



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Fine Arts Education Study Program

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																	
Courses		CODE	CODE		Co	Course Family			Credit Weight			SE	MEST	ΓER	Con	npilation	
Learning Multimedia		8821002295	8821002295			Compulsory Study Program Subjects			T=2	T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18			4		July	17, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION		SP Develop	SP Developer			<del>ogram su</del>			se Cl	uster	Coordi	inator	Stu	ıdy P	rogran	n Coo	rdinator
			Fera Ratyaningrum, S.Pd. Anggun Camelia, S.Pd., N										F	Fera Ratyaningrum, S.Pd., M.Pd.			
Learning model	Project Based L	earning															
Program Learning	PLO study prog	PLO study program which is charged to the course															
Outcomes (PLO)	Program Objec	tives (PO)															
(PLO)	PO - 1	Students understa		•	•												
	PO - 2	Students can design various fine arts learning media in the form of two or three-dimensional media, manually or digitally by utilizing computer technology.															
	PO - 3	Students can develop creative skills through innovative fine arts learning media designs in accordance with current and generational developments															
	PLO-PO Matrix																
	PO Matrix at th	P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3  e end of each lea P.O								We	ek						
			1	2	3	4 5	6	7	8	9	10	11 1	12	13	14	15	16
		PO-1 PO-2			$\vdash$												
		PO-2															
		100															
Short Course Description	This course contacreating learning	ains knowledge and multimedia.	skills	in de	esigni	ng learnin	ıg m	ultime	dia ir	scho	ools, wit	h theor	etica	l and	practic	al stra	tegies for
References	Main :																
	<ol> <li>Darudiato. S, Agustini. H, Dwi Yanti. M, Sujanto. D, 2006, Analisis dan Perancangan Aplikasi Perangkat Ajar Bebas Multimedia Dengan Studi Kasus: Mata Kuliah Analisa &amp; Perancangan Sistem Informasi, Universitas Bina Nusanta Jakarta</li> <li>Camelia, Ika Anggun dan Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Multimedia Pembelajaran. Surabaya.</li> </ol>																
	Supporters:																
	Setyo Wardani. N, (2010), Penggunaan Perangkat Ajar Berbasis Multimedia, Fakultas Teknologi Informai, Univ YARSI							niversitas									

	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Ev	aluation	Lear Stude	elp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments,	Learning	Assessment
Week-		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (	Online ( online )	materials [References]	Weight (%)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students understand the concepts and principles of multimedia learning	Students are able to present multimedia concepts and principles	Criteria: Able to present multimedia concepts and principles  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, group discussions 2 X 50		Material: Concepts and principles of multimedia learning Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	3%
2	Students understand the concepts and principles of multimedia learning	Students are able to present multimedia concepts and principles	Criteria: Able to present multimedia concepts and principles  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, group discussions 2 X 50		Material: Concepts and principles of multimedia learning Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	3%
3	Students identify the development of multimedia arts learning in Indonesia	distinguish the characteristics and types of learning multimedia	Criteria: Students are able to differentiate the characteristics and types of learning multimedia  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	lecture, discussion 3 X 50		Material: Characteristics and Types of Learning Multimedia Library: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	3%
4	Students identify the development of multimedia arts learning in Indonesia	determine a learning model that suits students' conditions	Criteria: Students are able to determine a learning model that suits the student's conditions  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	lecture, discussion 3 X 50		Material: Learning models and student conditions Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	3%
5	Students identify the development of multimedia arts learning in Indonesia	evaluate various alternatives for fine arts learning according to the selected model syntax	Criteria: Students are able to evaluate various alternatives for fine arts learning according to the selected model syntax  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	lecture, discussion 3 X 50		Material: Syntax of the Fine Arts Learning Model Library: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	2%

_	Г		T	T	T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6	1.Students are able to analyze the main points of material in the formulation of Learning Outcomes     2.Students are able to design mindmap learning media based on CP material	1.Can analyze the main points of material in CP 2.Can design mindmap learning media	Criteria:  1.Students are able to analyze the main material in CP 2.Students are able to design mindmap learning media  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	lecture, assignment 3 X 50		Material: Independent curriculum teaching module Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	4%
7	1.Students are able to design mindmap learning media based on CP material 2.Students are able to present mindmap learning media	1.Can design mindmap learning media 2.Can present mindmap learning media	Criteria:  1.Students are able to design mindmap learning media 2.Students are able to present mindmap learning media Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	assignment, presentation 3 X 50		Material: Mindmap learning media Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	5%
8	1.Students are able to analyze multimedia learning concepts 2.Students are able to design the implementation of multimedia learning	Students can work on UTS questions	Criteria: Can do UTS questions  Forms of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Tests	Written test 3 X 50		Material: UTS, understanding the concepts, characteristics, types and implementation of multimedia learning. Readers: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	15%
9	Students are able to present mindmap learning media	Can present mindmap learning media	Criteria: Students are able to present mindmap learning media  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	practice and guidance 3 X 50		Material: Mindmap learning media Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	6%
10	Students are able to design audiovisual based learning multimedia	1.Can analyze various audio- visual learning media 2.Can design audio- visual based learning media	Criteria:  1.Able to analyze various audiovisual learning media  2.Able to design audio-visual based learning media  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	practice and guidance 3 X 50		Material: Formulating the main material Library: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.  Material: Designing mindmap learning media Readers: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	5%

	Otradamta	0				00.1
11	Students are able to present audiovisual based learning media	Can present audio-visual based learning media	Criteria: Able to present audio-visual based learning media  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	practice and guidance 3 X 50	Material: Audio-visual based learning media Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	8%
12	Students are able to present audio- visual based learning media	Can present audio-visual based learning media	Criteria: Able to present audio-visual based learning media  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	practice and guidance 3 X 50	Material: Audio-visual based learning media Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	8%
13	Students are able to present audio- visual based learning media	Can present audio-visual based learning media	Criteria: Able to present audio-visual based learning media  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	practice and guidance 3 X 50	Material: Audio-visual based learning media Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	10%
14	Students are able to design interactive learning media	Can design interactive learning media	Criteria: Able to design interactive learning media  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	practice and guidance 3 X 50	Material: Interactive learning media Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	5%
15	Students are able to implement interactive learning media	Can implement interactive learning media	Criteria: Able to implement interactive learning media  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	practice and guidance 3 X 50	Material: Interactive learning media Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	10%
16	Students are able to implement interactive learning media	Can implement interactive learning media	Criteria: Able to implement interactive learning media  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	practice and guidance 3 X 50	Material: Interactive learning media Reader: Camelia, Ika Anggun and Fera Ratyaningrum. 2021. Learning Multimedia. Surabaya.	10%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

Eva	Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning						
No	Evaluation	Percentage					
1.	Participatory Activities	16.5%					
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	73.5%					
3.	Portfolio Assessment	5%					
4.	Test	5%					
	·	100%					

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
  and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based
  on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
  unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.