

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts German Literature Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

					SEN	1ES	STEF	R LE	EAI	RNII	NG	PL/	٩N							
Courses			CODE			Course Family					Credit Weight				SEMES	STER	Com Date	pilation		
Structure II			7920602178									T=2	P=0	ECTS=	3.18	2		July	18, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION			SP Dev	SP Developer				Course Clu			uster	uster Coordinator			Study Program					
					D										Dr. \	Dr. Wisma Kurniawati, M.Pd.				
Learning Case Studies model																				
Program	ı	PLO study program that is charged to the course																		
Outcom	g es	Program Objectives (PO)																		
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix																		
				P.O																
		PO Matrix at the	e end o	of each le	arning	stage	e (Sub-	PO)												
				0			-	~			Week	10		1	4.0			-	4.0	
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	1	5	16
Short Course Description		Study of the components of German speech, both in the form of single sentences and compound sentences and their application in spoken and written language. The study includes studying the use of habitual adverbs, comparisons, adjectives in accusative form, demonstratives, imperatives, modalities, pronouns in accusative form, compound sentences, comparatives, superlatives, genitives, reflexive pronominals, adverbs of time, verbs with prepositions, and indirect sentences. Lectures are carried out in the form of discussions, assignments, analysis and presentations.																		
References		Main :																		
		1. Schmitt, Dreyer. 2009. <i>Die Gelde Aktuell</i> . Is								ing: H	uebe	er Verla	ag.							
		Supporters:																		
Support lecturer	ing	Dra. Raden Roro Yunanfathur Rahi	Dyah V man, S.	Voroharsi I S., M.A.	Parnanir	ngroem	n, M.Pd.													
Week-	Fina eac stag	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)		Evaluation						Help Lo Learning Student As [Estima			earni g meth ssign ated t	earning, methods, ssignments, ated time]			Learning materials [References		Assessment Weight (%)	
	Ju			Indicator			Criteria & Form			Offline (offline)		Online (online))	1					
(1)	A 1-	(2) (3)				(4)			(t		5)		(6)			(7)			(8)	
L AD the Pe Re un Ve		Aute to understand the concept of Perfekt: Regelmäßige und unregelmäßige Verben Verben Ve 2.Str con in 1 Pe 2.Str con in 1 Pe 2.Str con Perfekt: Con in 1 Pe Pe Verben Ve 2.Str ve Un Ve		tudents ca ompose se the form of erfekt: egelmäßig erben tudents ca ompose se the form of erfekt: nregelmäß erben	Idents can npose sentences he form of fekt: gelmäßige ben idents can npose sentences he form of fekt: regelmäßige ben			estion is oints	arts method 2 X 50			cussion nmunicative								0%
	I																		I	

2	Able to understand the concept of Häufigkeitsangaben	 Students can compose sentences with Häufigkeitsangaben: Jeden Tag. Students can construct sentences with Häufigkeitsangaben: manchmal. Students can construct sentences with Häufigkeitsangaben: nie. 	Criteria: Each question item is worth 4.	Inquiry method Cooperative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%
3	Able to understand the concept of Fragewort: welch-, Comparation: viel, gut, gern	 Students can construct sentences with Fragewort: welch- Students can construct sentences using comparison: viel, gut, gern 	Criteria: Each question item is worth 4.	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method 2 X 50		0%
4	Able to understand the concept of Adjective im Akkusativ - article unbestimmter	Students can compose sentences with Adjective im Akkusativ - article unbestimmter	Criteria: Each question item is worth 4	Inquiry methodDiscussion methodCommunicative method 2 X 50		0%
5	Able to understand the concept of Demonstrativa: dieser - dieses- diese, Wetterwort: es	Students can construct sentences using Demonstrativa: dieser - dieses- diese and Wetterwort: es	Criteria: Each question item is worth 4.	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%
6	Able to understand the concept of imperative	Students can compose sentences in imperative form	Criteria: Each question is worth 4.	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%
7	Able to understand the concept of Modalverb: dürfen, Personal pronoun im Akkusativ	Students can construct sentences using the Modalverb: dürfen and Personal pronoun im Akkusativ	Criteria: Each item is worth 4	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: UTS	UTS 2 X 50		0%
9	Able to understand the concept of Nebensätze mit weil	Students can compose sentences using Nebensätze mit weil	Criteria: Each question item is worth 4.	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%
10	Able to understand the concept of Comparation mit wie und als, Superlativ: am höchsten, am weitesten	 Students can construct sentences using Komparation mit wie und als. Students can construct sentences using superlatives: am höchsten, am weitesten 	Criteria: Each question item is worth 4.	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%
11	Able to understand the concept of Possessivarticle im Dativ, Adjective im Dativ	 Students can compose sentences using Possessivarticle im Dativ Students can compose sentences using Adjective im Dativ 	Criteria: Each item is worth 4.	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%
12	Able to understand the concept of Nebensatz mit dass, Genetiv -s	 Students can compose sentences using Nebensatz mit dass Students can construct sentences using Genetiv -s 	Criteria: Each item is worth 4.	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%
13	Able to understand the concept of Modalverb: sollen	Students can construct sentences using the Modalverb: sollen	Criteria: Each question item is worth 4.	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%

14	Able to understand the concept of Reflexivpronomen, Verben mit Präpositionen	 Students can compose sentences using reflexivpronomen Students can compose sentences using Verben mit Präpositionen 	Criteria: Each question item is worth 4.	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%
15	Able to understand the concept of Indefinite: niemand, wenige, viele, alle; Adjective ohne Article: Nominativ, Akkusativ	Able to understand the concept of Indefinite: niemand, wenige, viele, alle; Adjective ohne Article: Nominativ, Akkusativ	Criteria: Each question item is worth 4.	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%
16	Able to understand the concept of indirekte Fragen im Nebensatz: ob- Sätze / indirekte W- Fragen	 Able to understand the concept of indirekte Fragen im Nebensatz: ob- Sätze / indirekte W- Fragen indirekte W- Fragen im Nebensatz: ob- Sätze Able to understand the concept of indirekte Fragen im Nebensatz: ob- Sätze / indirekte W- Fragen W-Fragen 	Criteria: Each question item is worth 4.	Inquiry method Discussion method Communicative method Question and answer method 2 X 50		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No Evaluation Percentage

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO** (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.