

Supporters:

Dra. Hj. Siti Mahmudah, M.Kes.

Ni Made Marlin Minarsih, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Supporting

lecturer

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Special Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN CODE **Course Family Credit Weight SEMESTER** Courses Compilation **Evaluation of Learning and** 8620202336 T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18 July 17, 2024 Learning **AUTHORIZATION** SP Developer Course Cluster Coordinator Study Program Coordinator Dra. Siti Mahmudah, M.Kes., Ni Made Marlin Minarsih, S.Pd., M.Pd. Dr. Asri Wijiastuti, M.Pd. Dr. H. Pamuji, M.Kes. **Project Based Learning** Learning model **Program** PLO study program that is charged to the course Learning PLO-11 Skilled in providing academic services and special needs programs for PDBK Outcomes (PLO) PLO-14 Mastering the basics of designing, implementing, assessing services for GDPK **Program Objectives (PO)** Mastering the basic concepts of special education theory, with the support of sociology, anthropology, psychology, neuroscience and arts and culture, as a basis for analyzing and implementing educational services for students with special needs. 1. Master the basic concepts of special education theory, with the support of sociology, anthropology, psychology, neuroscience and arts and culture, as a basis for analyzing and implementing educational services for students with special needs. 1. Able to implement the curriculum: plan implement, and · evaluate for formal special education services (from PAUD level to secondary education) PO - 1 and ja **PLO-PO Matrix** P.O PI O-11 PI O-14 PO-1 PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) P.O Week 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 PO-1 The Learning Evaluation course is a course that provides understanding and knowledge, as well as experience and skills to students through the basic concepts of learning evaluation, namely: understanding, objectives, functions, principles, characteristics, processes, assessment techniques, forms, types of tests, how to process results. tests, validity, reliability of tests and can plan, develop, write, compile, analyze tests, evaluate programs and problems related to learning evaluation and equip students to be able to make decisions in applying learning evaluation programs to find alternative solutions in solving problems in the field of learning evaluation. Lectures are carried out using a system of presentations, discussions, project assignments and reflection. **Short** Course Description assignments and reflection References 1. 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Prinsip-prinsip Evaluasi Pendidikan, Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 2000. Dasardasar proses belajar mengajar . Bandung. Sinar Baru. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A. and Padgett, Deborah K. 2010. Program Evaluation- An Introduction fifth edition. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Dasar-dasarEvaluasi Pen didikan, Jakarta: BumiAksara

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	f each arning stage Student Assignments [Estimated time]		Learr Studen	ning methods, nt Assignments,	Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PŎ)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>online</i> )	1	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Understand and know the basic concepts of learning evaluation: meaning, objectives, benefits, evaluation	Explain the basic concepts of learning evaluation: meaning, definition and objectives of learning evaluation	Criteria: accuracy and suitability Form: non-test, presentation  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Assignments, discussions, presentations 2 X 50		Material: Basic concepts of learning evaluation: meaning, definition and objectives of learning evaluation References: 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Principles of Educational Evaluation, Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 2000. Basics of the teaching and learning process. Bandung. New Rays. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A. and Padgett, Deborah K. 2010. Program Evaluation- An Introduction fifth edition. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basics of Educational Evaluation, Jakarta: BumiAksara	5%

2	Understand and know the basic concepts of learning evaluation: meaning, objectives, benefits, evaluation	Explain the basic concepts of learning evaluation: meaning, definition and objectives of learning evaluation	Criteria: Criteria: accuracy and suitability Form: non-test, presentation  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Assignments, discussions, presentations 2 X 50	Material: Basic concepts of learning evaluation: meaning, definition and objectives of learning evaluation References: 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Principles of Educational Evaluation, Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 2000. Basics of the teaching and learning process. Bandung. New Rays. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A. and Padgett, Deborah K. 2010. Program Evaluation- An Introduction fifth edition. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basics of	5%
					2013. Basics	

3	Know and understand the principles, functions and processes of learning evaluation	Explain the principles of learning evaluation	Criteria: Criteria: accuracy and suitability Form: non-test, presentation  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific 2 X 50	Expl the princ eval  Liter 1. N; Purv 1993 Prinn Educ Eval Jaka Rine 2. N; Sud 2000 of th teac learr proc Banc New 3. R; Davi Thye Bruc and Deb 2010 Prog Eval An Intro fifth Waa Cen; Lear Suha Arikt 2013 of Educ Eval	ciples of cational luation, arta: luation are	5%
---	--	---	---	-------------------	--	---	----

4	Know and	Explain the	Criteria:	Scientific	Material:	5%
	understand the	principles of	Criteria: accuracy	2 X 50	Explaining	370
	principles,	learning	and suitability	2 7 00	the	
	functions and	evaluation	Form: non-test,		principles of	
	processes of		presentation		learning	
	learning evaluation				evaluation.	
	evaluation		Form of		References:	
			Assessment :		1. Ngalim	
			Project Results		Purwanto,	
			Assessment /		1991.	
			Product		Principles of	
			Assessment		Educational	
					Evaluation,	
					Jakarta:	
					RinekaCipta	
					2. Nana	
					Sudjana.	
					2000. Basics	
					of the	
					teaching and	
					learning and	
					process.	
					Bandung.	
					New Rays.	
					3. Royse,	
					David.	
					Thyer,	
					Bruce A.	
					and Padgett,	
					Deborah K.	
					2010.	
					Program	
					Evaluation-	
					An	
					Introduction	
					fifth edition.	
					Wadsworth:	
					Cengage	
					Learning 4	
					Suharsimi	
					Arikunto,	
					2013. Basics	
					of	
					Educational	
					Evaluation,	
					Evalualion, Jakarta:	
					Jakaria. BumiAksara	
					DuillinkSald	

5	Know and	Explaining the	Ouit-ui-	D			E0/
5	understand the	learning the	Criteria: Criteria: accuracy	Presentations, discussions		t <b>erial:</b> plaining	5%
	principles,	evaluation	and suitability				
	functions and	process	Form: non-test,	and		concept	
	processes of	Discussing the	presentation	assignments	of th		
	learning	evaluation	•	2 X 50		ning	
	evaluation	process in	Form of			luation	
		İearning	Assessment :			cess	
			Portfolio			erences:	
			Assessment		1. N	Igalim	
			7.536331116111			wanto,	
					199		
					Prin	ciples of	
					Edu	ıcational	
					Eva	luation,	
						arta:	
						ekaCipta	
						lana	
						ljana.	
						0. Basics	
					of th		
						ching and	
						ning	
						cess.	
						idung.	
						v Rays.	
						Royse,	
					Dav		
					Thy		
						ce A.	
						Padgett,	
						orah K.	
					201	-	
						gram	
						luation-	
					An		
						oduction	
						edition.	
						dsworth:	
						ngage	
						rning 4	
					Suh	narsimi	
					Arik	runto,	
						3. Basics	
					of		
					1	ıcational	
						luation,	
						arta:	
						niAksara	
					Bun	IIIAKSAIA	

6	Know, understand and describe learning evaluation techniques, forms and tools	Shows the differences in learning evaluation techniques and forms of evaluation	Criteria: Criteria: accuracy and suitability Form: non-test, presentation  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific collaboration 2 X 50	Material: Technical concepts and forms of evaluation References: 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Principles of Educational Evaluation, Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 2000. Basics of the teaching and learning process. Bandung. New Rays. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A.	
					Bruce A. and Padgett, Deborah K. 2010. Program Evaluation- An Introduction fifth edition. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basics of Educational Evaluation, Jakarta: BumiAksara	

7 Know, understand and describe learning evaluation techniques, forms and tools	differences in Criteria: accuracy	Scientific collaboration 2 X 50	Material: Differences in evaluation techniques and forms References: 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Principles of Educational Evaluation, Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 2000. Basics of the teaching and learning process. Bandung. New Rays. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A. and Padgett, Deborah K. 2010. Program Evaluation- An Introduction fifth edition. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basics of
			Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basics

8	MIDTERM EXAM	Able to take mid-semester exams	Criteria: Score 5: Very Good Score 4:	2 X 50	Material: Doing exams	20%
		according to assessment	Good Score 3:		Literature:	
		criteria	Fair Score 2: Poor		1. Ngalim	
		Cillena	Score 1: Very Poor		Purwanto,	
			FOOI		1991.	
			Form of		Principles of	
			Assessment :		Educational	
			Test		Evaluation,	
					Jakarta:	
					RinekaCipta	
					2. Nana	
					Sudjana.	
					2000. Basics	
					of the	
					teaching and	
					learning	
					process.	
					Bandung.	
					New Rays.	
					3. Royse,	
					David.	
					Thyer,	
					Bruce A.	
					and Padgett,	
					Deborah K.	
					2010.	
					Program	
					Evaluation-	
					An	
					Introduction	
					fifth edition.	
					Wadsworth:	
					Cengage	
					Learning 4 Suharsimi	
					Sunarsımı Arikunto,	
					2013. Basics	
					of	
					oi Educational	
					Evaluation,	
					Evaluation, Jakarta:	
					BumiAksara	
					DulliiAKSara	

9	Understand and know the domain and procedures for learning evaluation	Explain the domain of learning evaluation. Discuss learning evaluation procedures	Criteria:     Criteria: accuracy and suitability Form: non-test, presentation  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific 2 X 50	Material: Able to explain the realm of evaluation. Literature: 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Principles of Educationa Evaluation, Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 2000. Basic of the teaching and learning process. Bandung. New Rays. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A. and Padget Deborah K. 2010. Program	a es d
10	Planning, developing, writing	Write formative questions, Write learning	Criteria: Criteria: accuracy	Scientific 2 X 50	Evaluation- An Introduction fifth edition. Wadsworth Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basic of Educationa Evaluation, Jakarta: BumiAksara  Material: Able to writ	3%
	writing, compiling, analyzing tests, evaluating programs and learning evaluation problems	Write learning outcomes tests/formative tests	and suitability Form: non-test, presentation  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		formative grids References 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Principles of Educationa. Evaluation, Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 2000. Basic of the teaching and learning process. Bandung. New Rays. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A. and Padget Deborah K. 2010. Program Evaluation- An Introduction fifth edition. Wadsworth Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basic of	f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f

				Evaluation,	
				Jakarta:	
				BumiAksara	
				Material:	
				Evaluating	
				learning	
				evaluation	
				programs	
				References:	
				1. Ngalim	
				Purwanto,	
				1991.	
				Principles of	
				Educational	
				Evaluation,	
				Jakarta:	
				RinekaCipta	
				2. Nana	
				Sudjana.	
				2000. Basics	
				of the	
				teaching and	
				learning	
				process.	
				Bandung.	
				New Rays.	
				3. Royse,	
				David.	
				Thyer,	
				Bruce A.	
1				and Padgett,	
1				Deborah K.	
1				2010.	
1				Program	
1				Evaluation-	
1				An	
1				Introduction	
1				fifth edition.	
1				Wadsworth:	
1				Cengage	
1				Learning 4	
1				Suharsimi	
1				Arikunto,	
1				2013. Basics	
1				of	
1				Educational	
1				Evaluation,	
				Jakarta:	
				BumiAksara	
	1			1	—

11	Plan, develop, write, compile, analyze learning	Write a summative question grid.	Criteria: Criteria: accuracy and suitability	2 X 50	<b>Material:</b> Analyzing learning	3%
	evaluation tests	Write a learning	Form: non-test, presentation		evaluation tests	
		outcomes test/summative	_ ,		References:	
		test	FOI III OI		1. Ngalim	
			Assessment : Project Results		Purwanto,	
			Assessment /		1991.	
			Product		Principles of	
			Assessment		Educational	
			, 1000001110111		Evaluation,	
					Jakarta:	
					RinekaCipta	
					2. Nana Sudjana.	
					2000. Basics	
					of the	
					teaching and	
					learning and	
					process.	
					Bandung.	
					New Rays.	
					3. Royse,	
					David.	
					Thyer,	
					Bruce A.	
					and Padgett,	
					Deborah K.	
					2010.	
					Program	
					Evaluation-	
					An Introduction	
					fifth edition.	
					Wadsworth:	
					Cengage	
					Learning 4	
					Suharsimi	
					Arikunto,	
					2013. Basics	
					of	
					Educational	
					Evaluation,	
					Jakarta:	
					BumiAksara	

12	Processing, analyzing learning evaluation test results	Processing the validity of formative test results. Interpreting formative test results	Criteria: Criteria: accuracy and suitability Form: non-test, presentation  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Read and discuss the validation of 2 X 50 question items	Material: Processing test validity results References: 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Principles of Educational Evaluation,	
			Assessment		Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 2000. Basics of the teaching and learning process. Bandung. New Rays. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A. and Padgett, Deborah K. 2010. Program Evaluation- An Introduction fifth dedition. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basics of Educational Evaluation,	
					Jakarta: BumiAksara	

13	Processing, analyzing learning evaluation test results	Processing the validity of summative test results. Interpreting summative test results	Criteria: Criteria: accuracy and suitability Form: non-test, presentation  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignment and discussion 2 X 50	Material: Processing test validity results References 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Principles of Educational Evaluation, Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 2000. Basics of the teaching and learning process. Bandung. New Rays. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A. and Padgett Deborah K. 2010. Program Evaluation- An Introduction fifth edition. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basics	
					Suharsimi Arikunto,	

14 Proces analyz learning evaluation results	reliability of g formative test results	Criteria: Criteria: accuracy and suitability Form: non-test, presentation  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific collaboration 2 x 50	Material: Processing evaluation test results References: 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Principles of Educational Evaluation, Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 2000. Basics of the teaching and learning process. Bandung. New Rays. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A. and Padgett, Deborah K. 2010. Program Evaluation- An Introduction fifth edition. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basics of Educational Evaluation, Jakarta: BumiAksara	
--	---	--	---------------------------------	--	--

15	Processing, analyzing learning evaluation test results	Processing the reliability of summative test results Interpreting summative test results	Criteria: Criteria: accuracy and suitability Form: non-test, presentation  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Collaborative scientific 2 X 50	Material: Processing evaluation test results References: 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Principles of Educational Evaluation, Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 22000. Basics of the teaching and learning process. Bandung. New Rays. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A. and Padgett, Deborah K. 2010. Program Evaluation- An Introduction fifth edition. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basics of Educational Evaluation,	7%
16	FINAL EXAMS	Able to answer UAS learning evaluation questions well and correctly	Criteria:  25 marks, if you answered 5 correctly. 20 marks, if you answered 4 correctly. 15 marks, if you answered 3 correctly. 10 marks, if you answered 2 correctly. 5 marks, if you answered 1 correctly.  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL	Jakarta: BumiAksara  Material: Able to answer the final semester exam correctly References: 1. Ngalim Purwanto, 1991. Principles of Educational Evaluation, Jakarta: RinekaCipta 2. Nana Sudjana. 2000. Basics of the teaching and learning process. Bandung. New Rays. 3. Royse, David. Thyer, Bruce A. and Padgett, Deborah K. 2010. Program Evaluation-An Introduction fifth edition. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning 4 Suharsimi Arikunto, 2013. Basics	20%

1 1	l			of	
1				Educational	
1				Evaluation,	
				Jakarta:	
				BumiAksara	
				Material:	
				Able to	
				answer the	
				final	
				semester	
				exam	
				correctly	
				Correctly	
				References:	
				1. Ngalim	
				Purwanto,	
				1991.	
				Principles of	
				Educational	
				Evaluation,	
				Jakarta:	
				RinekaCipta	
				2. Nana	
				Sudjana.	
				2000. Basics	
				of the	
				tooching and	
1				teaching and	
1				learning	
				process.	
				Bandung.	
				New Rays.	
				3. Royse,	
				David.	
				Thyer,	
				Bruce A.	
				and Padgett,	
				Deborah K.	
				2010.	
				Program	
				Evaluation-	
				An	
				Introduction	
				fifth edition.	
				Maday :	
				Wadsworth:	
				Cengage	
				Learning 4	
				Suharsimi	
				Arikunto,	
				2013. Basics	
				of	
				Educational	
				Evaluation,	
				Jakarta:	
				BumiAksara	
	<u> </u>			Barrii insura	

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning** 

Evaluation Fercentage Necap. Froject based Learning					
No	Evaluation	Percentage			
1.	Participatory Activities	10%			
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	62%			
3.	Portfolio Assessment	5%			
4.	Test	23%			
		100%			

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
  skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or
  observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the
  course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are

- consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- $7. \quad \textbf{Forms of assessment:} \ \text{test and non-test}.$
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
   Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning. Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. **Learning materials** are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. **The assessment weight** is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.