

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Special Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

Courses			DE			Cour	ourse Family		Cre	Credit Weight		SEM	ESTER	Compilation Date
EARLY INTERVENTION FOR DISABLED CHILDREN			0203370						T=2	P=1	ECTS=4.7	77	4	January 20, 2024
AUTHORIZA [*]	ΓΙΟΝ	SP	Develope	er				Со	urse Clu	ster C	oordinator	Stud	Study Program Coordinat	
		Dar	Dr. Endang Pudjiastuti Sartinah, M.Pd. ; Danis Ade Dwirisnanda, S.Pd., M.Hum. ; Diah Anggraeny, S.Pd., M.Pd.				Pro	Prof. Dr. Sujarwanto, M.Pd.				Dr. H. Pamuji, M.Kes.		
_earning nodel	Project Based Le	arning												
Program	PLO study prog	ram that is	charged	to the	course									
Learning Outcomes	PLO-9	Identifying a	nd assess	sing GD	PK using	various	technic	ques a	and strat	egies.				
PLO)	PLO-14	Mastering th	e basics (of desig	ning, imp	lementi	ng, ass	essing	g service:	s for G	DPK			
	Program Object	ives (PO)												
		using methor methods in In of Intervention	ds in Inte ntervention on for Ch and prov	rventior n for C ildren v viding t	n Children hildren wi vith Physi reatment	with P th Phys cal Imp or prov	hysical ical Imp airment iding re	Impai airme for c ferral:	irment, ent to finc children v s to expe	Able to I altern vith ph erts	make dec ative soluti ysical impa Have a res	sions in ons in so irment in ponsible	applying on the form attitude to	and procedure or implementin ems in the fire of carrying o owards learnin escriptions
		P.C)	PL	O-9	Pl	_O-14							
		PO-	1											
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)													
	PO Matrix at the													
	PO Matrix at the									-1.				
	PO Matrix at the	P.O							We	ек				
	PO Matrix at the	P.O	1	. 2	3 4	5	6	7	8 9	10	11 1	2 13	14	15 16
	PO Matrix at the	P.O	1	. 2	3 4	5	6	7		1	11 1	2 13	14	15 16
Short Course Description	This course study (1) Course Orient intervention for ch children with moto intervention in chi social and emotic carried out using a	PO-1 discusses th ation Early ir ildren with disr ir impairmenl diren with phonal developi	e importa iterventio sabilities, s, (7) ear ysical imp	nce of n for ch (4) earl ly inter- pairmen) Profe	early internildren wit y intervervention in ts with coessionaliza	vention h disab tion ed childre mmunication in	for chil pilities, (ucation, n with p cation b early i	dren v (2) pro (5) p ohysic arrier nterve	with physoblems of program prail impairs, (9) earlention fo	ical imf childilanningments	pairments. ren with dis g in early in with cognit rvention in	The studiabilities terventic ve deve	dies in this at an earl on, (6) earl lopment ba	course includ y age, (3) ear / intervention rriers, (8) ear cal impairmen

- 1. Corinne. G. Et all. 1981. Early Intervention for children with Special Needs and Their Families. Westar, USA
- Direktorat Pembinaan Sekolah Luar Biasa. 2008. Identifikasi dan Asesmen Anak Berkebutuhan Khusus, Pedoman Khusus Pendidikan Inklusif. Jakarta: Depdiknas
- 3. Hallahan, D.P., & Kauffman, J. 1986. Introduction Special Education Third Edition. Printice Hall
- 4. Hanson, M.J., & Lynch, E.W. 1989. Early Intervention, Implementing child and family services for infants and toddlers who are atrisk or disabled. PRO-ED Inc
- 5. Hargove, Linda J., dan Poteet, James A. 1984. Assessment in Special Education, the Education Evaluation, New Jersey, Prentice Hall, Inc.
- 5. McLoughlin, James A., dan Rena B. Lewis. 2008. Assessing Students with Special Needs, Seventh Edition. Pearson Prentice hall
- Munawir Yusuf, 2005. , Asesmen Anak Berkebutuhan Khusus, Panduan Bagi Guru di SekolahReguler, Makalah Disampaikan Dalam Kegiatan Pelatihan Lanjut Pendidikan Inklusif Bagi Guru Sekolah Dasar dan Menengah di Seluruh Indonesia , Bandung, 14-19Nopember 2005.
- 8. Musyafak, A. 1995. Orthopedagogik Anak Tunadaksa. Jakarta: Depdikbud
- 9. Permanarian Somad, dkk. 2009. Gangguan Interaksi Komunikasi. Bandung: Program StudiPendidikan Kebutuhan Khusus 1
- 10. Simeonsson, Rune J. 1990. Psychological and Developmental Assessment of Special Children, Printed in the United States of America.
- 11. Sudha., et all. 2004. An Overview of International Approaches to Early Intervention for Young Children with Specials Needs and Their Families.
- 12. Sunardi dan Sunaryo. 2007. Intervensi Dini Anak Berkebutuhan Khusus. Jakarta: Depdiknas

Supporters:

1. internet dan buku elektronik

Supporting lecturer

Prof. Dr. Endang Pudjiastuti Sartinah, M.Pd. Diah Anggraeny, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Evaluat	tion	Lear Studer	lp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	[References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Know the orientation of early intervention courses for children with physical impairments	Explain the orientation of early intervention courses for children with physical impairments	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50		Material: Course Orientation Early intervention for children with disabilities Reference: Directorate of Special School Development. 2008. Identification and Assessment of Children with Special Needs, Special Guidelines for Inclusive Education. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education	3%
2	Understanding the problems of children with disabilities at an early age	Explaining the problems of children with disabilities at an early age Identifying the problems of children with disabilities at an early age	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50		Material: Problems of children with disabilities at an early age Reference: Corinne. G. Et all. 1981. Early Intervention for children with Special Needs and Their Families. Westar, USA	3%

3	Understand the	- Explain the concept	Critoric	Droiset		20/
	oncept of early intervention for children with physical impairments	- Explain the concept of early assessment and intervention for children with physical impairments - Identify the concept of early assessment and intervention for children with physical impairments	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	Material: Concept early assessm and interventi children v disabilitie Referenc Directora Special S Developn 2008. Identifical and Assessm Children Special N Special S Guideline Inclusive Education Jakarta: Ministry o National Education	of ent on for vith s. ee te of ichool nent. eion ent of with leeds, s for n.
4	Understanding early intervention education	Explaining early educational interventionsIdentifying early educational interventions	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	Material: interventi educatior Referenc Corinne all. 1981. Interventi children v Special N and Their Families. Westar, U	on see: G. Et Early on for vith leeds
5	Understand program planning in early intervention	- Explain program planning in early intervention - Identify program planning	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practical Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	Material: Program planning early interventi Referenc Hanson, Lynch, El 1989. Eal Interventi Implemer child and family sei for infants toddlers v are at-risl disabled. ED Inc	in in ies: MJ, & W on, iting rvices s and who k or
6	Understanding early intervention in quadriplegic children with motor disorders	- Explain early intervention in children with motor impairments - Identify early intervention in children with motor impairments with motor impairments	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	Material: interventi physically disabled children v motor disorders Referenc Sunardi a Sunaryo. Early Interventi Children v Special N Jakarta: Ministry o National Education	on in vith .e: .nd 2007. on for with eleeds.

7	Understanding early intervention for physically disabled children with cognitive development barriers	- Explaining early intervention for children with physical impairments with cognitive development barriers - Identifying early intervention for children with physical impairments with cognitive development barriers	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	Material: Early intervention for physically disabled children with cognitive development barriers. Reference: Sunardi and Sunaryo. 2007. Early Intervention for Children with Special Needs. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education	3%
8	MIDTERM EXAM	take the UTS exam	Criteria: The better the answer, the higher the score Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Test	UTS 3 X 50	Material: UTS questions Library: Directorate of Special School Development. 2008. Identification and Assessment of Children with Special Needs, Special Guidelines for Inclusive Education. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education Material: UTS questions References: Hargove, Linda J., and Poteet, James A. 1984. Assessment in Special Education, the Education, New Jersey, Prentice Hall, Inc. Material: UTS questions Reader: Sunardi and Sunaryo. 2007. Early Intervention for Children with Special Needs. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education	25%
9	Understanding early intervention in children with physical impairments with communication barriers	- Explain early intervention for children with physical impairments with communication barriers - Identify early intervention for children with physical impairments with communication barriers	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	Material: Early intervention for disabled children with social and emotional development barriers. Reference: Simeonsson, Rune J. 1990. Psychological and Developmental Assessment of Special Children, Printed in the United States of America.	3%

10	Understanding early intervention for physically disabled children with social and emotional development barriers	- Explain early intervention for children with physical impairments with barriers to social and emotional development - Identify early intervention for children with physical impairments with barriers for social and emotional development	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	interv disab childr social emoti devel barrie Refer Simee Rune Psyct and Devel Asses Speci Childh	en with I and onal opment opment ops. opmesson, J. 1990. nological dopmental ssment of ial ren, red in the d States	3%
11	Understanding Professionalization in early intervention for children with physical impairments	Implementing early intervention planning for children with physical impairments	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	interv plann childr physi impai Refer Sunai Sunai Early Interv Childr	rments rence: rdi and ryo. 2007. rention for ren with fal Needs. ta: try of nal	3%
12	Able to implement early intervention planning for children with physical impairments	Implementing early intervention planning for children with physical impairments	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	interv plann childr disab Refer McLo Jame Rena 2008. Asses Stude Speci Sever Editio Pears	rences: ughlin, s A., and B. Lewis. ssing ents with ial Needs, nth	2%
13	Able to implement early intervention for children with physical impairments	Implementing early intervention for children with physical impairments in special and inclusive schools	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	of ear interv childr disab Refer Hargo J., an Jame 1984. Asses Speci Educa Evalu New	mentation rely ention for en with ilities rences: ove, Linda d Poteet, s A. ssment in ial attion, the attion	3%
14	Able to implement early intervention for children with physical impairments	Implementing early intervention for children with physical impairments in special and inclusive schools	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Tests	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	of ear asses and interv childr disab Refer McLo Jame Rena 2008. Asses Stude Speci Sever Editio Pears	mentation rely resment ention for en with ilities. rences: ughlin, s A., and B. Lewis. ssing ents with fail Needs, inth n.	2%

15	Able to decide on follow-up actions and alternative solutions to solve problems in the field of early intervention for children with disabilities	- Analyzing problems in the field of early intervention for Tuandak children - Analyzing alternative solutions to solving problems in the field of early intervention for Tuandak children	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Project Based Learning, discussions, assignments, responses 3 X 50	F A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Material: -Collow-up and Alternative solutions to oroblem solving in the field of early intervention for children with disabilitiesReference: -Hargove, Linda D., and Poteet, Dames A 1984 Assessment in Special Education, the Education, New Jersey, Prentice Hall, nc.	3%
16	FINAL EXAMS	doing UAS	Criteria: The better the answer, the higher the points Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	UAS 3 X 50	CLUSSE 2 III A A COSSION AND A	Material: UAS QUESTIONS Library: Directorate of Special School Development. 2008. dentification and Assessment of Children with Special Needs, Special Guidelines for Inclusive Education. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education Material: UAS Questions References: Hanson, MJ, & Lynch, EW 1989. Early Intervention, Implementing Child and Camily services or infants and oddlers who are at-risk or disabled. PRO- ED Inc Material: UAS Questions Reader: Sunardi and Sunaryo. 2007. Early Intervention for Children with Special Needs. Jakarta: Ministry of National Education	35%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	34.84%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	26%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	5.84%
4.	Practical Assessment	1%
5.	Practice / Performance	1.67%
6.	Test	30.67%
	·	100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study
 program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.