

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Fine Arts Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses				CODE			Course Family			C	Credit Weight				EMESTE	R	Compilation Date	
Introduction to Fine Arts Studies			es	9020102033			Compulsory Study			Т	'=2 F	P=0	ECTS=3.1	8	1		July 16, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer			Program Subjects Cours			rse (Cluste	er Co	oordinator	S	tudy Pro	gram	Coordinato		
			Dr. Drs. Djuli Djatiprambudi, M								[Dra. Indah Chrysanti Angge, M.Sn.						
Learning model	J	Case Studies		<u> </u>														
Program		PLO study program that is charged to the course																
Learning Outcom		Program Objec	tives ((PO)														
(PLO)		PO - 1	Produ	ice book reviev	ws or text	book	reviev	vs										
		PLO-PO Matrix																
				P.O PO-1														
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																	
		P.O							Week									
					1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10) 11 1	12	13 14	4	15 16
			PC	D-1														
		This course exan cultural influences												, scope, st	ructu	ire, mediu	ım, a	rt movements
Referen	ces	Main :																
		 Lois Fichner-Rathus. 2012. Foundations of Art & Design. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning. Charles Harrison. 2010. An Introduction to Art. Yale University Press. Otto Ocvirk, Robert E. Stinson, Philip R Wigg, Robert O Bone, David L Cayton. 2012. Art Fundamentals: Theory and Practice. McGraw Hill. Soedarso Sp. 2006. Trilogi Seni; Penciptaan, Eksistensi, dan Kegunaan Seni. Badan Penerbit ISI Yogyakarta. 																
Supp		Supporters:	ers:															
Support lecturer		Dr. Drs. Djuli Djat Asy Syams Elya																
Week- ead		nal abilities of ch learning age ub-PO)		Evaluation			Form	Le Stud [Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] e (Online (online)				-[Learning materials [References]		Assessment Weight (%)
	(24	- /		ndicator	Crite	110.00	Form			ine (ine)		Uni	ine	(onnine)				
(1)		(2)		(3)		(4)			(5)			(6)		(7)		(8)

1	 Able to understand the scope of SR studies Able to provide examples of types of 2D and 3D fine art works 	Understand the scope of SR studies	Criteria: Ability to understand the scope of SR studies and provide examples of types of 2D and 3D fine art works Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 2 X 50	Sc art Lii Sc 20 Trn Cr Ex US IS IS IS Pu	aterial: cope of fine ts studies brary: bedarso Sp. 006. Art illogy; reation, kistence, and ses of Art. il Yogyakarta ublishing gency.	5%
2	 Students understand art as a science: Ontological objects, paradigms, and methodologies. Able to differentiate the characteristics of fine arts disciplines in terms of the study and creation of art 	Understanding Fine Art as a science: Ontological objects, paradigms and methodologies.	Criteria: Students' ability to understand fine arts as a science and distinguish the characteristics of fine arts disciplines in terms of the study and creation of art Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Cearmah, discussion, question and answer 2 X 50	Sc stu cre Lil Sc 20 Trn Cr Ex US JSJ Pu	aterial: cope of art udies and art eation brary: oedarso Sp. 006. Art rilogy; reation, xistence, and ses of Art. il Yogyakarta ublishing gency.	5%
3	 Students understand Fine Art as a Work of Art: Maintifacts, Artifacts, Sosifacts. Able to identify dimensions of ideas, forms and artistic values in works of fine art 	Understanding Fine Art as a Work of Art: Maintifacts, Artifacts, Sosifacts.	Criteria: Students' ability to understand Fine Art as a Work of Art and identify the dimensions of ideas, forms and artistic values in works of art Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	lecture, discussion, question and answer 2 X 50	lde an va Re Cr Ha 20 Inti Ar. Ur	aterial: eas, forms nd artistic alues eader: harles arrison. D10. An troduction to t. Yale niversity ress.	5%
4	 Students understand various approaches to the study of fine arts: the mythological approach Look for and discuss examples of fine art studies based on a mythological approach 	Understanding Various Approaches to the Study of Fine Arts: Mythological Approach	Criteria: Ability to understand various approaches to fine arts studies and the ability to find examples of fine arts studies based on mythological approaches through discussion Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions and questions and answers 2 X 50	My ap the fin Bi <i>Cf</i> <i>Ha</i> 20 Int Ar <i>U</i> <i>U</i>	aterial: ythological pproach in e study of he arts ibliography: harles arrison. D10. An troduction to rt. Yale niversity ress.	5%
5	 Students understand the Formalist Approach Look for and discuss examples of fine arts studies based on a formalist approach 	 Understanding the Formalist Approach Search for and discuss works of art based on a formalist approach 	Criteria: Ability to understand the formalism approach and the ability to find examples of fine arts studies based on the formalism approach through discussion activities Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions and questions and answers 2 X 50	Fo ap Fo Ot Re Sti R R R Ca Ar Fu Th Pr	aterial: prmalism proach: prm structure eferences: tto Ocvirk, obert E. tinson, Philip Wigg, obert O pre, David L ayton. 2012. t undamentals: heory and ractice. cGraw Hill.	5%

6	1.Students understand the	1. Understanding	Criteria: Understanding of	Lectures, discussions	Material: Historical	5%
	Historical Approach 2.Look for and discuss examples of fine art studies based on a historical approach	the Historical Approach 2.Able to show examples of historical approaches in the study of fine arts	historical approaches and the ability to find examples of fine arts studies based on historical approaches through discussion activities Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	and questions and answers 2 X 50	approach in the study of fine arts Reference: Soedarso Sp. 2006. Art Trilogy; Creation, Existence, and Uses of Art. ISI Yogyakarta Publishing Agency.	
7	Students understand the Sociological Approach	Understanding Sociological Approaches	Criteria: Students' ability to understand the Sociological Approach Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions and questions and answers 2 X 50	Material: about sociological approaches Bibliography: Charles Harrison. 2010. An Introduction to Art. Yale University Press.	5%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: Able to analyze works of art based on 3 approaches (mythological, formalist, sociological) correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	written test 2 X 50	Material: Approaches to art studies Literature: Soedarso Sp. 2006. Art Trilogy; Creation, Existence, and Uses of Art. ISI Yogyakarta Publishing Agency.	15%
9	 Students understand the Philosophical Approach Able to provide examples of fine arts studies based on a philosophical approach 	 Understanding Philosophical Approaches Explain the structure of fine art analysis based on a philosophical approach 	Criteria: Explain the structure of fine art analysis based on a philosophical approach correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions and questions and answers 2 X 50	Material: Philosophical approach Bibliography: Soedarso Sp. 2006. Art Trilogy; Creation, Existence, and Uses of Art. ISI Yogyakarta Publishing Agency.	10%
10	Students are able to understand the Construction of Modern SR Thought	 Understanding the Construction of Modern Art Thought Explain the historical background to the emergence of modern art in the west 	Criteria: Explain the historical background to the emergence of modern art in the West correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions and questions and answers 2 X 50	Material: Modern art Bibliography: Otto Ocvirk, Robert E. Stinson, Philip R Wigg, Robert O Bone, David L Cayton. 2012. Art Fundamentals: Theory and Practice. McGraw Hill.	5%
11	 Students are able to understand the construction of Contemporary SR Thought. Able to identify the characteristics of contemporary art 	 Understanding the construction of Contemporary Art Thought. socio- historical background to the emergence of contemporary art 	Criteria: socio-historical background to the emergence of contemporary art properly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions and questions and answers 2 X 50	Material: Contemporary art Readers: Otto Ocvirk, Robert E. Stinson, Philip R Wigg, Robert O Bone, David L Cayton. 2012. Art Fundamentals: Theory and Practice. McGraw Hill.	5%

12	 Students are able to understand the Social Field Theory of Art Discusses the sociohistorical basis of the social field of modern and contemporary art 	 Understanding the Social Field Theory of Art Able to identify the main role of institutions in the social field of fine arts 	Criteria: Able to correctly identify the main role of institutions in the social field of fine arts Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, group assignments 2 X 50	s m B C C R S R R B C A F T F F	Material: The social field of modern art Bibliography: Dtto Ocvirk, Robert E. Stinson, Philip R Wigg, Robert O Bone, David L Cayton. 2012. Art -undamentals: Theory and Practice. McGraw Hill.	5%
13	 Students are able to understand Art Market Theory Able to identify the art market network for modern art 	 Understanding Art Market Theory Explain the main roles of galleries, curators, art management, art dealers and art auctioneers 	Criteria: Explains the main roles of galleries, curators, art management, art dealers and art auctioneers in a comprehensive manner Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions and group assignments 2 X 50	m n B C C R S R R B C A F T F F F	Material: Art narket Bibliography: Ditto Ocvirk, Robert E. Stinson, Philip R Wigg, Robert O Bone, David L Cayton. 2012. Art Fundamentals: Fheory and Practice. McGraw Hill.	5%
14	 Students are able to understand Arts Management Explain the main functions of arts management 	 1.Able to understand Arts Management 2.Able to explain the main functions of arts management in general and specifically 	Criteria: Able to explain the main functions of arts management in general and specifically correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Lectures, discussions and group assignments 2 X 50	т Р С Н 2 1 И С 1 И С С 1 И С С 1 И С С 1 С С 1 1 И С С С 1 С С С С	Material: Art nanagement Reader: Charles Harrison. 2010. An ntroduction to Art. Yale University Press.	5%
15	 Students are able to understand Art Education Theory Able to identify arts education in the context of creative and aesthetic education 	Understanding Art Education Theory in the context of creative and aesthetic education	Criteria: Understand Art Education Theory in the context of creative and aesthetic education correctly	Lectures, discussions and individual assignments 2 X 50	е L S 2 Т С С Е Ц Ц Я Я Я Я Я Я Я Я Я Я Я Я Я Я Я Я Я	Material: Art education Literature: Soedarso Sp. 2006. Art Trilogy; Creation, Existence, and Jses of Art. SI Yogyakarta Publishing Agency.	5%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: Ability to create articles and book reviews Form of Assessment : Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	projects, assignments, recitations 2 X 50	w a b L S S 2 T C E U S F F	Material: writing articles and reviews of books .ibrary: Soedarso Sp. 2006. Art Frilogy; Creation, Existence, and Jses of Art. SI Yogyakarta Publishing Agency.	15%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	75%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	7.5%
3.	Practice / Performance	7.5%
4.	Test	10%
		100%

Notes 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their

study program obtained through the learning process.

- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods. 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.