

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts German Language Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

UNES	A		0 0						•	· ·		
			SEN	/IESTER	LEAR	RNIN	G P	LA	N.			
Courses		CODE		Course Fa	mily	Credit Weight		SEMESTER	Compilation Date			
Science phylosophy		882070	2179			T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	5	July 18, 2024		
AUTHORIZATION		SP Dev	SP Developer		Cours	Course Cluster Coordinator		Study Program Coordinator				
							Dwi Imroatu Julaikah, S.Pd., M.Pd.					
Learning model	J	Case Studies				· ·						
Program		PLO study program that is charged to the course										
Learning Outcom		Program Objectives (PO)										
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix										
		P.O										
		PO Matrix at th	O Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)									
			P.O 1	2 3 4	5 6	7 8	Wee	ek 10	11 12	13 14	15 16	
Short Course Descript	tion	Basic and deep-rooted understanding of the conception of science, mapping of science, knowledge and truth, neutrali benefits and impact of science on life. It also examines the meaning, implications and implementation of the philosop of science for scientific and educational development with an emphasis on issues of logic and scientific methodology.							the philosophy			
Referen	ces	Main :										
1. Pramono, Made,dkk, 2005, Filsafat Ilmu (k Unesa Unipress, Surabaya. Pramono, Made, E-learning Filsafat Ilmu: ht Kuipers, Theo A.F., (ed.), 2007, Handbook o Philosophy o f Science - Focal Issues, Elsev Endraswara, Suwardi, 2012, Filsafat Ilmu: Ka Metode Ilmiah, Yogyakarta: CAPS. Prawironegoro, Darsono, 2010, Filsafat Ilmu: Kajian te Sistemik dalam Membangun Ilmu Pengetahuan, Jakan						: http: ok o f Elsevie u: Kons	//elear The Ph r BV, I sep, S	rning hilos Neth ejara	g.unesa.ac ophy o f S ierlands. ah, dan Pe	i.id dcience: Gen engembanga	eral an	
		Supporters:										
Support lecturer		BENNY HERAWA Tri Edliani Lestari										
Week-	eac		E	Evaluation		Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)			
	(Sub-PO)		Indicator	Criteria & F		line ( line )	On	lline	( online )	1		

_					T	
1	Ability to identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history and position of philosophy of science	identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history, and position of the philosophy of science	Criteria: Correct answer, 100 marks	Pulpit lecture and question and answer 2 X 50 film screening		0%
2	Ability to identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history and position of philosophy of science	identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history, and position of the philosophy of science	Criteria: Correct answer, 100 marks	Pulpit lecture and question and answer 2 X 50 film screening		0%
3	Ability to identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history and position of philosophy of science	identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history, and position of the philosophy of science	Criteria: Correct answer, 100 marks	Pulpit lecture and question and answer 2 X 50 film screening		0%
4	Ability to identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history and position of philosophy of science	identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history, and position of the philosophy of science	<b>Criteria:</b> Correct answer, 100 marks	Pulpit lecture and question and answer 2 X 50 film screening		0%
5	Ability to identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history and position of philosophy of science	identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history, and position of the philosophy of science	Criteria: Correct answer, 100 marks	Pulpit lecture and question and answer 2 X 50 film screening		0%
6	Ability to identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history and position of philosophy of science	identify the meaning, scope of discussion, history, and position of the philosophy of science	Criteria: Correct answer, 100 marks	Pulpit lecture and question and answer 2 X 50 film screening		0%
7	Ability to outline the epistemological foundations of scientific disciplines	explain the epistology of science		Lecture DDiscussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
8	Ability to outline the epistemological foundations of scientific disciplines	explain the epistology of science		Lecture DDiscussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
9	Ability to outline the epistemological foundations of scientific disciplines	explain the epistology of science		Lecture DDiscussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
10	Ability to outline the epistemological foundations of scientific disciplines	explain the epistology of science		Lecture DDiscussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%

11	Ability to outline the epistemological foundations of scientific disciplines	explain the epistology of science	Lecture DDiscussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
12	Ability to outline the epistemological foundations of scientific disciplines	explain the epistology of science	Lecture DDiscussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
13	Ability to outline the epistemological foundations of scientific disciplines	explain the epistology of science	Lecture DDiscussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
14	Ability to outline the epistemological foundations of scientific disciplines	explain the epistology of science	Lecture DDiscussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
15	Ability to outline the epistemological foundations of scientific disciplines	explain the epistology of science	Lecture DDiscussion Questions and Answers 2 X 50		0%
16					0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage		
		0%		

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO** (**Sub-PO**) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.