



Supporters:

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Special Education Undergraduate Study Program

| Courses | | | CODE | | | Course Family | | | | Credit Weight | | | SE | SEMESTER | | Compilation Date | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| Learning Pla | nning | | 862020232 | 26 | | | | npulso | | | T= | 2 P | =0 | ECTS=3.18 | : | 3 | | Ju | ly 1, 2022 |
| AUTHORIZATION | | | SP Develo | per | | | - 10 į | Jiaiii (| subjet | | se C | luste | r Co | ordinator | Stu | dy Pro | gram (| Coordi | nator |
| | | | Dra. Hj. Sit Rahmadiar Made Marl Ekasari, M | ni Kan in Min | narudo | lin Nu | r, M.F | d; Ni | h | Dr. A | sri W | 'ijiastı | uti, M | l.Pd | | Dr. | H. Pan | nuji, M. | Kes. |
| _earning nodel | Project Based | l Learninç | earning | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | PLO study p | rogram v | vhich is ch | argeo | to th | ne coi | ırse | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| earning Outcomes | PLO-10 | Desig | ns special e | ducati | on cur | riculu | n and | l servi | ce pro | grams | S. | | | | | | | | |
| PLO) | PLO-14 | Maste | ering the bas | ics of | desigr | ning, ir | nplen | nentin | g, ass | essing | serv | ices f | or G | DPK | | | | | |
| | Program Obj | ectives (| PO) | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 1 | Identif | y the basic o | once | ots of I | earnin | g pla | nning | includ | ing un | derst | andir | ıg, ok | jectives, be | enefits | s, innov | ative le | arning | models |
| | PO - 2 | 2 Mastering the basics of designing, implementing, evaluating services in compiling learning tools based on systematics and | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 3 | being able to apply them to children with special needs according to the characteristics and innovative learning models Utilizing media and technology to obtain information related to learning planning and being able to communicate it | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 4 | Responsible for both individual and team tasks, as well as communicating ideas, opinions and arguments orally/in writing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | towards completing tasks in creating learning tools | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-PO Mati | rix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 — | | 1 | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O | | PLO | -10 | | PL | D-14 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O | | | | | | | Week | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | F.O | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | _ | 6 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 11 | 12 | 12 | 1.4 | 15 | 16 |
| | | | \ 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 0 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| | | PO | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | PO | | - | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | PO | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | PO |)-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| hort ourse escription | Learning Planr the meaning, media, method Lectures are ca | benefits o | of learning p sessment, c | olannii urricu | ng, lea Ium a | arning nalysis | deve s, pre | lopme paring | ent m Jearr | odels, ing to | learr ols w | ning s /hich | strate inclu | gies, deve | loping Ilabus | teach | ing ma | aterials | , designi |
| References | Main : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Depdil Depdil Haryai Ibrahir | Majid, 200 knas, 2006 knas,2006 nto, 2005. m, Muslimi | D7. Perencar 6. Model Per 6. Standar Ko Perencanaa in. 2014. Mo 2005, Model | nbela mpete an Per del Pe | jaran ī ensi da ngajara embela | Γemat an Kon an, Ja ajaran | k. Jal npete karta Inova | karta: nsi Da atif Me | Depdi sar. J lalui F | knas akarta emakr | ı: Dep naan | | | ores | | | | | |

Supporting lecturer

Dra. Hj. Siti Mahmudah, M.Kes. Diah Ekasari, M.Pd. Devina Rahmadiani Kamaruddin Nur, M.Pd. Ni Made Marlin Minarsih, S.Pd., M.Pd.

| Week- | Final abilities of each learning stage | Evalu | uation | Learı Studer | lp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, timated time] | Learning materials | Assessment Weight (%) |
|-------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--------------------------|
| | (Sub-PO) | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline (offline) | Online (online) | [References] | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | Understand the basic concepts of learning planning: meaning, objectives, benefits, dimensions of learning | Explain the meaning of learning planning. Explain the purpose of learning planning | Criteria: 1. Score 4, if students are active in asking questions 2. Score 3, if students are not active in asking questions. Score 2, if students are not active in asking, only listen | · Question and answer, discussion, assignment 2 X 50 | | Material: Understanding the concept of planning Reference: Haryanto, 2005. Teaching Planning, Jakarta | 3% |
| | | | Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | | | | |
| 2 | Understand and explain the basic concepts of learning planning: meaning, objectives, benefits, dimensions of learning | Explain the benefits of learning planning. Explain the dimensions of learning | Criteria: 1.Score 4, if you can answer two questions 2.Score 3, if you can answer one question. Score 2, if you can't answer all of them | Question and answer, discussion and assignment 2 X 50 | | Material: Explaining the benefits and dimensions of Reference: Abdul Majid, 2007. Learning Planning. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta | 2% |
| | | | Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | | | | |
| 3 | Know and understand program design in learning | Explain and create learning objectives in accordance with learning criteria | Criteria: Score 4, if you can make learning objectives in accordance with the system. Score 3, if you can make learning goals that are not in accordance with the system. Score 2, if you cannot make learning goals. | Questions and answers, giving assignments 2 X 50 | | Material: Understanding program design Reference: Abdul Majid, 2007. Learning Planning. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta | 2% |
| | | | Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment | | | | |
| 4 | Know and understand program design in learning | Discuss the development of learning materials | Criteria: Score 4, if you can arrange the material according to the learning objectives. Score 3, if you can arrange the material not in accordance with the learning objectives. Score 2, if you cannot arrange the material. Form of Assessment: | Giving assignments and questions and answers 2 X 50 | | Material: Discussing the development of learning materials Reference: Abdul Majid, 2007. Learning Planning. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta | 2% |
| | | | Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment | | | | |

| _ | | | T | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|----|
| 5 | Know and understand models and methods in designing learning programs | 1 Describe learning materials according to learning objectives - Detail the steps of learning activities, learning media, tools and learning resources according to GDPK characteristics - Choose the type and form of assessment and teaching instruments 2 Describe learning materials according to learning objectives - Detail the steps of learning activities, learning models and methods - Organize media, tools and learning resources according to GDPK characteristics - Choose the type and form of assessment and instruments | Criteria: Score 4, if you can make learning steps according to the learning objectives. Score 3, if you can make learning steps that do not match the learning goals. Score 2, if you cannot make learning steps. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment | Giving assignments, questions and answers 2 X 50 | Material: Knowing and understanding models and methods in designing learning programs Reference: Abdul Majid, 2007. Learning Planning. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta | 2% |
| 6 | Know and understand media, tools and learning resources in designing learning programs | Designing media, tools and learning resources in designing learning programs | Criteria: Score 4, if you can make media, tools and learning resources correctly. Score 3, if you can make media, tools and learning resources incorrectly. Score 2, if you can't make media, tools and learning resources. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment | Giving assignments and questions and answers 2 X 50 | Material: Knowing and understanding media, tools and learning resources in program design in learning. Reference: Ministry of National Education, 2006. Thematic Learning Model. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education | 2% |

| 7 | Know and | Planning learning | Criteria: | Questions | Material: Knowing | 2% |
|----|---|--|---|--|---|-----|
| | understand assessment in learning program design | assessment in learning program design | 1.Score 4, if you can prepare the assessment instrument completely and correctly. Score 3, if you can prepare the assessment instrument completely but not correctly. Score 2, if you can prepare the assessment instrument but it is incomplete and incorrect. Score 1, if you cannot prepare the assessment instrument but it is roomplete. Score 1, if you cannot prepare the assessment instrument. 2 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment | and answers, assignments, 2 X 50 | and understanding assessment in learning program design Reference: Haryanto, 2005. Teaching Planning, Jakarta | |
| 8 | UTS | Working on the U.S.S | Criteria: Score 4 if very good, score 3 if good, score 2 if adequate, score 1 if poor Form of Assessment: Test | 2 X 50 | Material: working on UTS questions Reference: Abdul Majid, 2007. Learning Planning. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta | 10% |
| 9 | Understand and know the core competencies and basic competencies of the 13 SLB and Inclusive Elementary School curriculum | Analyzing the core and basic competencies of special schools (SLB) and inclusive schools | Criteria: 1. Score 4, if everything is correct 2. Score 3, if only one is wrong 3. Value 2, if only one is correct Value 1, if all are incorrect Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment | Discussion, questions and answers, giving assignments 2 X 50 | Material: Analyzing the core competencies and basic competencies of special schools (SLB) and inclusive schools. Reference: Ministry of National Education, 2006. Competency Standards and Basic Competencies. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education | 5% |
| 10 | Understand and develop a syllabus based on core competencies and basic competencies | Create a syllabus in accordance with the core competency standards and basic competencies of Special Schools | Criteria: Grade 4, if you can make the syllabus correctly. Grade 3, if you can make the syllabus incorrect. Grade 2, if you can make the syllabus incorrectly. Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment | Discussion, questions and answers, giving assignments 2 X 50 | Material: Creating a syllabus in accordance with the core competency standards and basic competencies of Special Schools. Library: Ministry of National Education, 2006. Competency Standards and Basic Competencies. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education | 5% |

| 11 | Understand and develop a syllabus based on competency standards and basic competencies and basic competencies and basic competencies | Develop a syllabus in accordance with competency standards and basic competencies. core competencies for inclusive elementary schools | Criteria: Value 4, if all the criteria that have been determined are correct. Value 3 if there are only three criteria that have been determined Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Giving assignments Discussion 2 X 50 | Material: Developing a syllabus in accordance with competency standards and basic competencies. Core competencies/basic competencies for inclusive elementary schools. Reference: Ministry of National Education, 2006. Competency Standards and Basic Competencies. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education | 10% |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|-----|
| 12 | Understand and differentiate learning implementation plans | Discuss learning implementation plans: Curriculum 13 | Criteria: 4 marks if the answer is complete, 3 marks if the answer is incomplete, 2 marks if the answer is incomplete, 1 mark if all the answers are wrong Form of Assessment: Assessment / Product Assessment | · Giving assignments · Lecture · Discussion 2 X 50 | Material: Discussing learning implementation plans: Curriculum 13 Library: Ministry of National Education, 2006. Competency Standards and Basic Competencies. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education | 10% |
| 13 | Understand, know and design lesson plans/learning implementation plans | Designing a learning implementation plan in accordance with the core competencies and basic competencies of special schools, creating learning objectives and developing teaching materials, creating learning steps, creating ssessment instruments | Criteria: value 4 if the lesson plan is complete and all correct value 3 if the lesson plan is complete but there are still errors value 2 if the lesson plan is incomplete and incorrect Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Giving assignments, questions and answers, discussion 2 X 50 | Material: Designing a learning implementation plan Reference: Abdul Majid, 2007. Learning planning. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta | 10% |
| 14 | Understand, know and design lesson plans/learning implementation plans | Designing lesson plans according to the core competencies and basic competencies of 13 inclusive elementary schools, creating learning objectives and developing teaching materials, creating steps for teaching and learning activities, creating evaluation instruments | Criteria: score 4 if the lesson plan is complete and correct, score 3 if the lesson plan is complete but wrong, score 2 if the lesson plan is incomplete Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | discussions, questions and answers 2 X 50 | Material: Designing a RPP Library: Abdul Majid, 2007. Learning Planning. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta | 10% |
| 15 | Understand, know and design lesson plans/learning implementation plans | Explain and prepare learning program plans for innovative learning models in special schools | Criteria: value 4 if the lesson plan is complete and correct, value 3 if the lesson plan is complete but incorrect, value 2 if the lesson plan is incomplete and incorrect Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment | Discussion and assignment 2 X 50 | Material: Designing a RPP Reader: Ibrahim, Muslimin. 2014. Innovative Learning Models Through Meaning | 10% |

| 16 | Understand, know and design lesson plans/learning implementation plans | Develop learning program plans in accordance with innovative and thematic learning models in inclusive elementary schools | Criteria: 4 marks if the lesson plan is complete and correct, 3 marks if the lesson plan is complete but wrong, 2 marks if the lesson plan is incomplete and wrong | Discussion, questions and answers, giving assignments 2 X 50 | Material: Designing a RPP Library: Abdul Majid, 2007. Learning Planning. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta | 15% |
|----|--|---|---|--|--|-----|
| | | | Form of Assessment : Test | | | |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

| No | Evaluation | Percentage |
|----|---|------------|
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 10% |
| 2. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 50% |
| 3. | Portfolio Assessment | 15% |
| 4. | Test | 25% |
| | | 100% |

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.