

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social and Legal Sciences Geography Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE	CODE			C	Course Family			Credit Weight			SE	MESTE	R	Co	mpilatio te	n		
Basic Cartography			872020208	0202080 Compulsory Stud			tudy		T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.1	8	1		Jul	y 17, 202	4			
AUTHORIZAT	ION		SP Develo	per			Lp	Program	n Subje	ects Co	ours	e Clus	ter C	coordinator	Stu	idy Pro	ogram (	Coordi	nator	
			Putu Wirab	Putu Wirabumi, S.Si., M.Sc.				Dr	Dr. Eko Budiyanto, M.Si Dr. Nugroho Hari Purnomo,				ımo, S.P.	,						
Learning model	Project Based L	earnin	ng														111.	51.		
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																			
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-5	Able learn	le to make appropriate decisions to solve educational problems and transformative geography learning by utilizing various arning resources based on science and technology and the arts																	
	PLO-7	Able appro	Able to make appropriate decisions to resolve regional problems in a spatial context based on an integrated geographic approach																	
	Program Objec	tives	(PO)																	
	PO - 1	Analy	/ze cartographi	c con	cepts															
	PO - 2	Desci	ribe the compo	nents	s of a i	map														
	PO - 3	Exam	nining map sym	nbols a	and w	riting o	on ma	aps to	make g	jood a	and o	correct	map	S						
	PO - 4	Interp	preting contour	maps	s to su	ipport i	geog	raphic	resear	ch an	d ge	ograph	ıy edi	ucation						
	PLO-PO Matrix																			
			P.O		PLO	-5		PLC	D-7											
			PO-1																	
			PO-2																	
			PO-3																	
			PO-4																	
			104																	
	PO Matrix at th	e end	of each lear	ning	stage	e (Sub	-PO	)												
						-														
			P.0				Week													
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	0 11	12	13	14	15	16	
		P	0-1																	
		P	0-2																	
		P	0-3																	
			0-4										_							
			0-4																	
Short Course Description	This course is a d and correct maps and globes. Foll components, layo good and correct read and interpre Field practice is measurements. A answer, assignments	course s and b owed b out and maps t conto also ta Achieve ent me	that discusse be able to read by an understa d lettering as v . An introductio our maps corre- taught to stude ement of learn thods. Assess	s mak and i anding vell as on to c ectly. <sup>-</sup> ents s ning c ment	king m interpr g of m s varie contou The u so the compe is carr is carr	naps, re ret map nap pro ous sy ur map se of in ey can etencie ried ou	eadin os ac ojecti mbol s and nform carr s us t by p	ig and curate ons to s are d their nation y out ing the perform	interpr ly. The precog discuss proper technol measu e Proje mance a	eting discu nize t sed in ties is logy in remer ect Ba and w	map ussio the t ord s giv n this nts, ased vritte	os with n begi ypes o er to p en in o s activ plotting Learr n tests	the ans with of pro- rovid order ity with g and ning a	aim of makin th cartograp ojections tha e students to provide u Il make it ea I making m approach w	ng it e bhic co at are with u unders asier t asier t naps b <i>i</i> th ind	asier fo oncepts suitable ndersta standing o make based c quiry, d	or stude applied for ce unding s to stud good a good a iscussio	nts to i l in ma ertain a so they dents s and cor uth an on, que	nake goo ps, atlase reas. Ma can mal o they ca rect map d distance estion an	d s p c n s c d
References	Main :																			-
	<ol> <li>Prihandit</li> <li>Raize, Ei</li> <li>Buchroitt</li> <li>Graferen</li> <li>I. Ferjan</li> <li>2. Dewi L</li> <li>3. Badan</li> <li>Wirabum</li> </ol>	o, Aryo rwin, 1 nner, N d, E.W Orme Lies N. koord i, P. (2	ono, 1989, Kar 984, General ( A.F., 2014. Par J., 2013. Map p Jing. 2013, Kar S., Andi lewan linasi Survei da 2023). Modul P	tograf Cartog adigm oroject tigrafi B., S an Per roject	fi, Yog graphy ns In C tions . i Tema apton metaa Karto	yyakart v, New Cartogr Cartho atik : A o Putro n Nasi ografi T	a : M York aphy ograp spek o . , 2 ional emai	itra Ga : Johr , Dres hyc In Sosia 2014, k . 2003 tik. UN	ama Wi o Wiley sden: S formatii I dan E Kartogra G, Modu IESA: S	dya & Sor pringe on Sy konor afi Da I Pela Suraba	ns, l er. vsten mi , ` sar, atihai aya.	nc n. Stutt Yogyal Yogya n ; Mei	gart: :arta, karta nbac	Springer. Penerbit O , Penerbit C a Peta Cibir	mbak )mbak nong E	Dua. 3ogor , I	Bakosu	rtanal		

		Supporters:							
Support lecturer	ing	Drs. Agus Sutedjo Dr. Lidya Lestari S Mohammad Dam Putu Wirabumi, S	o, M.Si. Sitohang an Huri, S Si., M.S	, S.Si., M.Sc. S.Pd., M.Sc. c.					
Final abilities of each learning stage		al abilities of h learning ge	Evalua		ation	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Su	b-PO)	I	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline( offline)	Online ( <i>online</i> )	[ itereferences ]	
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	An cai ma glc	alyze rtographic ncepts applied in ups, atlas, and ubes	1.Ex me of d ana car cor cor sys car 2.Ac of d cor ma glo	plain the aning and scope cartography; alyze tographic necepts; explain mmunication stems in tography curate analysis cartographic necepts applied in ps, atlases and bes	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment 3.Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 2 X 50		Material: 1. Definition and scope of cartography. 2. Cartographic concepts 3. Communication systems in cartography Literature: 2. Dewi Lites NS Andi Iewan B., Saptono Putro . , 2014, Basic Cartography, Yogyakarta, Ombak Publishers.	5%
2	An cai ma glc	alyze rtographic ncepts applied in ups, atlas, and ubes	1.An ma typ ant cf 2.Ac cof cor ma glo	alyze types of ps; analyze the es of atlases; alyze the types globes curate analysis cartographic ncepts applied in ps, atlases and bes	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment 3.Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Darticinatory Activities	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 2 X 50		Material: 1. Types of Maps 2. Types of Atlases 3. Types of Globes Library: 2. Dewi Lies NS, Andi Iewan B., Saptono Putro . , 2014, Basic Cartography, Yogyakarta, Ombak Publishers.	5%
	A	- h			Participatory Activities				
3	An typ pro fin of ap pa	alyze various ess of map ojections used to d out which type projection is propriate for a rticular area	1.Ex of i exp pro req 2.Ac ana typ pro det of j sui par	plain the concept map projection; olains map jection juirements curacy of alysis of various es of map jections used to remine the type projection that is table for a ticular area	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 2 X 50		Material: 1. Map projection concept 2. Map projection requirements <b>Reference:</b> <i>Graferend, EW,</i> 2013. Map projections .Carthographic Information System. Stuttgart: Springer.	5%
4	An typ pro fin of ap pa	alyze various pes of map ojections used to d out which type projection is propriate for a rticular area	1.An of i exp pro 2.Ac ana typ pro det of j sui par	alyze the types map projections; olains modified ojections curacy of alysis of various es of map ojections used to termine the type projection that is table for a ticular area	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 2 X 50		Material: 1. Types of map projections 2. Explaining Modified Projections <b>References:</b> <i>Graferend, EW,</i> 2013. Map projections .Carthographic Information System. Stuttgart: Springer.	5%
5	An coi ma coi	alyze map mponents to ake good and rrect maps	1.Ex title oria exp exp of t 2.Ac of r for and	plains the map entation; analyze p legends; olains map inset; olains the outline the map currate analysis map components making good d correct maps	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment 3.Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 2 X 50		Material: 1. Map title 2. Map orientation 3. Map legend 4. Map inset 5. Map outline <b>Reference:</b> <i>Prihandito, Aryono,</i> <i>1989, Cartography,</i> <i>Yogyakarta : Mitra</i> <i>Gama Widya</i>	5%

6	Analyzing map components for making good and correct maps and cartographic generalizations	<ol> <li>Explain the source of the map; describes the mapmaker; explaining map coordinates; explains the scale of the map</li> <li>Accurate analysis of map components for making good and correct maps and cartographic generalization</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Group Assignment 2 X 50		Material: 1. Map source 2. Map maker 3. Map coordinates 4. Map scale Library: Prihandito, Aryono, 1989, Cartography, Yogyakarta : Mitra Gama Widya	5%
7	Analyzing map layouts and lettering to make good and correct maps	<ol> <li>Explain the meaning of map layout; analyzing map layout models; explain the meaning of lettering; explain lettering determination; explain types of letters; explain letter placement; explain the basic symbols on a map</li> <li>Accurate analysis of map layout and lettering for making good and correct maps</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Group Assignment 2 X 50		Material: 1. Understanding map layout 2. Map layout models 3. Understanding lettering 4. Determining lettering 5. Types of letters 6. Placement of letters 7. Basic symbols in maps <b>Reference</b> : 2. Dewi Lies NS. Andi <i>lewan B., Saptono</i> Putro . , 2014, Basic Cartography, Yogyakarta, Ombak Publishers.	5%
8	Midterm Exam (UTS)	Provisions according to the assessment rubric	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment 3.Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Test		LMS SIDIA 2 X 50	Material: Meetings 1 to 7 References: Prihandito, Aryono, 1989, Cartography, Yogyakarta : Mitra Gama Widya Material: Meetings 1 to 7 References: Raize, Erwin, 1984, General Cartography, New York : John Wiley & Sons, Inc Material: Meetings 1 to 7 References: Buchroithner, MF, 2014. Paradigms in Cartography, Dresden: Springer. Material: Meetings 1 to 7 References: Graferend, EW, 2013. Map projections .Carthographic Information System. Stuttgart: Springer.	10%
9	Analyzing map symbols to make good and correct maps	<ol> <li>Explain the meaning of map symbols; explains the placement of map symbols</li> <li>Accurate analysis of map symbols for making good and correct maps</li> </ol>	Criteria: Assessment of essay questions is carried out at the UAS Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Group Assignment 2 X 50		Material: 1. Understanding map symbols 2. Explaining the placement of map symbols. Reference: 2. Dewi Lies NS,. Andi Iewan B., Saptono Putro ., 2014, Basic Cartography, Yogyakarta, Ombak Publishers.	5%

10	Analyzing map symbols to make good and correct maps	<ol> <li>Explain the classification of map symbols</li> <li>Accurate analysis of map symbols for making good and correct maps</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Group Assignment 2 X 50	Material: Classification of map symbols <b>References:</b> 2. Dewi Lies NS,. Andi Iewan B., Saptono Putro . , 2014, Basic Cartography, Yogyakarta, Ombak Publishers.	5%
11	Analyze contour maps or topographic maps to recognize the character of the earth's surface	<ol> <li>Explain the properties of contour lines; measuring and calculating distances; measure and calculate area using square, triangle, and strip methods; measure and calculate volume</li> <li>Accurate analysis of contour maps or topographic maps to recognize the character of the earth's surface</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Group Assignment 2 X 50	Material: 1. Properties of Contour Lines 2. Measuring and calculating distances 6.3. Measuring and calculating area using square, triangle and strip methods. 6.4. Measuring and calculating volume. References: 3. National Survey and Mapping Coordinating Agency. 2003, Training Module; Reading the Cibinong Bogor Map, Bakosurtanal 	5%
12	Analyze contour maps or topographic maps to recognize the character of the earth's surface	<ol> <li>Determining direction based on azimuth and bearing; determine the location of a place descriptively (qualitatively); determine the location of a place quantitatively by measuring distance and direction, distance and distance, direction and direction; determine a place based on latitude and longitude and UTM</li> <li>Accurate analysis of contour maps or topographic maps to recognize the character of the earth's surface</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Group Assignment 2 X 50	Material: 1. Direction based on azi-muth and bearing 2. Determining the location of a place descriptively (qualitatively) 3. Determining the location of a place quantitatively by measuring distance and direction, distance and distance, direction and direction 4. Determining a place based on latitude and longitude and UTM Library: 3. National Survey and Mapping Coordinating Agency. 2003, Training Module; Reading the Cibinong Bogor Map, Bakosurtanal Material: Thematic Cartography Project Bibliography: Wirabumi, P. (2023). Thematic Cartography Project Module. UNESA: Surabaya.	5%

13	Analyze contour maps or topographic maps to recognize the character of the earth's surface	<ol> <li>Calculating the height of a place using a contour map; calculating slope with contour maps; interpret terrain conditions with contour maps</li> <li>Accurate analysis of contour maps or topographic maps to recognize the character of the earth's surface</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Group Assignment 2 X 50	Material: 1. Calculating the height of a place using a contour map 2. Calculating the slope using a contour map 3. Interpreting terrain conditions using a contour map <b>References</b> : 3. National Survey and Mapping Coordinating Agency. 2003, Training Module; Reading the Cibinong Bogor Map, Bakosurtanal <b>Material:</b> Thematic Cartography Project <b>Bibliography</b> : Wirabumi, P. (2023). Thematic Cartography Project <b>Module</b> . UNESA: Surabaya.	10%
14	Analyze maps based on distance and azimuth measurements in the field	<ol> <li>Determine the starting point of measurement and the next point; measure the azimuth and distance between the starting point and the 2nd point using a compass/theodolite; measure the azimuth and distance at the next points to the original position</li> <li>The accuracy of map analysis is based on distance and azimuth measurements in the field</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment of Learning Outcomes Forms of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practical Assessment	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Group Assignment 2 X 50	Material: 1. Determine the starting point of measurement and the next point. 2. Measure the azimuth and distance between the starting point and the 2nd point using a compass/theodolite. 3. Measurement of the azimuth and distance from the next points to the original position. References: 2. Dewi Lies NS, Andi lewan B., Saptono Putro., 2014, Basic Cartography, Yogyakarta, Ombak Publishers. Material: Thematic Cartography Project Bibliography: Wirabumi, P. (2023). Thematic Cartography Project Module. UNESA: Surabava.	5%
15	Analyze maps based on distance and azimuth measurements in the field	<ol> <li>Carry out correction calculations for measurement points; plot measurement points on drawing paper; make maps according to the rules of good and correct maps</li> <li>The accuracy of map analysis is based on distance and azimuth measurements in the field</li> </ol>	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment 3.Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	1. Lecture 2. Question and Answer 3. Discussion 4. Group Assignment 2 X 50	Material: 1. Calculation of corrections to measurement points. 2. Plotting measurement points on drawing paper. 3. Making maps in accordance with good and correct map rules. References: 2. Dewi Lies NS, Andi lewan B., Saptono Putro ., 2014, Basic Cartography, Yogyakarta, Ombak Publishers. Material: Thematic Cartography Project Bibliography: Wirabumi, P. (2023). Thematic Cartography Project Module. UNESA: Surabaya.	10%

16	Final Semester Examination (UAS)	Provisions according to the assessment rubric	Criteria: 1.Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM): > 65 2.Learning Process Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio	2	2 X 50	Material: Meetings 1 to 15 References: Prihandito, Aryono, 1989, Cartography, Yogyakarta : Mitra Gama Widya Material: Meetings 1 to 15 References: Raize, Erwin, 1984, General Cartography, New York : John Wiley & Sons, Inc	10%
			Assessment 3.Assessment of Learning Outcomes Form of Assessment Project Results Assessment, Portfolio Assessment			Material: Meetings 1 to 15 References: Raize, Erwin, 1984, General Cartography, New York : John Wiley & Sons, Inc Material: Meetings 1 to 15 References: Buchroithner, MF, 2014. Paradigms in Cartography, Dresden: Springer. Material: Meetings 1 to 15 References: Graferend, EW, 2013. Map projections .Carthographic Information System. Stuttgart: Springer. Material: Thematic Cartography Project Bibliography: Wirabumi, P. (2023). Thematic Cartography Project Module. UNESA: Surabaya.	

## Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	25%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	52.5%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	5%
4.	Practical Assessment	2.5%
5.	Practice / Performance	5%
6.	Test	10%
		100%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on 6 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field 8. Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative 9.
- Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods. 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.