

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts German Literature Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

German Literature Undergraduate Study Program																			
			9	SEN	/IES	TE	RI	LE <i>F</i>	ARN	IIN	G F	PLA	N						
Courses			CODE				Co	urse F	amily			Crec	it We	ight	SI	EMEST	ER		ompilatio ate
Thesis			79206061	57					ory Stu Subjec			T=2	P=2	ECTS=6.	36		8		anuary 1, 024
AUTHORIZA	TION		SP Develo	per			1			Co	ourse	Clus	ter C	oordinato	r Si	tudy Pr	ogram	Coor	dinator
			Dr. phil. Aç Kurniawati			M.Hur	m; Dr	. Wism	na	Dr	r. Wis	ma K	urniav	vati, M.Pd.		Dr. Wi	isma Ku	urniawa	ati, M.Pd.
Learning model	Project Based	_earn	ing																
Program	PLO study pro	gran	n which is ch	arged	l to the	e cou	rse												
Learning Outcomes	PLO-5		le to master Ge mmon Europea									in wr	ting a	ccording to	conte	ext with	abilities	s equiv	alent to
(PLO)	Program Obje		· ·					(5	, 1		_								
	PO - 1	Stu	dents are able earch as a min	i proje	ect, sta	arting	from	makin	ig a pl	an in	the f	orm o	of a re	esearch pr	oposa	l, base	d on int	terests	and nee
	PLO-PO Matri		.,		,	,			, ,		3		,						
		_																	
			P.O		PLO	-5													
			PO-1																
	PO Matrix at t	he en	nd of each lea	rnina	stage	e (Sub)-PO)											
							•												
			P.O									We	ek						
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	0 11	12	13	14	15	16
			PO-1																
Short Course Descriptior	Providing suppli qualitative resea research propos followed by mak on case studies depart from real	arch o sals, a sing a and g	covering the fie and putting ther research propo roup work activ	elds o n into sal. L rities ir	f litera praction ecture n the fo	ture, lace, as activite orm of	angu well ies a smal	age a as ma re carr I resea	nd cultaking related out	ture, peseare t indiv pjects	provic ch rep iduall to pr	de kn ports. y and oduce	owled Theo I in gr e certa	ge about ory is giver oups in the ain product	making at the form s that	g resea e begin of face are bas	arch pla ning of -to-face sed on c	ans in the le with a group i	the form cture and an emphas
References	Main:																		
	Surabay 2. Yusuf, A	/a. \. Mur	n Pedoman T ri. 2015. Metodo /isma, dkk. 201	e Pene	elitian I	Kuantit	tatif, I	kualita	tif, dan	pene	elitian	gabu	ngan.	Jakarta: P	enerbi	t Prena			
				_															
	Supporters:																		
Supporting lecturer											[1:-1	in La	umi-						
lecturer File ea	nal abilities of ich learning age			Evalu	ation						Learn tuden	ing r t Ass		ds, ents,		mat	rning erials	_ '	
lecturer File ea	nal abilities of		Indicator	Evalu		iteria	& Fo	rm			Learn tuden [Est	ing r t Ass timat	netho ignm ed tin	ds, ents,		mat		_ '	ssessme Weight (%

1	Students are able to understand the Research Methods lecture contract, including lecture rules, learning objectives, learning materials, and the teaching and learning process; understand the basics of qualitative research	1.Students are able to discuss lecture contracts to plan PBM for Research Methods lectures 2.Students are able to explain the material studied in the Research Methods course 3.Students are able to explain the Research Methods lecture system. 4.Students are able to describe the rules of the Research Methods lecture. 5.Students are able to describe the Research Methods lecture. 5.Students are able to describe the Research Methods lecture assessment system. 6.Students are able to explain the	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	discussions, group assignments, use of information media (internet), 4 X 50 presentations	Material: Basics of qualitative research References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media. Material: Definition, types of research and the underlying paradigms References: Yusuf, A. Muri. 2015. Quantitative, qualitative and combined research methods. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group Publisher. Material: general introduction References: Unesa Final	2%
		basics of qualitative research.			Project Guidelines Drafting Team. 2023. Final Project Guidelines. Surabaya: Academic Directorate of Surabaya State University.	
2	Students are able to understand the relationship between science and research, understand important terms in research, and the characteristics of research	1.Students are able to describe the relationship between science and research 2.Students are able to explain important terms in research. 3.Students are able to describe the characteristics of research, at least 2 types of research correctly.	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	collaboration, blended learning, discussion, presentation 4 X 50	Material: the relationship between science and research References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media. Material: characteristics of various types of research References: Yusuf, A. Muri. 2015. Quantitative,	2%
					qualitative and combined research methods. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group Publisher.	
3	Students are able to understand the characteristics of science based on structural dimensions and phenomenal dimensions as well as scientific indicators of science as the basis for research activities	1.Students are able to describe structural dimensions as characteristics of science 2.Students are able to describe the phenomenal dimension as a characteristic of science. 3.Students are able to describe ontological, epistemological, axiological aspects as indicators of scientific knowledge as the basis for research activities.	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	collaboration blended learning discussion presentation 4 X 50	Material: Aspects underlying the implementation of research References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	2%

4	Students are able to understand the	1.Students are able	Criteria:	group work	Material: Research	2%
	characteristics of literary, language and cultural research	to explain the characteristics of literary, language and cultural research obtained from various references in the form of articles and books read. 2.Students are able to identify real problems in their environment that are relevant to the characteristics of literary, language and cultural research.	very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	with blended learning discussion presentation 4 X 50	methods for various types of scientific fields. Library: Yusuf, A. Muri. 2015. Quantitative, qualitative and combined research methods. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group Publisher.	
5	Students are able to find references to research objects in the fields of literature, language and culture from sources that have academic authority and can be accounted for as well as make paraphrases and store references in their personal library	1.Students are able to find references to research objects in the fields of literature, language and culture from the Google Scholar page 2.paraphrase the quote used 3.Save references in a personal library using Mendeley	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	practice for searching for articles in the fields of language, literature and culture on Google Scholar saving references with Mendeley 4 X 50	Material: Ways to find references in research activities Reader: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	2%
6	Students are able to understand the procedures for creating research designs in the fields of language, literature or culture	1.Students are able to mention five parts in a draft language, literature or culture research plan 2.mention the core issues that must be described in each section in the draft language, literature or culture research design	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	reading, discussion 2 X 50	Material: understand the steps for designing a research proposal References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	2%
7	Students are able to determine research objects in a research design with correct systematics and formulate problems based on background, theoretical support and appropriate methods	1.Students are able to name the chosen research object based on the logical reasons behind it 2.describe the background for selecting research objects correctly in the research design draft with correct systematics 3.create a problem formulation based on background, theoretical support and methods appropriate to the research design	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	observation, discussion, presentation 2 X 50		5%

8	Students are able to make an introduction to a research proposal in the fields of literature, language or culture and present the draft in the class forum	1.Students are able to design an introduction to a research proposal in the fields of literature, language or culture in accordance with the systematic introduction to proposals for the Unesa German Literature Study Program 2.present a preliminary draft of a research proposal in the field of literature, language, or culture in the class forum	Criteria: Score: range 0-100 observations: very good, good, fair, poor Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	draft a 4 X 50 research proposal	Material: systematics of making a thesis proposal Reference: Team for Preparing Unesa Final Assignment Guidelines. 2023. Final Project Guidelines. Surabaya: Academic Directorate of Surabaya State University.	7%
9	Students are able to design theoretical studies that support previously created research designs	1.Students are able to use theories or concepts in theoretical study designs that support previously created research designs 2.combining theories or concepts in a theoretical study design so that it can support the research design that has been created previously	Criteria: very good, good, enough, less	choose a theory that suits the research objectives, presentation, discussion 2 X 50	Material: determining the use of theory in research References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	5%
10	Students are able to create a background design for a research proposal which contains a description of the reasons for selecting the research object, supporting theories/concepts which are also listed in the bibliography with the correct writing method, as well as previous studies.	1.Students are able to mention important elements that must be described in the background design for a research proposal in the field of language, literature or culture 2.write a description of the reasons for selecting the research object 3.include in writing the theory/concept that supports the research 4.include references in quotations and bibliography in the correct way of writing 5.include previous studies and their relevance to the research to be conducted	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50	Material: preparing an introduction in a research proposal References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	6%

11	Students are able to present and evaluate the background design	1.Students are able to present important	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	presentation, discussion 2 X 50	Material: criteria/assessment design for	7%
	of a research proposal which contains a description of the reasons for selecting the research object, supporting theories/concepts which are also listed in the bibliography with the correct writing method, as well as previous studies	elements that must be described in the background design for a research proposal in the field of language, literature or culture 2.evaluate the description of the reasons for selecting the research object 3.evaluate in writing the theories/concepts that support the research 4.evaluate references in quotations and bibliography with the correct way of writing 5.evaluate previous studies and their relevance to the research to be conducted			determining research objects References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	
12	Students are able to develop a theoretical framework according to their respective study objects	1.Students are able to state theories or concepts that are relevant to the research object and can be used to provide answers to research problems 2.synthesizing the theories and concepts used so that they become a unified research tool	Criteria: very good, good, enough, less Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50	Material: determining theory in research References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	7%
13	present the theory		Criteria: very good, good, enough, less Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	2 X 50		7%
14	Students are able to choose a method that suits the research object		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50		7%
15	method presentation		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50		7%
16	Students are able to make a language, literature or culture research proposal that is at least 60% correct.		Criteria: Score: range 0-100 observations: very good, good, fair, poor Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	develop research proposals, demonstrate work	Material: entire References: Yusuf, A. Muri. 2015. Quantitative, qualitative and combined research methods. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group Publisher. Material: entire bibliography: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology.	30%
					Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	76.5%
2.	Portfolio Assessment	3.5%
3	Practice / Performance	15%

95%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for
- the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.