

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts German Literature Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

	SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																						
Courses			CODE			Co	urse	Famil	у		Cr	edit	Wei	ght		SEN	IESTE	R		Com Date	pilati	on	
Proposal Seminar			7920602267								Т=	0	P=0	ECTS	6=0		7			July 1	L8, 20)24	
AUTHOR	IZAT	ION		SP Developer	•						Cours Coord			er			Stu	dy Pro	ogram	Coo	rdina	tor	
													Dr. Wisma Kurniawati, M.Pd.										
Learning model	I	Case Studies																					
Program		PLO study program that is charged to the course																					
Learning Outcom (PLO)		PLO-5 Able to master German language skills and apply them orally and in writing according to context with abilities equivalent to Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR) level B1																					
(. 20)		Program Objectives (PO)																					
		PO - 1	resear	nts are able to r ch as a mini pro is of problems o	oject, sta	rting fr	om r	makin	g a pl	lan in	the fo	orm o	of a	rese	arch p	propo	sal,	based	l on int	eres	ts an	id nee	
		PLO-PO Matrix	,			,		<u> </u>					,										
				P.O	PLO	-5																	
				PO-1																			
		PO Matrix at the	e end o	of each learnir	ng stage	e (Sub	-PO)															
				P.0						1	1	1	eek		1					1			
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	_	10	11	1	.2	13	14	1	5	16	
			PO	9-1																			
Short Course Descript	tion	Providing supplie qualitative resear research proposa followed by maki emphasis on case interests and dep	rch cove als, and ing a re e studie	ering the fields putting them int esearch proposa is and group wo	of literatu o practical. Lectur k activitie	ure, lar e, as w re activ es in th	ngua vell a vities ne foi	ige ar as ma s are rm of	nd cul king r carrie small	ture, j esear d out resea	provid ch rep indiv irch pi	e kn oorts idual rojec	iowl . Th lly a ts to	edge eory ind i pro	abou is give n grou duce c	t ma en at ıps iı ærtai	king the 1 the n pro	resea begin form oducts	irch pla ning of of fac that ar	ans i the e-to e ba	n the lectui -face ised o	e form re and with on gro	n of d is an oup
Referen	ces	Main :																					
			vusun	Pedoman Tuga	s Akhir I	Inesa	202	23 Pr	-dom	an Tu	nas A	khir	Si	iraha	va: D	irektr	orat	Akade	mik Ll	nive	sitas	Nea	eri
		 Tim Penyusun Pedoman Tugas Akhir Unesa. 2023. Pedoman Tugas Akhir. Surabaya: Direktorat Akademik Universita Surabaya. Yusuf, A. Muri. 2015. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan penelitian gabungan. Jakarta: Penerbit Prenadamedia Gro Kurniawati, Wisma, dkk. 2013. Metodologi Penelitian Sastra dan Bahasa. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media. 																					
		Supporters:																					
Supporting lecturer																							
Week- ead sta		іĎ-РО)		Evaluation			Stu			Learn Student		elp Learning, rning methods, rnt Assignments, stimated time] Online (<i>online</i>))	Learning materials [References]		I		essmo ght (9			
(0)		(0)								offlin	e)					'					(0)		
(1) ((2)		(3)		(4))			(5)				(6			(7)				(8)		

1	Students are able to understand the Research Methods lecture contract, including lecture rules, learning objectives, learning materials, and the teaching and learning process; understand the basics of qualitative research	 Students are able to discuss lecture contracts to plan PBM for Research Methods lectures Students are able to explain the material studied in the Research Methods course Students are able to explain the Research Methods lecture system. Students are able to describe the rules of the Research Methods lecture. Students are able to describe the Research Methods lecture assessment system. Students are able to describe the Research Methods lecture assessment system. Students are able to explain the basics of qualitative research. 	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	discussions, group assignments, use of information media (internet), 4 X 50 presentations	Material: Basics of qualitative research References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media. Material: Definition, types of research and the underlying paradigms References: Yusuf, A. Muri. 2015. Quantitative, qualitative and combined research methods. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group Publisher. Material: general introduction References: Unesa Final Project Guidelines Drafting Team. 2023. Final Project Guidelines. Surabaya: Surabaya State University.	2%
2	Students are able to understand the relationship between science and research, understand important terms in research, and the characteristics of research	 Students are able to describe the relationship between science and research Students are able to explain important terms in research. Students are able to describe the characteristics of research, at least 2 types of research correctly. 	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	collaboration, blended learning, discussion, presentation 4 X 50	Material: the relationship between science and research References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media. Material: characteristics of various types of research References: Yusuf, A. Muri. 2015. Quantitative, qualitative and combined research methodos. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group Publisher.	2%
3	Students are able to understand the characteristics of science based on structural dimensions and phenomenal dimensions as well as scientific indicators of science as the basis for research activities	 Students are able to describe structural dimensions as characteristics of science Students are able to describe the phenomenal dimension as a characteristic of science. Students are able to describe ontological, epistemological, axiological aspects as indicators of scientific knowledge as the basis for research activities. 	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	collaboration blended learning discussion presentation 4 X 50	Material: Aspects underlying the implementation of research References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	2%

4	Students are able to understand the characteristics of literary, language and cultural research	 Students are able to explain the characteristics of literary, language and cultural research obtained from various references in the form of articles and books read. Students are able to identify real problems in their environment that are relevant to the characteristics of literary, language and cultural research. 	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	group work with blended learning discussion presentation 4 X 50	Material: Research methods for various types of scientific fields. Library: Yusuf, A. Muri. 2015. Quantitative, qualitative and combined research methods. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group Publisher.	2%
5	Students are able to find references to research objects in the fields of literature, language and culture from sources that have academic authority and can be accounted for as well as make paraphrases and store references in their personal library	 Students are able to find references to research objects in the fields of literature, language and culture from the Google Scholar page paraphrase the quote used Save references in a personal library using Mendeley 	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	practice for searching for articles in the fields of language, literature and culture on Google Scholar saving references with Mendeley 4 X 50	Material: Ways to find references in research activities Reader: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	2%
6	Students are able to understand the procedures for creating research designs in the fields of language, literature or culture	 Students are able to mention five parts in a draft language, literature or culture research plan mention the core problems that must be described in each section in the draft language, literature or culture research plan 	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	reading, discussion 2 X 50	Material: understand the steps for designing a research proposal References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	2%
7	Students are able to determine research objects in research designs with correct systematics and formulate problems based on background, theoretical support and appropriate methods	 Students are able to name the chosen research object based on the logical reasons behind it describe the background for selecting research objects correctly in the research design draft with correct systematics create a problem formulation based on background, theoretical support and methods appropriate to the research design 	Criteria: very good, good, not so good Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	observation, discussion, presentation 2 X 50		5%

8	Students are able to make an introduction to a research proposal in the fields of literature, language or culture and present the draft in the class forum	 Students are able to design an introduction to a research proposal in the fields of literature, language or culture in accordance with the systematic introduction to proposals for the Unesa German Literature Study Program present a preliminary draft of a research proposal in the 	Criteria: Score: range 0-100 observations: very good, good, fair, poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	draft a 4 X 50 research proposal	Material: systematics of making a thesis proposal Reference: Team for Preparing Unesa Final Assignment Guidelines. 2023. Final Project Guidelines. Surabaya: Academic Directorate of Surabaya State University.	7%
9	Students are able	field of literature, language, or culture in the class forum 1.Students are able	Criteria:	choose a	 Material:	5%
	to design theoretical studies that support previously created research designs	 to use theories or concepts in theoretical study designs that support previously created research designs combining theories or concepts in a theoretical study design so that it can support the research design that has been created previously 	very good, good, enough, less	theory that suits the research objectives, presentation, discussion 2 X 50	determining the use of theory in research References: <i>Kurniawati, Wisma,</i> <i>et al. 2013.</i> <i>Literature and</i> <i>Language</i> <i>Research</i> <i>Methodology.</i> <i>Surabaya: Revka</i> <i>Petra Media.</i>	
10	Students are able to create a background design for a research proposal which contains a description of the reasons for selecting the research object, supporting theories/concepts which are also listed in the bibliography with the correct writing method, as well as previous studies.	 Students are able to mention important elements that must be described in the background design for a research proposal in the field of language, literature or culture write a description of the reasons for selecting the research object include in writing the theory/concept that supports the research include references in quotations and bibliography in the correct way of writing include previous studies and their relevance to the research to be conducted 	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50	Material: preparing an introduction in a research proposal. Reference: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	6%

11	Students are able to present and evaluate the background design of a research proposal which contains a description of the reasons for selecting the research object, supporting theories/concepts which are also listed in the bibliography with the correct writing method, as well as previous studies	 Students are able to present important elements that must be described in the background design for a research proposal in the field of language, literature or culture evaluate the description of the research object evaluate the description of the research object evaluate in writing the theories/concepts that support the research evaluate references in quotations and bibliography with the correct way of writing evaluate previous studies and their relevance to the research to be conducted 	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	presentation, discussion 2 X 50	Material: criteria/assessment design for determining research objects References: <i>Kurniawati, Wisma,</i> <i>et al. 2013.</i> <i>Literature and</i> <i>Language</i> <i>Research</i> <i>Methodology.</i> <i>Surabaya: Revka</i> <i>Petra Media.</i>	7%
12	Students are able to develop a theoretical framework according to their respective study objects	 Students are able to mention theories or concepts that are relevant to the research object and can be used to provide answers to research problems synthesizing the theories and concepts used so that they become a unified research tool 	Criteria: very good, good, enough, less Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50	Material: determining theory in research References: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	7%
13	present the theory		Criteria: very good, good, enough, less Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50		7%
14	Students are able to choose a method that suits the research object		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50		7%
15	method presentation		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50		7%
16	Students are able to make a language, literature or culture research proposal that is at least 60% correct.		Criteria: Score: range 0-100 observations: very good, good, fair, poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	develop research proposals, demonstrate work	Material: entire References: Yusuf, A. Muri. 2015. Quantitative, qualitative and combined research methods. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group Publisher. Material: entire bibliography: Kurniawati, Wisma, et al. 2013. Literature and Language Research Methodology. Surabaya: Revka Petra Media.	30%

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	76.5%
2.	Portfolio Assessment	3.5%
3.	Practice / Performance	15%
	-	95%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.