

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social Sciences and Law Geography Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

															-			_		
Courses			CODE			C	Course Family			Cre	Credit Weight			SEN	IESTE	R	Co Dat	mpilat te	tion	
GENERAL GEO		8720202197				Compulsory Study Program Subjects			T=2	T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18		;	1 July 1		/ 17, 2	024				
AUTHORIZATIO	N		SP Developer Course Cluster Coordinator Study Program Coord								linato	r								
			Dr, Nugroho Eko Budiyar			omo, S	5.P., N	1.Si. /	Dr.	Drs. M.Po		ang Ha	ariyant	0,	Dr.	Nugro	ho Har M.		omo, S	6.P.,
Learning model	Project Based Le	arning	g																	
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																			
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-3	Develop logical, critical, systematic and creative thinking in carrying out specific work in their field of expertise and in accordance with work competency standards in the field concerned																		
(FLO)	PLO-7	Able to make appropriate decisions to resolve regional problems in a spatial context based on an integrated geographic approach																		
	PLO-8																			
	geographic studies with in-depth urban studies that support regional sustainability Program Objectives (PO)																			
	PO - 1	Synthe	esize geomo	rpholo	gical	conce	pts													
	PO - 2	Synthe	esize landfor	m ger	netic fa	actors														
	PO - 3																			
	PO - 4																			
	PLO-PO Matrix																			
			P.0	PLO-3			PLO-7		PLO-8											
			PO-1	1																
			PO-2					1												
			PO-3						1											
			PO-4									1								
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																			
			P.0									Week								
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PC	D-1	1	1	1			1											
		PC)-2					1	1		1									
		PC)-3									1	1	1	1	~	~	1		
		PC)-4				1		1	1									~	
					1							1								1
Short Course Description	Geomorphology is space in Geograpl are a function of s fluavial, marine, ka	ny, Ge tructu	omorphology re, relief, pro	/ need	ls to b es, ma	e mas terials	tered	well b . Gen	y stud	dents.	Geom	orpho	ogical	materia	al obje	cts are	e landfo	orms. I	_andfo	orms
References	Main :																			
	 Cooke, R. Press, Ox Goudie, A Haggett, I Panizza, I Sukandari Michael E 	ford S. 20 R. J. 20 M., 199 rumidi	04. Encyclop 003. Fundam 96. Environm , 2011. Peme	oedia nental nental etaan	of Geo s of Ge Geom Geolo	omorph eomor iorpho gi. Ga	nology pholo logy. djah l	y Volu gy. Ro Elsevi Mada	me 1. outled er, Ar Unive	Routl ge, Lo nstero rsity F	edge, ondon lam Press, `	New Y Yogya	ork karta		/ Introd	ductior	ı,edisi	kedua	. Clare	don

		Supporters:						
		1. Purnomo 2. Carson, I 3. Verstapp 4. Zuidam,	M. A. and M. J. Kirby, en, H. Th. 1983. Appl	fologi Umum. Unipress. So 1972. Hillslope Form and lied Geomorphology. Else elado, 1979. Terrain Anal	Process. Camb vier, Amsterdan	n		
Sup lecti	porting urer	Dr. Eko Budiyantı Dr. Nugroho Hari	o, S.Pd., M.Si. Purnomo, S.P., M.Si.					
Week	learning		Eva	aluation	Lear Studer	lp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessmen Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)		Indicator Criteria & Form		Offline (Online (online) offline)		[References]	
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Analyzin developr geomorp		The accuracy of analyzing the development of geomorphological thinking	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Presentation & discussion 2 X 50		Material: landforms References: Carson, MA and MJ Kirby, 1972. Hillslope Form and Process. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England. Material: geomorphological concepts Bibliography: Goudie, AS 2004. Encyclopedia of Geomorphology Volume 1. Routledge, New York Material: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, Amsterdam Material: geology Reference: Sukandarrumidi, 2011. Geological Mapping. Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta	5%

2	Analyzing the development of thinking about landforms as space	The accuracy of analyzing the development of thinking about landforms as space	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment /	Presentation & analysis of assignments 1 2 X 50	Material: landforms References: Carson, MA and MJ Kirby, 1972. Hillslope Form and Process. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England. Material: geomorphological concepts Bibliography: Goudie, AS 2004. Encyclopedia of Geomorphology Volume 1. Routledge, New York Material: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, Amsterdam Material: geology Reference: Sukandarrumidi, 2011. Geological Mapping. Gadjah Mada University Press,	10%
3	Analyze the importance of geomorphology and its relationship with other sciences	Accuracy in analyzing the importance of geomorphology and its relationship with other sciences	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Presentation & discussion of assignments 1 2 X 50	Yogyakarta Material: relationship between other earth sciences References: Cooke, RU and JC Dornkamp., 1990. Geomorphology in Environmental Management. A New Introduction, second edition. Claredon Press, Oxford	5%
4	Analyzing geomorphological data	accuracy of explaining geomorphological data	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation & discussion of assignment 2 2 X 50	Material: relationship between other earth sciences References: <i>Cooke, RU and JC Dornkamp.,</i> 1990. <i>Geomorphology</i> <i>in Environmental</i> <i>Management. A</i> <i>New Introduction,</i> <i>second edition.</i> <i>Claredon Press,</i> <i>Oxford</i>	10%

5	Analyzing landform factors	Accuracy of analyzing landform factors	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation & discussion of assignment 2 2 X 50	Material: geomorphological remote sensing References: Zuidam, V., and Zuidam Cancelado, 1979. Terrain Analysis Using Aerial Photography. ITC, International Institute for Aerial Surveys and Earth Sciences EnschedeMaterial: applied geomorphology References: Verstappen, H. Th. 1983. Applied Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: coudie, AS 2004. 	5%
6	Analyzing landform factors	Accuracy of explaining landform factors	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation & discussion of assignment 2 2 X 50	Material: geomorphological remote sensing References: Zuidam, V., and Zuidam Cancelado, 1979. Terrain Analysis Using Aerial Photography. ITC, International Institute for Aerial Surveys and Earth Sciences EnschedeMaterial: applied geomorphology References: Verstappen, H. Th. 1983. Applied Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, AmsterdamMaterial: coudie, AS 2004. 	10%

7	Analyzing geomorphological mapping surveys	Accuracy of analyzing geomorphological mapping surveys	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment, Porduct Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Presentation & discussion of assignment 2 2 X 50		Material: geomorphological remote sensing References: Zuidam, V., and Zuidam Cancelado, 1979. Terrain Analysis Using Aerial Photography. ITC, International Institute for Aerial Surveys and Earth Sciences Enschede Material: applied geomorphology References: Verstappen, H. Th. 1983. Applied Geomorphology. Elsevier, Amsterdam Material: environment Bibliography: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, Amsterdam Material: concept Bibliography: Goudie, AS 2004. Encyclopedia of Geomorphology Volume 1. Routledge, New York	10%
8	UTS	Accuracy of geomorphological concept analysis	Criteria: Completed > 65 Form of Assessment : Test		Test via Sidia 2 x 50	Material: Geomorphological concepts References: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London	4%
9	Analyzing denudational landforms	Accuracy of explaining denudational landforms	Criteria: Exactly >65 Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance	Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50		Material: danudasional Bibliography: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London	5%
10	Analyzing landforms of fluvial origin	Accuracy of explaining fluvial landforms	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Practical Assessment, Practice/Performance	Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50		Material: fluvial Reference: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London	5%
11	Analyzing landforms of marine and organic origin	Accuracy of describing marine and organic landforms	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50		Material: marine Reference: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London	5%
12	Analyzing landforms of volcanic origin	accuracy in understanding volcanic landforms	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50		Material: volcanic Reference: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London	5%
13	Analyzing landforms of dissolution/solutional/karst origin	Accuracy of analyzing solutional landforms	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50		Material: karst Reference: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London	5%

14	Analyzing landforms of structural origin	Accuracy of explaining structural landforms	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50		Material: tectonic processes References: Carson, MA and MJ Kirby, 1972. Hillslope Form and Process. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.	5%
15	Analyzing landforms of wind/eolian origin	Accuracy in explaining eolin landforms	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Presentation & discussion of assignment 3 2 X 50		Material: eolin Reference: Haggett, RJ 2003. Fundamentals of Geomorphology. Routledge, London	5%
16	UAS	Accuracy of genetic analysis of landforms	Criteria: Exactly >65 Form of Assessment : Test		Test via Sidia 2 x 50	Material: Landforms References: Panizza, M., 1996. Environmental Geomorphology. Elsevier, Amsterdam	5%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	14.17%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	57.5%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	10%
4.	Practical Assessment	4.17%
5.	Practice / Performance	4.17%
6.	Test	9%
		99.01%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.