students were unable to translate 9.0 students wer | Performance 2 X 50 | Material: Translation techniques References: Snell- Hornby, Marie. 2006. The Turns of Translation Studies. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company Material: Translation of cultural vocabulary and metaphors References: Radegundis, Stolze. 2005. Uebersetzungstheorien. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag Material: Translation equivalence References: Kußmaul, Paul. 2010. Verstehen und Übersetzen. Ein Lehr- und Arbeitsbuch. Tuebingen: Gunter Narr Verlag | 10% |

| 15 | 1.Students are able to | 1.Able to practice | Criteria: | Performance | Material: Translation | 10% |
|----|---|---------------------------|---|-------------|--|-----|
| | translate short story | translation of short | 1.90 students were | 2 X 50 | techniques | |
| | texts in German using | story texts in German | able to translate | | References: Snell- | |
| | the techniques and | 2.Able to practice | the text with 80- | | Hornby, Marie. 2006. The Turns of Translation | |
| | methods they have | translation of fairy tale | 90% accuracy and | | Studies. Amsterdam: | |
| | learned | texts in German | presented the | | John Benjamins | |
| | 2.Students are able to | 3.Able to practice | translation | | Publishing Company | |
| | translate German | translation of German | procedures carried | 1 | | |
| | fairy tale texts using | news texts | Out | | Material: Translation | |
| | the techniques and | | 2.80 students were | | theory, translation of | |
| | methods they have | | able to translate | | cultural vocabulary and | |
| | learned | | the text with 60- | | metaphors | |
| | Students are able to translate German | | 69% accuracy and | | References: | |
| | | | presented the translation | | Radegundis, Stolze. | |
| | news texts using the techniques and | | procedures carried | | 2005. Uebersetzungstheorien. | |
| | methods they have | | out | | Tuebingen: Gunter Narr | |
| | learned | | 3.70 students were | | Verlag | |
| | icanicu | | able to translate | | venag | |
| | | | the text with 50- | | Material: Translation | |
| | | | 59% accuracy and | | equivalence | |
| | | | presented the | | References: Kußmaul, | |
| | | | translation | | Paul. 2010. Verstehen | |
| | | | procedures carried | | und Übersetzen. Ein | |
| | | | out | | Lehr- und Arbeitsbuch. | |
| | | | 4.60 students were | | Tuebingen: Gunter Narr | |
| | | | able to translate | | Verlag | |
| | | | the text with 40- | | | |
| | | | 49% accuracy and | | | |
| | | | presented the | | | |
| | | | translation | | | |
| | | | procedures carried | | | |
| | | | out | | | |
| | | | 5.50 students were | | | |
| | | | able to translate | | | |
| | | | the text with 30- | | | |
| | | | 39% accuracy and presented the | | | |
| | | | translation | | | |
| | | | procedures carried | | | |
| | | | out | | | |
| | | | 6.40 students were | | | |
| | | | able to translate | | | |
| | | | the text with 20- | | | |
| | | | 29% accuracy and | | | |
| | | | presented the | | | |
| | | | translation | | | |
| | | | procedures carried | | | |
| | | | out | | | |
| | | | 7.30 students were | | | |
| | | | able to translate | | | |
| | | | the text with an | | | |
| | | | accuracy of 10- | | | |
| | | | 19% and | | | |
| | | | presented the | | | |
| | | | translation | | | |
| | | | procedures carried | | | |
| | | | out 8.20 students were | | | |
| | | | able to translate | | | |
| | | | the text with an | | | |
| | | | accuracy of 1-9% | | | |
| | | | and presented the | | | |
| | | | translation | | | |
| | | | procedures carried | | | |
| | | | out | | | |
| | | | 9.0 students were | | | |
| | | | unable to translate | | | |
| | | | and present the | | | |
| | | | procedures carried | | | |
| | | | out | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | Form of Assessment : Project Posults | | | |
| | | | Project Results Assessment / Product | | | |
| | | | Assessment, Test | | | |
| | | | , additiont, 16dt | 1 | 1 | |

| 16 | Use of good grammar Use equivalent translation words that suit the context Use appropriate forms of imagery | Criteria: 1.80-90 translation results have 80- 90% accuracy 2.70-79 translation results have 70% accuracy 3.60-69 translation results have 60% accuracy 4.50-59 translation results have 50% accuracy 5.40-49 translation results have 40% accuracy 6.30-39 translation results have 30% accuracy 7.20-29 translation results have 30% accuracy of 20% 8.10-19 translation results have an accuracy of 20% 8.10-19 translation results have an accuracy of 10% 9.0 does not work Form of Assessment : Project Results | Evaluation of translation results | Material: UAS Literature: | 30% |
|----|---|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|
| | | Project Results | | | |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

| No | Evaluation | Percentage |
|----|---|------------|
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 19% |
| 2. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 42% |
| 3. | Portfolio Assessment | 15% |
| 4. | Practice / Performance | 14% |
| 5. | Test | 10% |
| | | 100% |

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process. 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the
- formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course. 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or
- performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6 Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8 Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative
- 9. Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods. 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%. 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.