

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Special Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE				Cοι	ırse F	amil	у	C	Credit	Weig	ht		SEME	STER	Cor Dat	mpilat e	ion
Inclusive Edu	ucation		862020320)7				npulso gram			Т	'=3 I	P=0	ECTS=4	1.77		5	Jan 202	uary 1 3	LO,
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develo	per			Course Cluster Coordinator					or		Progr linator						
	Muhammad Nurul Ashar, S.Pd., M.Ed.				Dr.	Asri \	Vijias	tuti, M	.Pd.		Dr. H. Pamuji, M.Kes.									
Learning model	Project Based	ect Based Learning																		
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																			
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-5	Skilled at working independently, working together in collaborative teams, being responsible for both individual and team tasks, as well as communicating ideas, opinions and arguments orally/in writing																		
()	PLO-7 Skilled in logical thinking to solve problems in the field of special education according to their expertise based on scientific rules, procedures and ethics in order to produce solutions, ideas and designs.																			
	Program Objectives (PO)																			
	PO - 1 Mastering the theoretical concepts of disability and inclusive education																			
	PO - 2	Mastering the basics of designing, implementing, evaluating services for GDPK in inclusive schools																		
	PO - 3	Skilled in thinking logically to solve problems in the field of inclusive education according to their expertise based on scientific rules, procedures and ethics in order to produce solutions, ideas and designs.																		
l	PO - 4	Skilled in working independently, working together in collaborative teams, being responsible for both individual and team tasks, as well as communicating ideas, opinions and arguments orally/written in resolving cases related to the implementation of inclusive education																		
	PLO-PO Matri	ix																		
			P.0		PL	.0-5			PLO-	7										
			PO-1																	
1			PO-2																	
1			PO-3																	
			PO-4																	
l	PO Matrix at t	he end	of each lea	rning	n etar	no (S	ub-E													
	i O matrix at t		or each lea	annių	Jordi	96 (3	au-r	0)												
			P.O Week]					
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	1
1		PC	D-1										-			-		-		1
1		PC	D-2																	1
		PC	D-3	1																1
		PC	D-4	\square																1
				1	1	1	ı	1	I	I	I			ı I		1			1	1
Short Course Description	This course ex education. Lect learning, projec	ures are	carried out	using	direc	ť lear	ning,	case	study											
References	Main :																			
I			•																	

		 Carringto Sons Aus Choate. khusus. H Hellen Ke Kementri Jakarta: I Departen Departen Direktora Salend, Pearson Lewis, R Company 	n, Suzanne, and Ma stralia,Ltd. Joyce S. 2004. Peng Hellen Keller Internati Eller International. Me an Pendidikan Nasi Kemdiknas. nen Pendidikan dan hen Pendidikan dan h t PK-PLK. 2012. Ped Spencer J. 2011. C Education Inc. tena B. and McLoug	carthur, Jude (Ed). 20: gajaran Inklusif Yang onal-Pearson Educatic njadikan Lingkungan I ional. Modul Pelatiha n Kebudayaan. 2011 Kebudayaan. oman Pembudayaan F reating Inclusive Clas ghin, James A. 1986.	12. Teaching In Sukses: Cara H In Inc. Inklusif: Ramah T In Pendidikan II Pedoman Un Pendidikan Inklus ssrooms: Effecti	ulia, India, and Thailand. Inclusive School Comm andal untuk mendeteks Ferhadap Pembelajaran nklusif. Kerjasama Ke num Penyelenggaraan si di Indonesia . Jakarta: ve and Reflective Pra ecial Students. London:	unities. Australia si dan memperba (LIRP). mentrian Austral Pendidikan Ink Kemdikbud. ctice, Seven Ed	: John Wilay & aiki kebutuhan ia- Indonesia. lusif. Jakarta: ition . Boston:
Support lecturer		Prof. Dr. Budiyan Dra. Hj. Siti Mahn Diah Ekasari, M.F Muhammad Nuru	nudah, M.Kes.	l.				
Week-		al abilities of h learning ge			Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Su	b-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)]	
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	sc me	Iderstand the ope and echanisms of tures	Explain the scope and mechanisms	Criteria: 1.If all questions can be answered well then you will get a perfect score 2. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion 2 X 50		Material: Concept of Inclusive Education Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	3%
2	ba	Inderstand the sic concepts of clusive education	Explain the concept of PI. Explain the philosophy of PI. Explain the types of services in inclusive schools	Criteria: 1.If all questions can be answered well then 2.Perfect score Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50 Observation Seminar		Material: Concept of Inclusive Education Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	2%
3	pri fou	Iderstand the nciples and Indations of clusive education	Explaining the principles of PI Explaining the foundations (philosophical, juridical, empirical, pedagogical)	Criteria: 1.If all questions can be answered well then 2.Perfect score Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Discussion 2 X 50		Material: Principles and Foundations of Inclusive Education Reference: Department of Education and Culture. 2011. General Guidelines for Implementing Inclusive Education. Jakarta: Department of Education and Culture.	2%

4	Understanding students with special needs	Explaining Prevalence Explaining Types and characteristics of GDPK	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, then the score will be higher. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Principles and Foundations of Inclusive Education Reference: Department of Education and Culture. 2011. General Guidelines for Implementing Inclusive Education. Jakarta: Department of Education and Culture.	2%
5	Understand the identification and assessment of students with special needs	Explaining Identification and assessment of PDBK Carrying out Identification and assessment of PDBK	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Case Study Observations 2 X 50	Material: Literature Identification and Assessment : Ministry of National Education. Inclusive Education Training Module. Australia- Indonesia Ministerial Cooperation. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education.	2%
6	Understand the identification and assessment of students with special needs	Explaining Identification and assessment of PDBK Carrying out Identification and assessment of PDBK	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Case Study Observations 2 X 50	Material: Literature Identification and Assessment : Ministry of National Education. Inclusive Education Training Module. Australia- Indonesia Ministerial Cooperation. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education.	2%
7	Understanding the curriculum in inclusive schools	Explaining the structure of the curriculum in inclusive schools. Identifying the stages of curriculum adaptation. Carrying out curriculum adaptations	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Observation Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive School Curriculum Library: PK- PLK Directorate. 2012. Guidelines for Cultivating Inclusive Education in Indonesia. Jakarta: Ministry of Education and Culture.	2%

8	UTS	uts	Criteria:	UTS	Material:	10%
			1.If all questions can be answered well then you will get a perfect score 2. Form of Assessment : Test	2 X 50	VITS Library: Videos on Inclusive Learning Practices	2070
9	Understanding learning management in inclusive schools	 Carry out learning planning Prepare matrix planning Develop learning programs 	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Practical 2 X 50	Material: Learning Management in Inclusive Schools Reference: Salend, Spencer J. 2011. Creating Inclusive Classrooms: Effective and Reflective Practice, Seven Edition. Boston: Pearson Education Inc.	5%
10	Understanding learning management in inclusive schools	 Carry out learning planning Prepare matrix planning Develop learning programs 	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Practical 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reference: Choate. Joyce S. 2004. Successful Inclusive Teaching: A Reliable Way to detect and to detect and correct special needs. Hellen Keller International- Pearson Education Inc.	5%
11	Understanding the implementation of learning in schools	 Identifying learning in inclusive schools Get to know the characteristics of learning in inclusive schools Explain the principles of learning in inclusive schools. 	Criteria: If there is a lot of behavior that shows active participation, the higher the score. The more complete the content of the paper and shows the depth of discussion, the better the score Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discovery- inquiry 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	10%
12	Understanding manpower in inclusive education	Identifying staff in inclusive schools. Explaining the main tasks and functions of staff in inclusive schools	Criteria: 1.If all questions can be answered well then 2.Perfect score Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	10%

13	Understand facilities and infrastructure	Identifying facilities and infrastructure in inclusive schools. Explaining accessibility in inclusive schools. Getting to know assistive technology	Criteria: The more complete the contents of the paper and show the depth of discussion, the better the grade Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	10%
14	Understand the support system and community empowerment	Explaining the support system in inclusive schools Explaining the resource room in inclusive schools Explaining the function and role of resource centers in inclusive schools Explaining the role of PDBK or PLA service centers and the like	Criteria: The more complete the contents of the paper and show the depth of discussion, the better the grade Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	10%
15	Understand assessment and grade promotion and reporting	Explaining the assessment system in inclusive schools. Explaining the grade promotion system in inclusive schools. Explain the reporting and certification system in inclusive schools.	Criteria: The more complete the contents of the paper and show the depth of discussion, the better the grade Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Inclusive Education Practices Reader: Budiyanto. 2011. Best Practices Inclusive Education in Japan, Australia, India, and Thailand. Tsukuba University: CRICED.	10%
16	UAS	uts	Criteria: 1.If all questions can be answered well then you will get a perfect score 2. Form of Assessment : Test	UAS Development of an inclusive learning model 2 X 50	Material: Development of an inclusive learning model Reference: Video of Inclusive Learning Practices	15%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage						
1.	Participatory Activities	10%						
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	50%						
3.	Portfolio Assessment	15%						
4.	Test	25%						
		100%						

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.