

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Fine Arts Education Study Program

Document Code

UNESA	Bachelor of Fine Arts Education Study Program																			
			SEN	1E	STI	ER	LE	ΞΑΙ	RN	IN	G F	PL/	N							
Courses			CODE			Co	Course Family		ily	Credit Weight		SE	MEST	ΓER	Cor	npilat e	ion			
Learning Plan	nning		8821002293	321002293				Isory			T=2	P=0	EC.	TS=3.1	8	3		July	17, 2	024
AUTHORIZAT	TION		SP Developer			<u> </u>	ograr	n Suk	,		e Clu	ster	Coord	inator	St	udy P	rograr	n Coo	rdinat	or
				yaningrum, S.Pd., M.Pd., Ika Camelia, S.Pd., M.Pd.						Fera Ratyaningrum, S.Pd., M.Pd.				l.,						
Learning model	Project Based	Learn	ing																	
Program Learning	PLO study pro	ogran	n that is cha	rged	to tl	he co	ours	е												
Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-10	Able comp	Able to design and implement fine arts learning that applies ICT-based pedagogical and collaborative competencies and management.																	
	Program Objectives (PO)																			
	PO - 1	Stude	ents understa	nd th	e con	cepts	s, prir	nciple	s and	d obje	ctives	s in m	aking	learnin	g tool	S				
PO - 2 Students are able to understand and identify developments in art learning tools in Indonesia in act the applicable curriculum.								accord	lance	with										
	PO - 3	Stude in acc	ents are able cordance with	to im	plemo	ent c	once riculu	pts, p m and	rincip d up	oles a to da	ınd ol te dev	ojectiv velopr	es in nents	designi in the fi	ng fin ne ar	e arts ts edu	learni cation	ng too parad	ls that igm.	are
	PLO-PO Matri	Х																		
				_				1												
			P.O		PL	O-10														
			PO-1																	
			PO-2																	
			PO-3																	
	PO Matrix at t	he en	d of each le	arniı	ng st	age	(Sub	-PO)												
																				_
			P.O									Wee	ek							
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		P	0-1																	
		P	0-2																	
		P	O-3																	
														1						•
Short Course Description	This course ain effective and sy teaching and lea	stema	tic according	to the	curr	iculur	n. ťal	kina ir	nto a	ccour	nt asp	ects of	of obie	ctives.	ng in mate	the fi	eld of nethod	fine a s and	rts tha model	at is
References	Main :																			
		1																		

- Abd. Gafur. 1982. Desain Instruksional Suatu Langkah Sistematis Penyusunan Pola Dasar Kegiatan Belajar Mengajar. Solo: Tiga Serangkai.
- HM. Djumberan Syah Indar. 1990. Perencanaan Pengajaran Strategi dan Implementasinya. Surabaya: Karya Abdi Tama
- 3. NA. Ametembun. 1987. Perencanaan Pengajaran Suatu Pendekatan Kompetensi Profesional Dalam Proses Belajar Mengajar. Bandung: Pertama
- 4. Soekarto. 1988. Desain Instruksional Sebuah Pendekatan Praktis Untuk Pendidikan Teknologi dan Keguruan. Jakarta: Dikti P2 LPTK
- 5. Gulo, W, 2005. Strategi belajar mengajar, Jakarta: Grasindo
- 6. Mustaji,2005. Pembeljaran berbasis Konstruktivistik, PT Freeport indonesia

Supporters:

- 1. Muslimin, Ibrahim, 2000. Pembelajaran Kooperatif. Unesa: Upress
- Nurhadi, dkk. 2003. Pembelajarn Kontekstual, Malang: Universitas Negeri Malang
 Trianto, 2007. Model-model pembelajaran Inovatif Berorientasi Konstruktivistik

Supporting lecturer

Fera Ratyaningrum, S.Pd., M.Pd. Ika Anggun Camelia, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Ev	aluation	Learı Studer	lp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(SuĎ-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	[References]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Able to understand and explain the meaning, philosophical basis, juridical principles of learning planning	Understand and explain the meaning, philosophical basis, jurisprudence of learning planning	Criteria: Students are able to understand and explain the meaning, philosophical basis, juridical principles of learning planning Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 3 x 50 minutes		Material: Definition, philosophical basis, juridical learning planning Reference: HM. Djumberan Syah Indar. 1990. Strategic Teaching Planning and Implementation. Surabaya: Work by Abdi Tama	5%	
2	Able to analyze various approaches and stages of learning planning	Analyze various approaches and stages of learning planning	Criteria: Able to analyze various approaches and stages of learning planning Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Expository / lecture, Discussion, Question and answer 3 x 50 Minutes		Material: Approaches and stages of learning planning Reference: HM. Djumberan Syah Indar. 1990. Strategic Teaching Planning and Implementation. Surabaya: Work by Abdi Tama	3%	
3	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan)	Analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan)	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan) Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Expository / lecture, discussion, question and answer, assignment 3 x 50 minutes		Material: Approaches and stages of learning planning Reference: HM. Djumberan Syah Indar. 1990. Strategic Teaching Planning and Implementation. Surabaya: Work by Abdi Tama	5%	

4	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan)	Analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan)	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan) Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Expository / lecture, discussion, question and answer, assignment 3 x 50 minutes	Material: Approaches and stages of learning planning Reference: HM. Djumberan Syah Indar. 1990. Strategic Teaching Planning and Implementation. Surabaya: Work by Abdi Tama	4%
5	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan)	Analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan)	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan) Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Expository / lecture, discussion, question and answer, assignment 3 x 50 minutes	Material: Approaches and stages of learning planning Reference: HM. Djumberan Syah Indar. 1990. Strategic Teaching Planning and Implementation. Surabaya: Work by Abdi Tama	3%
6	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan)	Analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan)	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (learning activity plan) Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Expository / lecture, discussion, question and answer, assignment 3 x 50 minutes	Material: Approaches and stages of learning planning Reference: HM. Djumberan Syah Indar. 1990. Strategic Teaching Planning and Implementation. Surabaya: Work by Abdi Tama	5%
7	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (media)	Analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (media)	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (media) Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Expository / lecture, discussion, question and answer, assignment 3 x 50 minutes	Material: Approaches and stages of learning planning Reference: HM. Djumberan Syah Indar. 1990. Strategic Teaching Planning and Implementation. Surabaya: Work by Abdi Tama	3%
8	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (media)	Analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (media)	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (media) Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Expository / lecture, discussion, question and answer, assignment 3 x 50 minutes	Material: Approaches and stages of learning planning Reference: HM. Djumberan Syah Indar. 1990. Strategic Teaching Planning and Implementation. Surabaya: Work by Abdi Tama	5%

9	Midterm exam	Able to answer UTS questions correctly	Criteria: Able to answer UTS questions correctly Form of Assessment: Test	Midterm Exam 3 x 50 Minutes	Material: Teaching and learning strategies Reference: Gulo, W, 2005. Teaching and learning strategies, Jakarta: Grasindo	15%
10	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (teaching materials)	Analyze and design learning tools based on the Independent Curriculum (teaching materials)	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (teaching materials) Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Expository / lecture, Discussion of paper assignments, 3 x 50 Minute Presentations	Material: Teaching materials Library: Trianto, 2007. Innovative Constructivist Oriented learning models	7%
11	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the Independent Curriculum (LKPD)	Analyze and design learning tools based on the Independent Curriculum (LKPD)	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (teaching materials) Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Expository / lecture, Discussion of paper assignments, 3 x 50 Minute Presentations	Material: LKPD Library: Trianto, 2007. Innovative Constructivist Oriented learning models	10%
12	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (assessment)	Analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (assessment)	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (assessment) Form of Assessment: Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Expository / lecture, Discussion of paper assignments, 3 x 50 Minute Presentations	Material: LKPD Library: Trianto, 2007. Innovative Constructivist Oriented learning models Material: LKPD Library: Nurhadi, et al. 2003. Contextual Learning, Malang: State University of Malang	5%
13	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (assessment)	Analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (assessment)	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the independent curriculum (assessment) Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Expository / lecture, Discussion of paper assignments, 3 x 50 Minute Presentations	Material: LKPD Library: Trianto, 2007. Innovative Constructivist Oriented Iearning models Material: LKPD Library: Nurhadi, et al. 2003. Contextual Learning, Malang: State University of Malang	10%

14	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the Independent Curriculum (LKPD)	Analyze and design learning tools based on the Independent Curriculum (LKPD)	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the Independent Curriculum (LKPD)	Expository / lecture, Discussion of paper assignments, 3 x 50 Minute Presentations	Material: LKPD Library: Trianto, 2007. Innovative Constructivist Oriented learning models Material: LKPD Library: Nurhadi, et al. 2003. Contextual Learning, Malang: State University of Malang	10%
15	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the complete Independent Curriculum	Analyze and design learning tools based on the complete Independent Curriculum	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the complete Independent Curriculum Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Expository / lecture, Discussion of paper assignments, 3 x 50 Minute Presentations	Material: LKPD Library: Trianto, 2007. Innovative Constructivist Oriented learning models Material: LKPD Library: Nurhadi, et al. 2003. Contextual Learning, Malang: State University of Malang	5%
16	Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the complete Independent Curriculum	Analyze and design learning tools based on the complete Independent Curriculum	Criteria: Able to analyze and design learning tools based on the complete Independent Curriculum Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Expository / lecture, Discussion of paper assignments, 3 x 50 Minute Presentations	Material: LKPD Library: Trianto, 2007. Innovative Constructivist Oriented learning models Material: LKPD Library: Nurhadi, et al. 2003. Contextual Learning, Malang: State University of Malang	15%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage							
1.	Participatory Activities	11%							
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	47.5%							
3.	Portfolio Assessment	16.5%							
4.	Practice / Performance	10%							
5.	Test	15%							
		100%							

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
 and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. **Forms of assessment:** test and non-test.

- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent
- $10. \ \textbf{Learning materials} \ \text{are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main}$ points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.

 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.