

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social Sciences and Law Geography Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | _ | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------|----------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|-------|
| Courses | | | CODE | | | C | Course Family | | | Cre | Credit Weight | | | SEN | IESTE | R | Co Dat | mpilat te | tion | |
| GENERAL GEO | | 8720202197 | | | | Compulsory Study Program Subjects | | | T=2 | T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18 | | ; | 1 July 1 | | / 17, 2 | 024 | | | | |
| AUTHORIZATIO | N | | SP Developer Course Cluster Coordinator Study Program Coord | | | | | | | | linato | r | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Dr, Nugroho Eko Budiyar | | | omo, S | 5.P., N | 1.Si. / | Dr. | Drs. M.Po | | ang Ha | ariyant | 0, | Dr. | Nugro | ho Har M. | | omo, S | 6.P., |
| Learning model | Project Based Le | arning | g | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | PLO study program that is charged to the course | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcomes (PLO) | PLO-3 | Develop logical, critical, systematic and creative thinking in carrying out specific work in their field of expertise and in accordance with work competency standards in the field concerned | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (FLO) | PLO-7 | Able to make appropriate decisions to resolve regional problems in a spatial context based on an integrated geographic approach | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | geographic studies with in-depth urban studies that support regional sustainability Program Objectives (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 1 | Synthe | esize geomo | rpholo | gical | conce | pts | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 2 | Synthe | esize landfor | m ger | netic fa | actors | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.0 | PLO-3 | | | PLO-7 | | PLO-8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-2 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-3 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-4 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.0 | | | | | | | | | Week | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | |
| | | PC | D-1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PC |)-2 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PC |)-3 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ~ | ~ | 1 | | |
| | | PC |)-4 | | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | ~ | |
| | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Short Course Description | Geomorphology is space in Geograpl are a function of s fluavial, marine, ka | ny, Ge tructu | omorphology re, relief, pro | / need | ls to b es, ma | e mas terials | tered | well b . Gen | y stud | dents. | Geom | orpho | ogical | materia | al obje | cts are | e landfo | orms. I | _andfo | orms |
| References | Main : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cooke, R. Press, Ox Goudie, A Haggett, I Panizza, I Sukandari Michael E | ford S. 20 R. J. 20 M., 199 rumidi | 04. Encyclop 003. Fundam 96. Environm , 2011. Peme | oedia nental nental etaan | of Geo s of Ge Geom Geolo | omorph eomor iorpho gi. Ga | nology pholo logy. djah l | y Volu gy. Ro Elsevi Mada | me 1. outled er, Ar Unive | Routl ge, Lo nstero rsity F | edge, ondon lam Press, ` | New Y Yogya | ork karta | | / Introd | ductior | ı,edisi | kedua | . Clare | don |

| | | Supporters: | | | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| | | 1. Purnomo 2. Carson, I 3. Verstapp 4. Zuidam, | M. A. and M. J. Kirby, en, H. Th. 1983. Appl | fologi Umum. Unipress. So 1972. Hillslope Form and lied Geomorphology. Else elado, 1979. Terrain Anal | Process. Camb vier, Amsterdan | n | | |
| Sup lecti | porting urer | Dr. Eko Budiyantı Dr. Nugroho Hari | o, S.Pd., M.Si. Purnomo, S.P., M.Si. | | | | | |
| Week | learning | | Eva | aluation | Lear Studer | lp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time] | Learning materials | Assessmen Weight (%) |
| | (Sub-PO) | | Indicator Criteria & Form | | Offline (Online (online) offline) | | [References] | |
| (1) | | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | Analyzin developr geomorp | | The accuracy of analyzing the development of geomorphological thinking | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Presentation & discussion 2 X 50 | | Material: landforms References: Carson, MA and MJ Kirby, 1972. Hillslope Form and Process. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England. Material: geomorphological concepts Bibliography: Goudie, AS 2004. Encyclopedia of Geomorphology Volume 1. Routledge, New York Material: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, Amsterdam Material: geology Reference: Sukandarrumidi, 2011. Geological Mapping. Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta | 5% |

| 2 | Analyzing the development of thinking about landforms as space | The accuracy of analyzing the development of thinking about landforms as space | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment / | Presentation & analysis of assignments 1 2 X 50 | Material: landforms References: Carson, MA and MJ Kirby, 1972. Hillslope Form and Process. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England. Material: geomorphological concepts Bibliography: Goudie, AS 2004. Encyclopedia of Geomorphology Volume 1. Routledge, New York Material: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, Amsterdam Material: geology Reference: Sukandarrumidi, 2011. Geological Mapping. Gadjah Mada University Press, | 10% |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|-----|
| 3 | Analyze the importance of geomorphology and its relationship with other sciences | Accuracy in analyzing the importance of geomorphology and its relationship with other sciences | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment | Presentation & discussion of assignments 1 2 X 50 | Yogyakarta Material: relationship between other earth sciences References: Cooke, RU and JC Dornkamp., 1990. Geomorphology in Environmental Management. A New Introduction, second edition. Claredon Press, Oxford | 5% |
| 4 | Analyzing geomorphological data | accuracy of explaining geomorphological data | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation & discussion of assignment 2 2 X 50 | Material: relationship between other earth sciences References: <i>Cooke, RU and JC Dornkamp.,</i> 1990. <i>Geomorphology</i> <i>in Environmental</i> <i>Management. A</i> <i>New Introduction,</i> <i>second edition.</i> <i>Claredon Press,</i> <i>Oxford</i> | 10% |

| 5 | Analyzing landform factors | Accuracy of analyzing landform factors | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation & discussion of assignment 2 2 X 50 | Material: geomorphological remote sensing References: Zuidam, V., and Zuidam Cancelado, 1979. Terrain Analysis Using Aerial Photography. ITC, International Institute for Aerial Surveys and Earth Sciences EnschedeMaterial: applied geomorphology References: Verstappen, H. Th. 1983. Applied Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: coudie, AS 2004. | 5% |
|---|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--|-----|
| 6 | Analyzing landform factors | Accuracy of explaining landform factors | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation & discussion of assignment 2 2 X 50 | Material: geomorphological remote sensing References: Zuidam, V., and Zuidam Cancelado, 1979. Terrain Analysis Using Aerial Photography. ITC, International Institute for Aerial Surveys and Earth Sciences EnschedeMaterial: applied geomorphology References: Verstappen, H. Th. 1983. Applied Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: coudie, AS 2004. | 10% |

| 7 | Analyzing geomorphological mapping surveys | Accuracy of analyzing geomorphological mapping surveys | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment, Porduct Assessment, Portfolio Assessment | Presentation & discussion of assignment 2 2 X 50 | | Material: geomorphological remote sensing References: Zuidam, V., and Zuidam Cancelado, 1979. Terrain Analysis Using Aerial Photography. ITC, International Institute for Aerial Surveys and Earth Sciences Enschede Material: applied geomorphology References: Verstappen, H. Th. 1983. Applied Geomorphology. Elsevier, Amsterdam Material: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, Amsterdam Material: concept Bibliography: Goudie, AS 2004. Encyclopedia of Geomorphology Volume 1. Routledge, New York | 10% |
|----|--|---|--|---|--------------------------|--|-----|
| 8 | UTS | Accuracy of geomorphological concept analysis | Criteria: Completed > 65 Form of Assessment : Test | | Test via Sidia 2 x 50 | Material: Geomorphological concepts References: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London | 4% |
| 9 | Analyzing denudational landforms | Accuracy of explaining denudational landforms | Criteria: Exactly >65 Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance | Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50 | | Material: danudasional Bibliography: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London | 5% |
| 10 | Analyzing landforms of fluvial origin | Accuracy of explaining fluvial landforms | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Practical Assessment, Practice/Performance | Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50 | | Material: fluvial Reference: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London | 5% |
| 11 | Analyzing landforms of marine and organic origin | Accuracy of describing marine and organic landforms | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50 | | Material: marine Reference: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London | 5% |
| 12 | Analyzing landforms of volcanic origin | accuracy in understanding volcanic landforms | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50 | | Material: volcanic Reference: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London | 5% |
| 13 | Analyzing landforms of dissolution/solutional/karst origin | Accuracy of analyzing solutional landforms | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50 | | Material: karst Reference: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London | 5% |

| 14 | Analyzing landforms of structural origin | Accuracy of explaining structural landforms | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50 | | Material: tectonic processes References: Carson, MA and MJ Kirby, 1972. Hillslope Form and Process. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England. | 5% |
|----|---|--|--|---|--------------------------|---|----|
| 15 | Analyzing landforms of wind/eolian origin | Accuracy in explaining eolin landforms | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment | Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50 | | Material: eolin Reference: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London | 5% |
| 16 | UAS | Accuracy of genetic analysis of landforms | Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Test | | Test via Sidia 2 x 50 | Material: Landforms References: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, Amsterdam | 5% |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

| No | Evaluation | Percentage |
|----|---|------------|
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 14.17% |
| 2. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 57.5% |
| 3. | Portfolio Assessment | 10% |
| 4. | Practical Assessment | 4.17% |
| 5. | Practice / Performance | 4.17% |
| 6. | Test | 9% |
| | | 99.01% |

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.