UNES	Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts German Literature Undergraduate Study Program									D	ocume Code										
					:	SEN	IES	ΓER	LEA	RN	ING	PL/	٩N								
Courses Co				CODE Course Famil				Credit Weight						:	SEMESTER Com		mpilat te	ion			
Structural I			7920602177									T=2	T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18		1		Jul	y 18, 2	024		
AUTHORIZATION			SP Dev	elope	r					Course Cluster Coordinator					Study Program Coordinator						
															Dr. Wisma Kurniawati, M.Pd.						
Learning model		Case Studies																			
Program		PLO study prog	gram that i	is charged to the course																	
Learning Outcomes (PLO)		Program Objectives (PO)																			
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix																			
()			P	9.0	]																
		DO Matrix at the	o and of a	och loor	ning	otogo (		2													
		PO Matrix at the	e end of ea	acri lear	ning	stage (	5ub-P(	<i>.</i> )													
			P.O									Week									1
	P.O       Week         1       2       3       4       5       6       7       8       9       10       11       12       13         Discussion of types of words (inflection and derivation) in German and their changes in phrases, clauses, single sentences and their		14	15		16															
				1							11										1
Short Course Description		Discussion of typ written language. and haben, quest	The study	includes:	Germ	nan alph	abet, in	iterroga	tive sen	tences,	present	and pa	ast tense	e verbs,	sentenc pronour	es and th s, nouns,	eir appli past for	cation ms of	in s the	poken verbs :	and sein
Reference	ces	Main :																			
						agune: Kursbuch Deutsch als Fremdsprache. Ismaning: Hueber Verlag. und Klar: Uebungsgrammatik Grundstufe Deutsch. Stuttgart: Klett. A1. Berlin: Cornelsen Verlag.															
		Supporters:																			
Support lecturer		Dra. Raden Roro Yunanfathur Rahı	Dyah Woro man, S.S., M	harsi Par 1.A.	nanin	groem, I	M.Pd.														
Week- ead		inal abilities of ach learning age sub-PO) Indi		Evaluation							5		Help Lear Learning m Student Assi Estimate		ethods, gnments,		Learning materials		Assessmer Weight (%		
								& Form Off			Offline	( offline )		Online ( online )		References		weight (%)		(70)	
(1)		(2)		(3)			(4)				(5)			(6)			(7	)		(8)	
1	the	Able to understand Alphabe the concept of the Alphabet		concept Criteria: 1sehr gut 2gut 3befriedigend 4ausreichend						turesQ								0%			
2	gra co the Au Fra Wi Pra Pe	ble to understand ammatical nncepts in the emes issagesaetze, agesaetze mit ie, Woher, Wo, as, Verben im aesens, rsonalpronomen id Verben.	conce Aussa theme 2.Unde Frage Wie, V Was 3.Unde Verbe Praes 4.Unde Perso	natical opts in the gesaetze rstanding saetze n Noher, W rstanding n im ens rstanding nal men und	e e hit /o,		r gut iedigena reichena			Ques	municativ tions and ires Disc 0	d Answ	/ers							0%	

3	Able to understand grammatical concepts in the Nomen theme; singular und Plural, Article: der, das die / ein, eine; Verneinung: kein, keine; Composite	<ol> <li>Students can apply grammar concepts in the Nomen theme; singular und plural</li> <li>Students can choose Articles: der, das die / ein, eine</li> <li>Students can apply Verneinung: kein, keine</li> <li>Students can compose composites</li> </ol>	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Communicative approachLectureQuestion and AnswerDiscussion 2 X 50		0%
	grammatical concepts in the Praeteritum von sein theme: W- Frage, Aussagesatz und Satzfrage.	<ol> <li>Students can apply Praeteritum von sein.</li> <li>Students can make W-Frage sentences</li> <li>Students can differentiate Aussagesatz und Satzfrage.</li> </ol>	sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Lectures Questions and Answers 2 X 50		
5	Able to understand grammatical concepts in the Possessivarticle im Nominativ theme; Article im Akkusativ, Adjektive im Satz, Graduierung mit zu	<ol> <li>Students can construct sentences using Possessivarticle im Nominativ</li> <li>Students can compose sentences using Articles im Akkusativ</li> <li>Students can compose Adjective im Satz sentences</li> <li>Students can compose the sentence Graduierung mit zu</li> </ol>	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Communicative Approach Question and answer Discussion 2 X 50		0%
6	Able to understand grammatical concepts in the theme Fragesaetze mit wann?; Von wann bis wann; Praepositionen und Zeitangaben: am, um, von, bis	<ol> <li>Students can make sentences using Fragesaetze mit wann?</li> <li>Students can make sentences using Von wann bis wann</li> <li>Students can make sentences using Praepositionen und Zeitangaben: am, um, von, bis</li> </ol>	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Communicative approach Lecture Question and answer 2 X 50		0%
7	Able to understand grammatical concepts in Zeitangabe themes: am, um, von bis; Trennbare Verben	<ol> <li>Students can write sentences containing Zeitangabe: am, um, von bis</li> <li>Students can compose sentences containing Trennbare Verben</li> </ol>	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Communicative approach Question and answer Discussion 2 X 50		0%
8	Students understand UTS Structural I questions	Students can choose the correct answer to the questions asked. Students can fill in the gaps in the sentence or text.	Criteria: 1.Each question item is worth 2.5 2.All 40 questions were correct with 100 marks. 3.All 30 questions were correct with 75 marks. 4.20 correct questions, all 50 marks. 5.10 correct questions, all 25 marks.	2 X 50 communicative approach		0%
9	Able to understand grammatical concepts in the Verneinung mit nicht theme; Praeteritum von haben	1.Students can construct sentences using Verneinung mit nicht 2.Students can compose Praeteritum von haben sentences	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Communicative approach Questions and answers Lecture Discussion 2 X 50		0%

10	Able to understand grammatical concepts in Praepositionen themes: in, neben, unter, auf, vor, hinter, an, zwischen, bei und mit Dativ	Students can make sentences using Praepositionen: in, neben, unter, auf, vor, hinter, an, zwischen, bei und mit Dativ	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Communicative approach Question and answer Discussion 2 X 50		0%
11	Able to understand grammatical concepts in Praepositionen themes: in, neben, unter, auf, vor, hinter, an, zwischen, bei und mit Dativ	Students can make sentences using Praepositionen: in, neben, unter, auf, vor, hinter, an, zwischen, bei und mit Dativ	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Communicative approach Question and answer Discussion 2 X 50		0%
12	Able to understand grammatical concepts in the Modalverben muessen, koennen, wollen (Satzklammer) themes	<ol> <li>Students can write sentences containing the modal verb muessen</li> <li>Students can write sentences containing the Modalverben koennen</li> <li>Students can write sentences containing the Modalverben wollen (Satzklammer)</li> </ol>	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Communicative approach Question and answer Discussion 2 X 50		0%
13	Able to understand grammatical concepts in the theme Possessivarticle und kein- und Akkusitiv	Students can make sentences with Possessivarticle und kein- und Akkusitiv	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Communicative approach Question and answer Discussion 2 X 50		0%
14	Able to understand grammatical concepts in the theme Praepositionen: in, durch, ueber Akkusativ: zu, an vorbei Dativ	Praepositionen: in, durch, ueber Akkusativ: zu, an vorbei Dativ	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Communicative approach Discussion Questions and answers 2 X 50		0%
15	Able to understand grammar concepts in the Perfekt theme: regelmaessige Verben and unregelmaessige Verben	<ol> <li>Students can make sentences with Perfekt: regelmaessige Verben</li> <li>Students can make sentences with Perfekt: unregelmaessige Verben</li> </ol>	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend	Communicative approach Question and Answer Discussion 2 X 50		0%
16	Students understand UAS questions	Students can choose the correct answer to the questions asked. Students can fill in the gaps in the sentences.	<ul> <li>Criteria:</li> <li>1.Each question item is worth 2:</li> <li>2.50 correct questions with a score of 100.</li> <li>3.40 correct questions with a score of 80.</li> <li>4.30 correct questions with a score of 60.</li> <li>5.20 correct questions with a score of 40.</li> <li>6.10 correct questions with a score of 20.</li> </ul>	2 X 50 communicative approach		0%

 Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

 No
 Evaluation

 Percentage
 0%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
   The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or
- learning materials for that course. 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is
- planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course. 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or
- performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence. 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators.
- Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7 Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.

Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
 The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.