

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Special Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

## **SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN**

				3		_51			\		-/\\\	•					
Courses				CODE			Cours	e Fan	nily		Cre	dit W	eight		SEM	ESTER	Compilation Date
Learning for Children with Multiple Disabilities		e 8620202355	8620202355 Gener		al			T=1	L P=	L EC1	ΓS=3.18		2	July 17, 2024			
AUTHOR	IZAT	ION		SP Develope	er					Course C	uster	Coor	dinato	r	Stud	y Prograi	m Coordinato
				Prof. Dr. Budi Andajani, M.P		M.Pd;	Prof. Dr.	Sri Jo	oeda	Dr. Asri W	ijiastut	ii, M.P	d		[	Or. H. Pam	nuji, M.Kes.
Learning model	Case Studies																
Program		PLO study pro	gra	m that is charge	d to t	he cou	ırse										
Learninç Outcom (PLO)		PLO-7 Skilled in logical thinking to solve problems in the field of special education according to their expertise based on scientific rules, procedures and ethics in order to produce solutions, ideas and designs.															
		Program Object	tive	es (PO)													
	İ	PO - 1	Ар	plying special edu	cation	science	e based	on tec	hnolog	y and local w	sdom	by pri	oritizin	g inclusi	ve edu	ıcation	
		PLO-PO Matrix															
					1												
				P.O	F	PLO-7											
				PO-1													
		PO Matrix at th	e e	nd of each learn	ing st	age (S	Sub-PO	)									
				P.O						,	Neek						
					1	2 3	3 4	5	6	7 8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 16
				PO-1													
			L			ı	ı			L L	<u> </u>	I					
Short Course Descript	tion	disabilities, class disabilities, educa targets and lear	ifica atior ning	es the relationship ation and charact n of children with r g strategies for o fic and humanistic	eristics nultiple childrer	of chi disab with	ildren w ilities (pu	ith mu Irpose	ultiple of edu	disabilities, id Ication, place	entific and e	ation ducat	and a ion del	ssessme	ent of stem ,	individual curriculun	s with multiple n) and progran
Reference	ces	Main :															
		<ol> <li>Imray, P Learning</li> <li>Kirk, Sar</li> <li>Lancioni. Springer</li> <li>Jilian, P Publishir</li> </ol>	eter Diff nuel Gio awl	ang, dkk. 2013. Per dan Hinchcliffe, ficulties . New Yor I, dkk. 2009. Educulio E. 2013. Assisyn dkk. 2009. Prent dan Neisworth, s	Viv. 2 k: Rout ating E stive Te	014. C tledge xceptic echnolo	curricula onal Chilo ogy: Inter ectual A	for Teducation Tenders for the desired for the	eaching New Yons for Jultiple	Children an ork: Houghtor Individuals w Disabilities:	d You n Miffl ith Sev Nursii	ing Pe in Har vere/ I	cople s court f Profou omplex	with Seventh S	vere o g Com Multiple s. Chi	r Profound npany e Disabiliti chester: \	es . New York
		Supporters:															
Support lecturer	ing	Prof. Dr. Budiyan Dr. H. Pamuji, M. Prof. Dr. Hj. Sri J	Kes		i.												
Week-	eac stag	al abilities of h learning		•	uation					Help L Learning Student A [ Estim	g metl ssign	nods, ment	s,		ma	earning aterials ferences	Assessmen Weight (%)
	,Ju	,		Indicator	Cri	iteria &	Form		Offline	e ( offline )		Online	e ( onl	ine )		•	
		(0)								(=)						·	

1	I Inderstand the	1 14	Critoria	collaborative ecientific	Material	204
1	Understand the basic concepts of individuals with multiple disabilities	1.Mentions competencies, descriptions, sequence of material for Education courses for Children with Multiple Disabilities 2.Explain the basic concepts of individuals with multiple disabilities	Criteria:  1.4: The written results are in accordance with theory and empirical conditions  2.3: The results of the writing are in accordance with theory only or in accordance with empirical conditions only  3.2: The written results are slightly supported by theory or empirical conditions  4.1: The written results are not supported by theory and empirical conditions  4.5: The written results are not supported by theory and empirical conditions  Form of Assessment:  Participatory Activities	collaborative scientific 2 X 50	Material: Understanding the basic concepts of individuals with multiple disabilities References: Sunanto, Juang, et al. 2013. Education of Individuals with Multiple Disabilities. Hellen Keller International Indonesia  Material: Material 1 Reference: Sunanto, Juang, et al. 2013. Education of Individuals with Multiple Disabilities. Hellen Keller International Indonesia	3%
2	Understand the basic concepts of individuals with multiple disabilities: Impact, prevalence and causal factors	Explain the basic concepts of individuals with multiple disabilities	Criteria:  1.4: The written results are in accordance with theory and empirical conditions  2.3: The results of the writing are in accordance with theory only or in accordance with empirical conditions only  3.2: The written results are slightly supported by theory or empirical conditions  4.1: The written results are not supported by theory or empirical conditions  4.1: The written results are not supported by theory and empirical conditions  Form of Assessment:  Participatory  Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Scientific Humanistic 2 X 50	Material: basic concepts of individuals with multiple disabilities: Impact and Prevalence. References: Sunanto, Juang, et al. 2013. Education of Individuals with Multiple Disabilities. Hellen Keller International Indonesia	2%

3	Understanding the basic concepts of individuals with multiple disabilities: Classification and characteristics of children with multiple disabilities	Explaining the basic concepts of individuals with multiple disabilities: Classification and characteristics of children with multiple disabilities	Criteria:  1.4: The written results are in accordance with theory and empirical conditions  2.3: The results of the writing are in accordance with theory only or in accordance with empirical conditions only  3.2: The written results are slightly supported by theory or empirical conditions  4.1: The written results are not supported by theory and empirical conditions	Scientific Humanistic 2 X 50	Material: Understanding the basic concepts of individuals with multiple disabilities: Classification and characteristics of children with multiple disabilities References: Sunanto, Juang, et al. 2013. Education of Individuals with Multiple Disabilities. Hellen Keller International Indonesia	2%
			Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment			
4	Understanding Identification and assessment of individuals with multiple disabilities	Describes how to identify and assess individuals with multiple disabilities	Criteria:  1.4: The instrument results are correct, supported by correct analysis based on theory 2.3: The instrument results are correct, supported by correct analysis but not based on theory 3.2: The instrument results are accurate, but not supported by analysis and theory 4.1: The instrument results are accurate, but not supported by analysis and theory 4.1: The instrument results are not precise, and are not supported by analysis and theory Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Interaction- Educative/Collaborative 2 X 50	Material: Describes how to identify and assess individuals with multiple disabilities. References: Lancioni, Giulio E. 2013. Assistive Technology: Interventions for Individuals with Severe/ Profound and Multiple Disabilities. New York: Springer	2%
5	Carry out identification and assessment of individuals with multiple disabilities	Practicing identification and assessment of individuals with multiple disabilities	Criteria:  4: The assessment results are correct, supported by correct analysis based on theory 3: The assessment results are correct, supported by correct analysis but not based on theory 2: The assessment results are correct, but not supported by analysis and theory 1: The assessment results are inaccurate, and not supported by analysis and theory  Form of Assessment:  Participatory  Activities, Portfolio  Assessment	Interaction- Educative/Collaborative 2 X 50	Material: Practicing identification and assessment of individuals with multiple disabilities References:	2%

	T		T	Т	Т		
6	Understanding educational services for children with multiple disabilities (educational objectives, place and education delivery system, curriculum)	Explain educational services for children with multiple disabilities (purpose of education delivery system, curriculum)	Criteria:  1.4: The written results are in accordance with theory and empirical conditions  2.3: The results of the writing are in accordance with theory only or in accordance with empirical conditions only  3.2: The written results are slightly supported by theory or empirical conditions  4.1: The written results are not supported by theory and empirical conditions  4.5: The written results are not supported by theory and empirical conditions  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	collaborative scientific 2 X 50		Material: Material 6 Bibliography: Imray, Peter and Hinchcliffe, Viv. 2014. Curricula for Teaching Children and Young People with Severe or Profound and Multiple Learning Difficulties. New York: Routledge	2%
7	Understand the program targets and learning strategies for children with multiple disabilities and the assistive technology needed	1.Explain program targets and learning strategies for children with multiple disabilities 2.Explain the assistive technology needed for children with multiple disabilities	Criteria:  1.4: The program is in accordance with the assessment and is structured according to a logical format and is based on theory  2.3: The program is in accordance with the assessment but is not structured according to the format, logically and based on theory  3.2: The program is in accordance with the assessment but is not structured according to the format, and is not based on theory  4.1: The program is in accordance with the assessment and is not based on theory  4.1: The program is in accordance with the assessment and is not structured according to a format, not logically and not based on theory  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	collaborative scientific 2 x 50		Material: Material 7 References: Kirk, Samuel, et al. 2009. Educating Exceptional Children. New York: Houghton Miffl in Harcourt Publishing Company	2%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: UTS Form of Assessment : Test	UTS 2 X 50		Material: Material 1-7 References: Sunanto, Juang, et al. 2013. Education of Individuals with Multiple Disabilities. Hellen Keller International Indonesia	10%

9	Understanding the Behavior of individuals with	1.Explaining the behavior of	Criteria: 1.4: Writing results	scientific humanities 2 X 50	Material: Material 9	5%
	individuals with multiple disabilities	behavior of individuals with multiple disabilities  2.Explain the impact of different behaviors on individuals with multiple disabilities	1.4: Writing results are in accordance with theory and empirical conditions 3: Writing results are in accordance with theory only or in accordance with empirical conditions only 2.2: The written results are slightly supported by theory or empirical conditions 3.1: The written results are not supported by theory and empirical conditions	2 X 50	Material 9 References: Kirk, Samuel, et al. 2009. Educating Exceptional Children. New York: Houghton Miffl in Harcourt Publishing Company	
			Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			
10	Understanding the communication of individuals with multiple disabilities	Explaining communication between individuals with multiple disabilities	Criteria:  1.4: The written results are in accordance with theory and empirical conditions  2.3: The results of the writing are in accordance with theory only or in accordance with empirical conditions only  3.2: The written results are slightly supported by theory or empirical conditions  4.1: The written results are not supported by theory and empirical conditions	Collaborative scientific 2 X 50	Material: Material 10 References: Sunanto, Juang, et al. 2013. Education of Individuals with Multiple Disabilities. Hellen Keller International Indonesia	5%
			Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			

11	Understanding individuals with multiple disabilities in building concepts	Explaining individuals with multiple disabilities in building concepts	Criteria:  1.4: The written results are in accordance with theory and empirical conditions  2.3: The results of the writing are in accordance with theory only or in accordance with empirical conditions only  3.2: The written results are slightly supported by theory or empirical conditions  4.1: The written results are not supported by theory and empirical conditions  4.7: The written results are not supported by theory and empirical conditions  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific- collaborative 2 X 50	Material: Material 11 References: Kirk, Samuel, et al. 2009. Educating Exceptional Children. New York: Houghton Miffl in Harcourt Publishing Company	10%
12	Understanding early/pre-school education: assessment, basic stimulation, Community-based Programs, ABACUS (The Arizona Basic Assessment Curriculum Utilization System) for individuals with multiple disabilities	Explaining early/pre-school education: assessment, basic stimulation, Community- based Programs, ABACUS (The Arizona Basic Assessment Curriculum Utilization System) for individuals with multiple disabilities	Criteria:  1.4: The instrument results are correct, supported by correct analysis based on theory 2.3: The instrument results are correct, supported by correct analysis but not based on theory 3.2: The instrument results are accurate, but not supported by analysis and theory 4.1: The instrument results are inaccurate, and are not supported by analysis and theory Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific-humanistic 2 X 50	Material: Material 12 References: Imray, Peter and Hinchcliffe, Viv. 2014. Curricula for Teaching Children and Young People with Severe or Profound and Multiple Learning Difficulties. New York: Routledge	10%

			110			
13	Understanding early/pre-school education: assessment, basic stimulation, Community-based Programs, ABACUS (The Arizona Basic Assessment Curriculum Utilization System) for individuals with multiple disabilities	Explaining early/pre-school education for children with multiple disabilities	Criteria:  1.4: The program is structured according to a logical format and is based on theory  2.3: The program is not structured according to format, logically and based on theory  3.2: The program is not structured according to a format, and is not structured according to a format, and is not based on theory  4.1: The program is not structured according to a format, not logically and not based on theory  Form of  Assessment:  Project Results  Assessment / Product  Assessment	Scientific-humanistic 2 X 50	Material: Material 13 References: Imray, Peter and Hinchcliffe, Viv. 2014. Curricula for Teaching Children and Young People with Severe or Profound and Multiple Learning Difficulties. New York: Routledge	10%
14	Understanding basic education: teaching communication, social development, prevocational skills for individuals with multiple disabilities	Explaining basic education: teaching carbing carbing social development, pre-vocational skills for individuals with multiple disabilities	Criteria:  1.4: Appropriate instruments, supported by correct analysis based on theory 2.3: Appropriate instruments, supported by correct analysis but not based on theory 3.2: The instrument is appropriate, but not supported by analysis and theory 4.1: The instrument is not precise, and is not supported by analysis and theory Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific-humanistic 2 X 50	Material: Material 14 References: Kirk, Samuel, et al. 2009. Educating Exceptional Children. New York: Houghton Miffl in Harcourt Publishing Company	10%

15	Understanding basic education: teaching communication, social development, prevocational skills for individuals with multiple disabilities	Explaining basic education: teaching communication, social development, pre-vocational skills for individuals with multiple disabilities	Criteria:  1.4: The program is structured according to a logical format and is based on theory  2.3: The program is not structured according to format, logically and based on theory  3.2: The program is not structured according to a format, and is not structured according to a format, and is not based on theory  4.1: The program is not structured according to a format, not logically and not based on theory  Form of  Assessment:  Project Results  Assessment / Product  Assessment, Portfolio  Assessment	Scientific -humanistic 2 X 50	Material: Week 15 References: Kirk, Samuel, et al. 2009. Educating Exceptional Children. New York: Houghton Miffl in Harcourt Publishing Company	10%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: UAS Form of Assessment : Test	UAS 2 X 50	Material: All material References: Jilian, Pawlyn et al. 2009. Profound Intellectual And Multiple Disabilities: Nursing Complex Needs. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell Publishing	15%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	11%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	55%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	9%
4.	Test	25%
		100%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
  graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
  obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO** (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.