

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Fine Arts Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

			SEME	ESTER	LEA	RN	ING F	PLAN				
Courses			CODE		Course Family		Credit W	eight/	SEM	ESTER	Compilation Date	
Video Ar	t		902010	03061			T=3 P=	0 ECTS=4.7	77	5	July 18, 2024 Im Chrysanti M.Sn. 15 16 Thes technical dialogue n a series of In this lecture g technology, ate the use of	
AUTHOR	RIZAT	TON	SP De	veloper			ırse Clust ordinator	er	Stud	ly Progr rdinator	am	
Decise Boards								D	Dra. Indah Chrysanti Angge, M.Sn.			
Learning model	J	Project Based L	earning									
Program		PLO study prog	gram that i	is charged to	the co	urse						
Learning Outcom		Program Object	tives (PO)									
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix										
			P	2.0								
		PO Matrix at th	e end of ea	ach learning	stage (Sub-	PO)					
			P.O				We	eek				
				1 2 3 4	1 5 6	5 7	8 9	10 11	12 13	3 14	15 16	
Short Course Descript	tion	This course devenistorical and the between practice exercises and anyou will engage tools and procestechnology for fin	eoretical aspe, video art integrated with technoloses through	pects of video t history, insta program, prac ogy as a proc n analytical, te	art prace allation-rectical vide ess of cr	ctice. elated eo pro eating	Éncourag and scre duction to and inve	ing students eening-based itorials will be stigating wor	to deve I modes e contex ks of art	elop a c , throug tualized. , explori	ritical dialogue h a series of In this lecture ng technology,	
Referen	ces	Main :										
		 Chris Meigh-Andrews. 2013. A History of Video Art . Bloomsbury Academic. Sylvia Martin. 2006. Video Art . Taschen. Stuart Comer. 2008. Film and Video Art . Tate. Michael Rush. 2007. Video Art . Thames & Hudson. Ken Dancyger. 2010. The Technique of Film and Video Editing: History, Theory, and Practice . Focal Press. 										
		Supporters:										
Support lecturer		Asy Syams Elya	Ahmad, S.P	d., M.Ds.								
Week-	eac	al abilities of th learning ge b-PO)	Ev	valuation Criteria & Fe	orm Off	Stu	Estimate	ethods, gnments,	ma	arning terials [erences	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(23	-,			off	(fline)				1		
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	1	5)		(6)		(7)	(8)	

1	Students are asked to make motion studies using drawing techniques divided into 1/16 or 1/24 seconds per drawing sheet.	3 X 50	0%
2	Students understand the logic of movement of an object.	3 X 50	0%
3	Students understand the logic of movement of an object. Create a Story Board	3 X 50	0%
4	Students are able to do digital processing	3 X 50	0%
5	Presentation and evaluation of the results of training project work 1	3 X 50	0%
6	Students are able to create animation/motion graphic materials	3 X 50	0%
7	Conduct a joint review of ideas and visualization designs from project 2	3 X 50	0%
8	Students are able to create video works using a stop motion approach	3 X 50	0%
9	Students are able to create individual works using Motiongraph animation techniques	3 X 50	0%
10	Students are able to present individual work	3 X 50	0%
11	Students can use video devices for recording (shooting)	3 X 50	0%
12	Students are able to carry out the production stage of individual works based on camera recording	3 X 50	0%
13	Students are able to do video editing	3 X 50	0%
14	Students are able to do video editing	3 X 50	0%
15	Students are able to present their work in public spaces	3 X 50	0%
16			0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

Notes

1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills

- according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.