

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Fine Arts Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

		SEMESTER	LEAR	NING	PLAN				
Courses		CODE	Course Family	Credi	t Weight	SEMESTER	Compilation Date		
Art and P	ublic Spaces *	9020102047		T=2	P=0 ECTS=3.18	5	July 18, 2024		
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer		Course Cluster Coordinator		Study Program Coordinator			
						Dra. Inda	h Chrysanti e, M.Sn.		
Learning model	Project Based L	earning	urning						
Program Learning		gram that is charged t	o the cour	se					
Outcome		Program Objectives (PO)							
(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix	PLO-PO Matrix							
	P.O								
	PO Matrix at th	he end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)							
		P.O			Week				
		1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8	9 10 11	12 13 14	15 16		
Short Course Descripti	be designed to de created outside installations, mul practices with fel investigate the h space. Students a location by exami	nines the concepts and p eepen kinesthetic, visual galleries (public spaces) rals, video installations, illow artists, community g istory and practice of prare asked to propose, mo ining the site's physical, h interests of specialization	and aural po) and are a sound ins proups, arch ublic art, its odel and/or pistorical, so	erception. accessible tallations, itects, lan relations create pub cial and sy	Public art refers to the general performance ar dscapers or urba- blic art that explo- ymbolic condition	to various types public. Include t, and also co an designers. To perience, ideas res and responds. The work des	s of art that are is site specific ollaborative art his lecture will of public/civic ds to a specific signed is based		
Reference	ces Main:								
	2. Claire Do 3. Claire Bis 4. Claire Bis 5. Malcolm 6. Cameron	cherty. 2015. Public Art (Noherty. 2015. Public Art (Noherty (Ed.). 2009. Situationshop. 2006. Participation A Miles. 1997. Art, Space an Cartiere, Shelly Willis. 28 Arrie. 1999. Contempora	on. Whitech . Whitechap .rt. Tate. and the City: 010. The Pr	apel Art G pel Art Gal Public Ar actice of F	sallery. lery. t and Urban Futu Public Art. Routled	res. Routledge.			
	Supporters:								
Supporti lecturer		n., M.Sn. Ahmad, S.Pd., M.Ds.							
		Evaluation	5	Learning Student A	earning, g methods, ssignments, ated time]				
Week-	Final abilities of each learning					Learning materials [Assessment Weight (%)		

	stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Understand the meaning of works in public spaces			2 X 50			0%
2	Understand the types of locations that require/require works of art.			2 X 50			0%
3	Apply understanding to project location proposals			2 X 50			0%
4	Apply understanding to project location proposals			2 X 50			0%
5	Able to design art project proposals			2 X 50			0%
6	Able to design art project proposals			2 X 50			0%
7	Able to explain the concept of space in the work			2 X 50			0%
8	Able to explain the concept of space in the work			2 X 50			0%
9	Able to apply the placement of works and their reading of space (dimensions).			2 X 50			0%
10	Able to apply the placement of works and their reading of space (dimensions).			2 X 50			0%
11	Able to realize art projects in public spaces			2 X 50			0%
12	Able to realize art projects in public spaces			2 X 50			0%
13	Able to realize art projects in public spaces			2 X 50			0%
14	Able to realize art projects in public spaces			2 X 50			0%
15	Students are able to evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of art projects with the community.			2 X 50			0%
16							0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

Notes

1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.

- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in
 assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that
 assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.