

Universitas Negeri Surabaya

Document Code

UNESA	Faculty of Languages and Arts German Literature Undergraduate Study Program																	
					SEN	MES	TER	LE	ARN	ING	PLA	N						
Courses Hoeren 3			CODE Course			Course Family			Cred	Credit Weight		SEMESTE		ompilation ate				
Hoeren 3			792060	3221			Compu	ulsory St	udy Pro	gram Su	am Subjects			P=0 E	CTS=4.77	3	Jı	uly 17, 2024
AUTHORIZAT	ION		SP Dev	/eloper	•					Course Cluster Coordinator				Study Program Coordinator		1		
			Lutfi Sa	aksono							Lutfi	Saksono	o, S.Pd., I	M.Pd.			na Kı M.Pd	urniawati, d.
Learning model	Case Studies																	
Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO study program which is charged to the course																	
	PLO-6		ly resear	ch meth	nods in t	he fields	of lang	uage, lit	erature	and cultu	ıre in de	signing, o	conductin	ig and re	eporting res	search results	j	
	Program Objectives (PO)																	
	PLO-PO Matrix																	
	P.O PLO-6																	
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																	
		P.O	<u> </u>			1	1	1 .	1	l .	Week		1		1 . 1			
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14 1	5	16
Short Course Description	Providing daily Freizeitaktivitaet practice.	German lang en, Medien, I	uage ski Kultur, Ar	lls at A beitswe	.2 - B1 elte, Fes	level, b ste, Koe	oth rece rperspra	eptive a ache, Er	nd prod findung	uctive, i en, Zeitp	ncludes ounkte a	four disc nd Alltag	cussion : J. Lecture	skills on es are p	everyday presented i	themes in s n the form o	ociet	ty, including estions and
References	Main :																	
	Stefani Dengler. 2017. Netzwerk B1 Deutsch als Fremdsprache Kursbuch . Stuttgart: Erns Klett. Stefani Dengler.2017. Netzwerk B1 Deutsch als Fremdsprache Arbeitsbuch .Stuttgart: Erns Klet. Hermann Funk. 2010. Studio D A2 . Berlin: Cornelsen Verlag. Harmut Aufderstrasse. 2012. Lagune Kursbuch Deutsch als Fremdsprache 2. Ismaning: Hueber Verlag																	
	Supporters:																	
															· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Supporting lecturer	Dr. phil. Agus Ri Lutfi Saksono, S	dwan, S.Pd., .Pd., M.Pd.	M.Hum.															
Fina	al abilities of											Help Lea				Learning		

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	E	valuation	Help Learni Learning met Student Assign [Estimated (Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)		
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Students are able to understand the lecture contract; students are able to understand and express correctly (orally and in writing) about Aktiv in der Freizeit (Freizeitaktivitaeten, Vereine)	1. Students can explain the study contract 2. Students can express correctly verbally about Aktiv in der Freizeit (Freizeitaktivitaeten, Vereine) 3. Students can express in writing about Aktiv in der Freizeit	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Communicative approach Discussion Questions and answers 2 X 50			5%	
2	Students are able to understand the lecture contract; students are able to understand and express correctly (orally and in writing) about Aktiv in der Freizeit (Freizeitaktivitaeten, Vereine)	1.Students can explain the study contract 2.Students can express correctly verbally about Aktiv in der Freizeit (Freizeitaktivitaeten, Vereine) 3.Students can express in writing about Aktiv in der Freizeit	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Communicative approach Discussion Questions and answers 2 X 50			5%	

3	Students are able to understand the lecture contract; students are able to understand and express correctly (orally and in writing) about Aktiv in der Freizeit (Freizeitaktivitaeten, Vereine)	1. Students can explain the study contract 2. Students can express correctly verbally about Aktiv in der Freizeit (Freizeitaktivitaeten, Vereine) 3. Students can express in writing about Aktiv in der Freizeit	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Communicative approach Discussion Questions and answers 2 X 50		5%
4	Students are able to understand the lecture contract; students are able to understand and express correctly (orally and in writing) about Aktiv in der Freizeit (Freizeitaktivitaeten, Vereine)	1. Students can explain the study contract 2. Students can express correctly verbally about Aktiv in der Freizeit (Freizeitaktivitaeten, Vereine) 3. Students can express in writing about Aktiv in der Freizeit	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Communicative approach Discussion Questions and answers 2 X 50		5%
5	Students are able to understand and express correctly (orally and in writing) about Medien (Medien im Alltag - gestern und heute, Brief, Interneteinkauf)	1.Students can express correctly orally about Medien (Medien im Alltag - gestern und heute, Brief, Interneteinkauf) 2.Students can express correctly in writing about Medien (Medien im Alltag - gestern und heute, Brief, Interneteinkauf)	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Communicative approach Question and answer Discussion Role playing 2 X 50		10%
6	Students are able to understand and express correctly (orally and in writing) about Medien (Medien im Alltag - gestern und heute, Brief, Interneteinkauf)	1.Students can express correctly orally about Medien (Medien im Alltag gestern und heute, Brief, Interneteinkauf) 2.Students can express correctly in writing about Medien (Medien im Alltag - gestern und heute, Brief, Interneteinkauf)	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Communicative approach Question and answer Discussion Role playing 2 X 50		5%
7	Students are able to understand and express correctly (orally and in writing) about Medien (Medien im Alltag - gestern und heute, Brief, Interneteinkauf)	1. Students can express correctly orally about Medien (Medien im Alltag gestern und heute, Brief, Interneteinkauf) 2. Students can express correctly in writing about Medien (Medien im Alltag - gestern und heute, Brief, Interneteinkauf)	Criteria: sehr gutgutbefriedigendausreichend Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Communicative approach Question and answer Discussion Role playing 2 X 50		5%
8	Students are able to understand and express in writing and orally correctly about Ausgehen.	Students can retell orally the contents of texts or discourses with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can rewrite the contents of texts or discourses with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can compose dialogues with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can demonstrate dialogues with the theme of Ausgehen.	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment: Test	Communicative approachLectureDiscussionRole Play 2 X 50		15%
9	Students are able to understand and express in writing and orally correctly about Ausgehen.	Students can retell orally the contents of texts or discourses with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can rewrite the contents of texts or discourses with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can compose dialogues with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can demonstrate dialogues with the theme of Ausgehen.	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Communicative approachLectureDiscussionRole Play 2 X 50		2%

10	Students are able to understand and express in writing and orally correctly about Ausgehen.	Students can retell orally the contents of texts or discourses with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can rewrite the contents of texts or discourses with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can compose dialogues with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can demonstrate dialogues with the theme of Ausgehen.	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Communicative approachLectureDiscussionRole Play 2 x 50		4%
11	Students are able to understand and express in writing and orally correctly about Ausgehen.	Students can retell orally the contents of texts or discourses with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can rewrite the contents of texts or discourses with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can compose dialogues with the theme of Ausgehen. Students can demonstrate dialogues with the theme of Ausgehen.	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Communicative approachLectureDiscussionRole Play 2 X 50		4%
12	Students are able to understand and express correctly (orally and in writing) about Zu Hause (Stadtleben und Landluft, Wohnungssuche und Umzug, Unfaelle im Haushalt.	1.Students can express correctly orally about Stadtleben. 2.Students can express correctly in writing about Stadtleben. 3.Students can express correctly in writing about Wohnungssuche und Umzug. 4.Students can express correctly in writing about Wohnungssuche und Umzug. 5.Students can express correctly orally about Unfaelle im Haushalt. 6.Students can correctly express what they wrote about Unfaelle im Haushalt.	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Communicative approach Lecture Role Play Discussion. 2 X 50		5%
13	Students are able to understand and express correctly (orally and in writing) about Zu Hause (Stadtleben und Landluft, Wohnungssuche und Umzug, Unfaelle im Haushalt.	1. Students can express correctly orally about Stadtleben. 2. Students can express correctly in writing about Stadtleben. 3. Students can express correctly verbally about Wohnungssuche und Umzug. 4. Students can express correctly in writing about Wohnungssuche und Umzug. 5. Students can express correctly orally about Unfaelle im Haushalt. 6. Students can correctly express what they wrote about Unfaelle im Haushalt.	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Communicative approach Lecture Role Play Discussion. 2 X 50		5%

14	Students are able to understand and express correctly (orally and in writing) about Zu Hause (Stadtleben und Landluft, Wohnungssuche und Umzug, Unfaelle im Haushalt.	1.Students can express correctly orally about Stadtleben. 2.Students can express correctly in writing about Stadtleben. 3.Students can express correctly verbally about Wohnungssuche und Umzug. 4.Students can express correctly in writing about Wohnungssuche und Umzug. 5.Students can express correctly orally about Unfaelle in Haushalt. 6.Students can correctly express what they wrote about Unfaelle im Haushalt.	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Communicative approach Lecture Role Play Discussion. 2 X 50		5%
15	Students are able to understand and express correctly orally and in writing about culture.	1.Students can express verbally about Kulturhauptstaedte. 2.Students can express in writing about Kulturhauptstaedte. 3.Students can express verbally about Stadtrundgang Weimar. 4.Students can express in writing about Stadtrundgang Weimar.	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	LectureDiscussionRole PlayQuestion and Answers 2 X 50		5%
16	Students are able to understand and express correctly orally and in writing about culture.	1.Students can express verbally about Kulturhauptstaedte. 2.Students can express in writing about Kulturhauptstaedte. 3.Students can express verbally about Stadtrundgang Weimar. 4.Students can express in writing about Stadtrundgang Weimar.	Criteria: Sehr gutGutBefriedigendAusreichend Form of Assessment : Test	LectureDiscussionRole PlayQuestion and Answers 2 X 50		15%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	55.5%
2.	Portfolio Assessment	14.5%
3.	Test	30%
	•	100%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.

 The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the
- 2. formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community
- Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

 Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.