



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social Sciences and Law Geography Education Undergraduate Study Program

Courses		CODE			Cou	rse F	amily		Credi	t Weig	ht	SEME	STER	Cor	npilatio e	
HUMAN GEO	GRAPHY	87202022	205		Com	pulso	ry Curi Nation	riculum	T=2	P=0 I	ECTS=3.1	3	2	July	17, 202	
AUTHORIZATION		SP Deve	oper		- Subj	ecis	- IVALIOI		e Clus	ter Co	ordinator	Study	Study Program Coordin		ordinato	
			Dr. Lidya Lestari Sitohang, M.Sc. / Dr. Rindawati, M.S.				Dr. Rir	Dr. Rindawati, M.S.			Dr. N	Dr. Nugroho Hari Purnomo S.P., M.Si.				
Learning model	Case Studies	•						1				1				
Program Learning	PLO study p	rogram that is ch	arged to	o the cou	irse											
Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-5	Able to make ap various learning									formative	geograph	ıy learni	ng by	utilizing	
	PLO-7	Able to make ap geographic appr		e decisions	s to re	solve	regiona	al probler	ns in a	spatial	context ba	sed on a	an integ	rated		
	Program Obj	Program Objectives (PO)														
	PO - 1	Have a responsivarious learning	ble attiti sources	ude, enthu both theo	ısiastio retical	to a	lways ı neoretic	update u cal devel	ndersta opment	inding releva	of human ant to huma	geograpl ın develo	ny conc pment	epts b	y utilizin	
	PO - 2		Mastering concepts related to basic topics of human geography, human relationships with their environment, human relationships with humans and human relationships with the kholiq													
	PO - 3	Able to develop	ogical, s	ystematic	thinkir	ng, an	d able	to comm	unicate	topics	in human	geograph	graphy			
	PO - 4	Able to develop l			learnii	ng ma	iterials	with up-t	o-date,	region	al topics, b	ased on	local wi	isdom,	based o	
	PLO-PO Mati															
		P.O		PLO-5		P	LO-7									
		PO-1														
		PO-1 PO-2														
		PO-2														
		PO-2 PO-3 PO-4														
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3	earning	ı stage (S	Sub-P	0)										
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4	earning	stage (S	iub-P	0)			Wool							
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4	earning	stage (S	Sub-Po	0)	6	7 8	Week	< 10	11 12	13	14	15	16	
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4					6	7 8	1		11 12	13	14	15	16	
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 the end of each I					6	7 8	1		11 12	13	14	15	16	
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 the end of each I					6	7 8	1		11 12	13	14	15	16	
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 the end of each I PO-1 PO-2					6	7 8	1		11 12	13	14	15	16	

- Aitken, Stuart and Valentine, Gill; (2006). Approaches to Human Geography . London: Sage.
- Tuathai, Gearóid Ó; Dalb, Simon; Routledge, Paul.; (1998) . The Geopolitics Reader. New York: Routledge 2. 3.
- Short, John Rennie. (2003). An Introduction To Political Geography . London : Routledge
- Pacione, Michael;(2005). Urban Geography A Global Perspective . London : Routledge
- $5. \quad \text{Koser, Khalid. (2007). International Migration. A Very Short Introduction . Oxford : Oxford University Press}$
- 6. Yunus, H.S., (2008). Dinamika Wilayah Peri-Urban, Determinan Masa Depan Kota . Pustaka Pelajar, Yogyakarta.
- Hall, Peter; (2002). Urban and Regional Plannig. London: Routledge
- 8. Vayda, Andrew P. (2009). Explaining Human Actions And Environmental Changes . Plymouth : AltaMira Press
- Jati, W. R. 2015. Bonus Demografi sebagai Mesin Pertumbuhan Ekonomi: Jendela Peluang atau Jendela Bencana di Indonesia. Populasi. (26)1. P.1-19

Supporters:

- 1. Sukamdi. 1991. Tipologi Imigrasi: Suatu Alternatif Pengembangan Konsep. Populasi. 1(2). p.57-64
- Hastuti. 2009. Perspektif Spatial dalam Kajian Geografi Manusia. Geomedia. (2). p. 31-40
- 3. Riantika, R. F. P., dan Hastuti. 2009. Kajian Kearifan Lokal dalam perspektif geografi manusia. Geomedia Majalah Ilmiah dan Informasi Kegeografian
- 4. Bjelland, M.D., Montello, D. R., Fellmann, D. D., et all. 2015. Human Geography Landscape of Human Activities. United States of America: McGraw Hill Education
- 5. Norton, W., and Mercier, M. 2019. Human Geography Tenth Edition. Canada: Oxford University Press

Supporting lecturer

Dr. Rindawati, M.Si.

Dr. Aida Kurniawati, S.Pd., M.Si. Dr. Lidya Lestari Sitohang, S.Si., M.Sc. Dian Ayu Larasati, S.Pd., M.Sc.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	ach learning age		Lear Stude	elp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Analyze the concept of human geography	The accuracy of the analysis of the concept of human geography explains the position of human geography in geographic science	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion, presentation 2 X 50		Material: Study topics within the scope of human geography. References: Aitken, Stuart and Valentine, Gill; (2006). Approaches to Human Geography. London: Sage.	5%
2	Analyzing populations	Accuracy of analyzing populations	Criteria: Completed > 65 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion & presentation of assignments 1 2 X 50		Material: Demographic bonus Reference: Jati, WR 2015. Demographic Bonus as an Engine of Economic Growth: Window of Opportunity or Window of Disaster in Indonesia. Population. (26)1. P.1-19 Material: population References: Bjelland, MD, Montello, DR, Fellmann, DD, et all. 2015. Human Geography Landscape of Human Activities. United States of America: McGraw Hill Education	5%

3	Analyze migration	Accuracy of analyzing migration	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Discussion and presentation, assignment 1 2 X 50	Material: migration References: Koser, Khalid. (2007). International Migration. A Very Short Introduction. Oxford: Oxford University Press	5%
4	Analyze local and global culture	Accuracy of analyzing local and global culture	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion and presentation, assignment 1 2 X 50	Material: local culture Literature: Riantika, RFP, and Hastuti. 2009. Local Wisdom Studies from a human geography perspective. Geomedia Scientific Magazine and Geographical Information Material: culture References: Bjelland, MD, Montello, DR, Fellmann, DD, et all. 2015. Human Geography Landscape of Human Activities. United States of America: McGraw Hill Education	5%
5	Analyzing ethnicity and gender	Accuracy of analyzing ethnicity and gender	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion and presentation, assignment 1 2 X 50	Material: ethnicity References: Norton, W., and Mercier, M. 2019. Human Geography Tenth Edition. Canada: Oxford University Press	10%
6	Analyzing language	Accuracy of analyzing language	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion and presentation, assignment 1 2 X 50	Material: language References: Aitken, Stuart and Valentine, Gill; (2006). Approaches to Human Geography. London: Sage.	5%
7	Analyzing religion	The accuracy of analyzing religion	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion and presentation, assignment 1 2 X 50	Material: religion References: Norton, W., and Mercier, M. 2019. Human Geography Tenth Edition. Canada: Oxford University Press	5%

	Т		T	1	T	ı	
8	MIDTERM EXAM	Accuracy of analysis of human geographic elements	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Test		Sidia 2 x 50	Material: Human Geography References: Bjelland, MD, Montello, DR, Fellmann, DD, et all. 2015. Human Geography Landscape of Human Activities. United States of America: McGraw Hill Education	10%
9	Analyzing Urban Geography (Urban Geography)	1.The accuracy of analyzing the population starting to live in the city 2.Accuracy of urban analysis 3.Accuracy of analyzing city forms 4.The accuracy of analyzing the role of cities in globalization	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion, presentation, assignment 3 2x50		Material: Urban geography References: Norton, W., and Mercier, M. 2019. Human Geography Tenth Edition. Canada: Oxford University Press Material: Urban characteristics from a human geography perspective . References: Bjelland, MD, Montello, DR, Fellmann, DD, et all. 2015. Human Geography Landscape of Human Activities. United States of America: McGraw Hill Education	5%
10	Analyzing Development (development)	1.Accuracy of analyzing a country's development 2.Accuracy of analyzing obstacles and factors of economic development 3.The accuracy of analyzing categories of economic development of countries on earth 4.The accuracy of analyzing the division of countries from one another economically	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion, presentation, assignment 3		Material: The concept of development from a human geography perspective. References: Bjelland, MD, Montello, DR, Fellmann, DD, et all. 2015. Human Geography Landscape of Human Activities. United States of America: McGraw Hill Education	5%

11	Analyzing about agriculture (agricuture)	1.The accuracy of analyzing agriculture and where agriculture begins 2.Accuracy of analyzing changes from agriculture to industrialization 3.Capable of explaining agriculture with a cultural landscape	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Discussion, presentation, assignment 3	Material: Agriculture (rural) as a characteristic of the landscape before it developed into a city Reference: Yunus, HS, (2008). Dynamics of Peri-Urban Areas, Determinants of the Future of Cities. Student Library, Yogyakarta.	10%
12	Analyzing Politics	1.The accuracy of analyzing politically organized spaces into states and nations 2.The accuracy of analyzing the government governing a country 3.Accuracy in analyzing established boundaries and boundary disputes occurring 4.Accuracy of analyzing national and international geopolitics	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion, presentation, assignment 4	Material: Geopolitics Bibliography: Tuathai, Gearóid Ó; Dalb, Simon; Routledge, Paul.; (1998) . The Geopolitics Reader. New York : Routledge	5%
13	Analyzing Industry and Services	1.The accuracy of analyzing how the industrial revolution began, and how it spread 2.The accuracy of analyzing industrial location theory 3.Accuracy of analyzing industry factors 4.Accuracy of analyzing industrial center countries	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion, presentation, assignment 4	Material: Aspects of industry and services in the context of urban geography. Reference: Pacione, Michael; (2005). Urban Geography A Global Perspective. London: Routledge	5%
14	Analyzing about: Human environment	1.The precision of analyzing how the Earth's environment changes over time 2.The accuracy of analyzing humans' impact on the earth's environment 3.Accuracy of analyzing the main factors that contribute to current environmental changes	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion, presentation, assignment 4 2x50	Material: Human interaction with the environment References: Bjelland, MD, Montello, DR, Fellmann, DD, et all. 2015. Human Geography Landscape of Human Activities. United States of America: McGraw Hill Education	5%

15	Analyzing: Globalization and the Geography networks	1.Accuracy of analyzing globalization 2.The accuracy of analyzing the role of humans in globalization	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Discussion, presentation, assignment 4 2x50		Material: Globalization from a human geography perspective References: Norton, W., and Mercier, M. 2019. Human Geography Tenth Edition. Canada: Oxford University Press	5%
16	Final exams	Accuracy according to the assessment rubric	Criteria: Completed >65 Form of Assessment : Test		Sidia 2 x 50	Material: Human geographic landscape Bibliography: Bjelland, MD, Montello, DR, Fellmann, DD, et all. 2015. Human Geography Landscape of Human Activities. United States of America: McGraw Hill Education	10%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	70%
2.	Portfolio Assessment	2.5%
3.	Practice / Performance	7.5%
4.	Test	20%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.