Document Code



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social Sciences and Law Geography Education Undergraduate Study Program

UNLSA																				
			;	SEM	1ES	TE	R L	EA	RNI	NG	PI	LA	N							
Courses			CODE				Cour	se Fa	mily			Cred	it We	ight		SI	EMES <sup>*</sup>	TER	Comp	ilation
Educational F Methodology	Research		872020	2107								T=3	P=0	EC	TS=4.7	7	5		July 1	8, 2024
AUTHORIZAT	ION		SP Dev	eloper						Co	urse	Clus	ter C	oord	inator		Study Program Coordinator			
													Di	Dr. Nugroho Hari Purnomo, S.P., M.Si.						
Learning model	Project Based L	earni	ng																	
Program Learning	PLO study pro	gram	that is	charg	ed to	the c	ourse													
Outcomes (PLO)	Program Object	tives	(PO)																	
(FLO)	PLO-PO Matrix																			
			P.O																	
	PO Matrix at th	e end	d of eac	h lear	ning s	tage	(Sub-	PO)												
		P.	0								Weel	k					,			
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	1	12	13	14	1	.5 1	L6
Short Course Description	Providing experied geography learning research focus, relecture is an education. Learneducational research	ing process	oblems. ch desig nal rese s carriec	Studern, mea arch p out u	nts are surem roposa ising a	able ent so al, cla a cons	to ide ales a ssroon structiv	ntify a nd dat n action ist ap	nd ma a anal n rese proach	ke de ysis th earch	cision at are prope	ns rel e rele osal	ated vant (PTK)	to re to ed ) or	search ducatior develor	prob nal re oment	lems, search t resea	resea i. The arch	arch va e outpu in the	riables, t of this field of
References	Main :																			
	1. Ary, Don 2. Bogdan, New Yor 3. Borg, W. 4. Frenkel, Book Co 5. Denzin, Inc. 6. Miles, M Press 7. Sugiyone 8. Thiagara A Source 9. Van den 10. Yin, Rob 11. Direktora Researc  Supporters:	R. C k: Pea R., Ga J.R., mpan Norma latthev c. 201 ujan, S ebook Akkei ert K. at Jen	& Bikler arson Ed all, M.D. Wallen, y. an K., Lii w B dar 4. Metoc ; Semm. . Indiana r, Jan. 20 2013. S deral Pe	n, S. K ucatior 1979. I N.E., F ncoln, ` huber le Pene el, D.S; a: India 2006. Ec tudi Ka endidika	. 2003 n Group Educat Hyun, H Yvonna rman, A elitian H & Ser na Uni ducatio sus, D an Tin	. Qual prional I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	itative Resear 2012. H 2005. H 2005. H 20dikan: I M.I. 19 20dikan M 2004. P	rch . N low to andboo 1992 Pendel 974. In Resear- etode i edoma	ew Yo Design ok of C Analisi katan H struction	r Educ rk: Log n and Qualita s Dat (Vuanti onal D endon lahan)	cation gman Evaluative F a Kuatif, H evelo and N . Jak	n: An  uate Resea alitati Kualit opmei New N	Research ( f (ter) atif, cant for Cork: Raja	ach  3rd E  ema  lan F  Trair  Rout  Graf	in Educin Edition).  han)  & D. If hing Teal ledge. Eindo Pe	cation New Jakar Bandu acher	. New Jersey ta. Un ung: Al s of Ex	York /: Sagaivers fabet	hods (4 c: McG ge Pub itas Ind ta. ional C	th ed). raw-Hill lication, donesia hildren:
Supporting lecturer	MURTEDJO Dr. Sri Murtini, M.Si. Dr. Bambang Sigit Widodo, M.Pd.																			

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Ev	aluation	Learr Studer	lp Learning, ning methods, It Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Able to understand the concept and meaning of research methodology	1.Explain the meaning of research methodology 2.Explain the research objectives 3.Explain the function of research	Criteria:  1. The weight of question number 1 is 40, and number 2,3 is 30  2. total score 100	Lectures, Presentations, discussions and reflections 3 X 50			0%	
2	understand the approach in research	1.Students are able to explain quantitative and qualitative approaches 2.Students are able to explain the characteristics of quantitative and qualitative approaches	Criteria: each item is worth 50, total value is 100	Presentation, discussion and reflection 3 X 50			0%	
3	Able to understand approaches in research	Explain quantitative and qualitative research designs	Criteria: The score is based on the accuracy of the quantitative and qualitative research design, which is indicated by the accuracy of the systematic arrangement, maximum score is 100	Presentation, discussion and reflection 3 X 50			0%	
4	able to understand experimental research and non-experimental research	- Explain the meaning of experimental research and non-experimental research - Explain experimental research design	Criteria: 1.written test maximum score 100. 2.tasks seen from: 3.Systematize writing a maximum score of 20 4.content, maximum score 30 5.research design/design, maximum score 30 6.reference, maximum score 10 accuracy in collecting assignments, maximum score 10	Discussions, assignments, exercises, searching for library sources and other references 3 X 50			0%	
5	able to understand non- experimental research	Explains non- experimental research designs	Criteria: Essay writing test with maximum score of 100. The task is seen from: accuracy, systematics, content, research design, references (maximum score of 100)	Discussions, assignments, exercises, searching for library sources and other references 3 X 50			0%	

6	able to understand case study research in the field of geography	1.Explain the meaning of case study research 2.Explain the characteristics of case study research 3.Explain case study research design in the field of geography 4.Explain the techniques for implementing learning outcomes tests	Criteria: Accuracy in formulating case study research has a maximum score weight of 100	Discussion, problem-based learning, and assignments to find other references 3 X 50		0%
7	Able to understand research data collection techniques	- Explain the meaning of data collection techniques - Explain ways of collecting research data - Explain data collection techniques using questionnaires - Explain interview techniques - Explain observation techniques Explain documentation techniques	Criteria: 1. punctuality score 10 2. fill in the score report 30 3. correctness of technique/method score 30 4. systematic score 20 5. reference score 10 6. total score 100	Lectures, discussions and assignments 3 X 50		0%
8	UTS	1,11		3 X 50		0%
9	Able to understand research methodology in the field of education	- Explain the meaning of research methodology in the field of education - Explain the characteristics of educational research - Explain the types of educational research	Criteria: weights and scores are based on the indicators of each question	Lectures, discussions and questions and answers 3 X 50		0%
10	Able to understand problems in educational research	- Explain the meaning of a research problem - Explain the background of the problem in educational research - Explain the formulation of the educational research problem	Criteria: weights and scores for each assessment indicator	Lectures, discussions, problem- based learning and 3 X 50 assignments		0%
11	Able to understand educational research design	- Explain the meaning of research design in the field of education - Explain development research design - Explain experimental research design	Criteria: weights and scores based on indicators in each component	Lectures, discussions and problem- based learning 3 X 50		0%
12						0%
13						0%

14	Able to explain classroom action research	- explain the meaning of classroom action research - explain the classroom action research cycle - explain data analysis in classroom action research - explain the systematics of classroom action research	Criteria: weights and scores for each component in PTK	discussions, exercises and assignments 3 X 50		0%
15	Able to explain classroom action research	- explain the meaning of classroom action research - explain the classroom action research cycle - explain data analysis in classroom action research - explain the systematics of classroom action research	Criteria: weights and scores for each component in PTK	discussions, exercises and assignments 3 X 50		0%
16						0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
  predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
  unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.