

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Fine Arts Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

				SE	MES	STE	RI	LE	AF	RNII	NG	PL	.AN						
Courses				CODE				urse nily		Cred	lit We	ight		s	EMES	STER	Cor	mpilation e	
Critical and Curatorial Studies **				9020104058					T=4	P=0	ECT	S=6.36	6	7		July	<i>,</i> 18, 202	1	
AUTHORIZATION		:	SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator					Study Program Coordinator						
																. Indal Angge		rysanti Sn.	
Learning model		Project Ba	ased	Learr	ning														
Program		PLO stud	ly pr	ograr	n that i	is cha	ırged	to t	he c	ourse	)								
Learning Outcome		Program	Obje	ective	s (PO)														
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix																	
		P.O																	
		PO Matri	x at t	he er	nd of e	ach le	arnii	ng si	tage	(Sub	-PO)								
			ı	P.O	1 2	3	4 !	5 6	6 7	8	Wee	ek 10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
Short Course Descript	ion	This cours and interp innovation ideas and developing arts mana situations, available results, with	oret I and prac g and igeme enal resou	knowle experi ctices prese ent kr bling d irces	edge a imentati regardienting conting conting conting conting conting and the solve an	nd union in contemporate in co	dersta curato intemporary h the s in d mana	andir orial p porar exh abil iscus agem	ng of oracticy cubilities in the cubic state of the	cura ce and ration n. Stud o critic emerg proble	torial d exhib critic dents due, u ging n ms an	pract pitions ally r are le se ar ew is	ice, cr s, identi eflect o d to acl nd app sues, c	itical ify, e on i hiev ly th reat	lly disevaluates ssues e app nat kr ively	scuss Ite and Ite in correct Iterropriate It	and d app once te an dge i	examino oly severa ptualizing d relevan n various vely using	e l l, it s
Reference	ces	Main :																	
		2. CI 3. Sy 4. Ro Pr 5. Ca	live C ylvan obert ress. arolee	azeau Barne S. N	us. 2014 ux (Ed.). et, Hugo elson, I a. 2010. Martin,	. 2011. Bedar Richard	. The u. 20: d Shi uratin	Cont 13. C ff. 20 g: Int	inent ritica 003. ( tervie	al Aes I Thinl Critica ws wi	thetics king, F I Term th Ten	s Rea Readir ns for Inter	der. Rong, and Art H	outle Wri istor Il Cu	edge. ting. E y . U rators	Bedfor nivers	ity o	oks. f Chicago	)
		Supporters:																	
Supporti lecturer	ing	Asy Syam	s Elya	a Ahm	ad, S.P	d., M.[	Ds.												
		ities of		E	valuati	on			Stu	earnir dent	Learn ng me Assig nated	thods nmer	ıts,		Learr matei				
Week-	eac lear	h ning													[	เนเอ		sessmen eight (%)	

	stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	References ]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	r	er		h 4 X 50			0%
2	Yu	ui		UI 4 X 50			0%
3	rt	rt		RT 4 X 50			0%
4	rt	rt		RT 4 X 50			0%
5	ty	ty		fg 4 X 50			0%
6	rt	rt		gh 4 X 50			0%
7	fg	fgfg	Criteria:	fg 4 X 50			0%
8	er	fd		df 4 X 50			0%
9							0%
10							0%
11							0%
12							0%
13							0%
14							0%
15							0%
16							0%

## **Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning**

No	Evaluation	Percentage	ľ
		0%	

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.