



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts English Literature Undergraduate Study Program

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE	Course F	amily		Crec	lit We	ight	SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Thesis Propo	sal Writing		7920202221	Compulso Subjects	ory Study Prog	ıram	T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	6	July 16, 2024
AUTHORIZA [*]	ΓΙΟΝ		SP Developer	Casjeots		Course Cluster Coordinator			ordinator	Study Program Coordinato	
										Dr. Ali Mustofa, S.S., M.P	
Learning model	Project Based	l Learniı	ng								
Program	PLO study pr	rogram	that is charged to t	he course							
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-5			ble to demonstrate integrative and independent thinking, originality, imagination, experimentation, problem solving, aking in thought, expression, or intellectual engagement							
	PLO-9		Be able to comprehend and apply basic research methods in language/literature, including research design, data analysis and interpretation.							ata analysis,	
	Program Obj	ectives	tives (PO)								
	PO - 1	Introdu	ntroduction to Thesis Proposal Writing								
	PO - 2	Choos	hoosing a Research Topic								
	PO - 3	Revie	eviewing Literature								
	PO - 4	Resea	arch Methods								
	PO - 5	Craftin	ng a Research Hypothe	esis							
	PO - 6	Structi	uring the Proposal (Pa	rt 1)							
	PO - 7	Structi	uring the Proposal (Pa	rt 2)							
	PO - 8	Writing	g the Literature Review	V							
	PO - 9	Resea	arch Ethics								
	PO - 10	Writing	Writing Style and Clarity								
	PO - 11	Peer F	Review and Feedback								
	PO - 12	Finaliz	zing the Proposal								
	PO - 13	Final E	Exam Preparation								<u>-</u>

PLO-PO Matrix

P.O	PLO-5	PLO-9
PO-1		
PO-2		
PO-3		
PO-4		
PO-5		
PO-6		
PO-7		
PO-8		
PO-9		
PO-10		
PO-11		
PO-12		
PO-13		

PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)

P.O		Week														
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
PO-1																
PO-2																
PO-3																
PO-4																
PO-5																
PO-6																
PO-7																
PO-8																
PO-9																
PO-10																
PO-11																
PO-12																
PO-13																

Short Course Description

The course introduces the students to the steps of how to write a research proposal. In the first half of the semester students learn to select a topic for their research, limit the scope of the study, write the rationale, formulate the research questions and the objectives of the study, and define the specific terms used in the study. During the second half of the semester, they will learn to write a review of related literature for the proposed topic which covers theoretical framework and state of the arts of the topic chosen. Classroom activities will be in the format of discussion, presentation in class seminar, lecturing, and full thesis proposal writing project.

References

Main:

- 1. Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposal in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education.
- 2. Hefferman, James A.W. dan John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co.
- Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University.
- 4. Kiszner, Laurie G. dan Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos.
- 5. Thomson Reuters. 2012. Endnote X6 Help.

Supporters:

Supporting lecturer

Drs. Much Koiri, M.Si.

Drs. Much Koiri, M.Si.
Prof. Dra. Pratiwi Retnaningdyah, M.Hum., M.A., Ph.D.
Prof. Slamet Setiawan, M.A., Ph.D.
Diana Budi Darma, S.S., M.Pd.
Dr. Widyastuti, S.S., M.Pd.
Dian Rivia Himmawati, S.S., M.Hum.
Dr. Ali Mustofa, S.S., M.Pd.
Lina Purwaning Hartanti, S.Pd., M.EIL.
Lisetyo Ariyanti, S.S., M.Pd.
Fithriyah Inda Nur Abida, S.S., M.Pd.
Ayunita Leliana, S.S., M.Pd.
Adam Damanhuri, S.S., M.Hum.
Dwi Nur Cahyani Sri Kusumaningtyas, S.S., M.Hum.
Uci Elly Kholidah, S.S., M.A.
Ephrilia Noor Fitriana, S.Hum., M.Hum.
Cicilia Deandra Maya Putri, S.Hum., M.A.

Week-	Veek-Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	each learning	Evaluation		Learr Studen	p Learning, ning methods, it Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	[References]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	

1	Introduction to the course	To show understanding on what is a research proposal, why a researcher needs to write a proposal, how to write a convincing proposal.	Criteria: - Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion, lecture, Q & A, assignment 2 X 50	Material: Introduction to the course Library: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Introduction to the course Bibliography: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Introduction to the course References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Introduction to the course References: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Introduction to the course References: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos.	3%
2	To communicate the ideas of the intended study which covers the rationale, problems, research questions or hypotheses, variables (if any), and terms	To write the background of the study	Criteria: 1.Components 2.Criteria 3.Score (weight) 4.1. Purpose 5.a. What is the author&rsquos purpose? To detail and experiment? To make an evaluation? To develop teaching materials, media, teaching technique(s), assessment instrument(s)? 6.b. Does the writer write down the thesis statement that tells the reader what is his/her specific purpose? 7.c. Is it effective? Does it predict how the	Presentation, discussion, question- answer, assignment 2 X 50	Material: rationale, problems, research questions or hypotheses, variables (if any), and terms Library: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: rationale, problems, research questions or	3%

research will be developed? 8.20 9.2. Content 10.a. Does the writer review previous studies to justify that his/her research is worth conducting? 11.b. Does it discuss the weakness of the previous studies so that the current research should be done? 12.c. Is it clear and comprehensive (covering completely or broadly) 13.25 $14.3.\ Organization$ 15.a. Does it have an appropriate structure for a thesis proposal? 16.b. Are the central thesis and supporting data integrated into a logical presentation? 17.c. Does the writer present the arguments clearly? 18.25 19.4. Style 20.a. Is it at the most appropriate level of technicality for the anticipated readers? 21.b. Are all the sentences clear, concise, and fluent? 22.c. Has the writer chosen appropriate words? 23.10 24.5.Language 25.a. Are there any grammatical mistakes that hinder your understanding? 26.b. Does the writer use effective transitions both within the paragraph and between paragraphs? 27.15 28.6. Mechanics 29.a. Is the documentation given in acceptable format? 30.b. Is the punctuation correct? 31.c. Are there any misspelled words?

Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities

hypotheses, variables (if any), and terms References: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co.

Material: rationale. problems, research questions or hypotheses, variables (if any), and terms References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash

University.

Material: rationale, problems, research questions or hypotheses, variables (if any), and terms References: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos.

Material: rationale, problems, research questions or hypotheses, variables (if any), and terms
Library: Thomson Reuters. 2012. Endnote X6 Help.

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		T		<u> </u>	,	
3	Choosing a Research Topic	1.Presents research interests and potential topics clearly. 2.Shows evidence of thought and effort in narrowing down the research focus.	Criteria: 1.Ability to articulate research interests and initial topic ideas. 2.Progress in narrowing down the research topic. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practical Assessment	Identifying research interests. Narrowing down the topic. Formulating research questions. 2 x 50 minutes		Material: Choosing a Research Topic Library: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Choosing a Research Topic Bibliography: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Choosing a Research Topic References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Choosing a Research Topic References: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Choosing a Research Topic References: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos.	3%

	,		T				
4	Reviewing Literature	1.Choose appropriate sources and explain their relevance. 2.Synthesizes information effectively and identifies gaps in the literature.	Criteria: 1.Quality and relevance of selected sources. 2.Ability to synthesize information and identify gaps in the literature. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	The role of literature review in a thesis proposal. Finding and evaluating sources. Annotating and synthesizing literature. 2 x 50 minutes		Material: Reviewing Literature Library: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Reviewing Literature Bibliography: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Reviewing Literature Reviewing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Reviewing Literature References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Reviewing Literature References: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Goide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Reviewing Literature Library: Thomson Reuters. 2012. Endnote X6 Help.	3%

5	Research Methods	1.Demonstrates comprehension of research methods. 2.Actively participates in ethical discussions and understands their importance.	Criteria: 1.Understanding of qualitative and quantitative research methods. 2.Engagement in discussions on ethical considerations. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Introduction to research methods. Qualitative vs. quantitative research. Ethical considerations in research. 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Research Methods Library: Academic Language ar Literacy Developmen 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Research Methods Bibliograph Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982 Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Research Methods References: Kimberley, N & Crosling, C 2012. Studen Q manual. Caulfield East Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Research Methods Bibliograph Kiszner, Lau G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 198 Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsque Material: Research Methods Bibliograph Kiszner, Lau G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 198 Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsque Material: Research Methods Library: Thomson Reuters. 201 Endnote X6 Help.	y:

			T			ı
7	Structuring the Proposal (Part 1)	1.Formulates clear research hypotheses. 2.Begins to outline the sections of the proposal effectively.	Criteria: 1.Clarity of research hypotheses. 2.Progress in structuring the proposal. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Introduction and background. Statement of the problem. Purpose of the study. 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Structuring the Proposal Library: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Structuring the Proposal Bibliography: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Structuring the Proposal Bibliography: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Structuring the Proposal Bibliography: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Structuring the Proposal Library: Thomson Reuters. 2012. Endnote X6 Help.	4%

8	To write a review	To select relevant	Criteria:	Discussion,	м	laterial:	4%
	of related	references for the	ComponentsCriteriaScore	lecture,		tructuring the	
	literature for the proposed	chosen topic To make note on	(weigh)1. Purposea.	question-		roposal	
	research	EndNote for	What is the	answer,	Li	ibrary:	
	.00001011	relevant	author&rsquos purpose? To detail and	assignment	Ad	cademic	
		references	experiment? To make an	2 X 50 minutes	Lá	anguage and	
			evaluation? To develop		Li	iteracy	
			teaching materials,			evelopment.	
			media, teaching			012. Writing	
			technique(s), assessment			roposals in	
			instrument(s)?b. Does the writer write down the		E	ducation.	
			thesis statement that tells		C	layton:	
			the reader what is his/her		Fá	aculty of	
			specific purpose?c. Is it		E	ducation.	
			effective? Does it predict				
			how the research will be		м	laterial:	
			developed?202. Contenta. Does the writer		St	tructuring the	
			review previous studies			roposal	
			to justify that his/her			ibliography:	
			research is worth			efferman,	
			conducting? b. Does it			ames AW	
			discuss the weakness of			nd John E.	
			the previous studies so			incoln. 1982.	
			that the current research should be done?c. Is it			/riting	
			clear and comprehensive			ollege	
			(covering completely or			andbook.	
			broadly)253.			lew York:	
			Organizationa. Does it			orton & Co.	
			have an appropriate				
			structure for a thesis proposal? b. Are the		м	laterial:	
			central thesis and			tructuring the	
			supporting data			roposal	
			integrated into a logical			ibliography:	
			presentation? c. Does the			imberley, N.,	
			writer present the			Crosling, G.	
			arguments clearly?254.			012. Student	
			Stylea. Is it at the most appropriate level of			manual.	
			technicality for the			aulfield East,	
			anticipated readers?b.			ic: the	
			Are all the sentences			aculty of	
			clear, concise, and			usiness and	
			fluent?c. Has the writer			conomics	
			chosen appropriate words?105. Languagea.			lonash	
			Are there any			niversity.	
			grammatical mistakes				
			that hinder your			laterial:	
			understanding? b. Does			tructuring the	
			the writer use effective			roposal	
			transitions both within the paragraph and between			ibliography:	
			paragraphs?156.			iszner, Laurie	
			Mechanicsa. Is the			iszner, Laurie i. and	
			documentation given in			tephen R.	
			acceptable format?b. Is			landell. 1983.	
			the punctuation correct?			atterns for	
			c. Are there any misspelled words?5			ollege	
			imospelieu worus?5		l ia	/riting: A	
			Form of Assessment :			hetorical	
			Participatory Activities			eader and	
			i alicipatory Activities			Guide. New	
					=	ork: St.	
						lartin&rsquos.	
					l Wi	iai iii ixi 34u03.	
						latarialı	
						laterial:	
						tructuring the	
						roposal	
						ibrary:	
						homson	
						euters. 2012.	
	i l		I		l Ei	ndnote X6	
	l					elp.	

		T	1	Г	
9 Develop Theoret Framew	ical coherent	Criteria: 1.Ability to construct a theoretical framework. 2.Understanding of ethical considerations in research. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	The role of theory in a thesis proposal. Constructing a theoretical framework. Theoretical perspectives and their applications. 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Developing a Theoretical Framework Library: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Developing a Theoretical Framework References: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Developing a Theoretical Framework References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Developing a Theoretical Framework References: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Developing a Theoretical Framework References: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Developing a Theoretical Framework Library: Thomson Reuters. 2012. Endnote X6 Help.	4%

	,		ı	T	T T	Т	
10	Research Ethics	1.Demonstrates improved writing style and clarity in assignments. 2.Provides constructive feedback to peers and incorporates feedback into own work.	Criteria: 1.Improvement in writing style and clarity. 2.Effective participation in peer review and feedback sessions. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Ethical considerations in thesis research. Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval. Ethical guidelines and best practices. 2 x 50 minutes		Material: Research Ethics Library: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Research Ethics Bibliography: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Research Ethics Bibliography: Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Research Ethics References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Research Ethics Bibliography: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Research Ethics Library: Thomson Reuters. 2012. Endnote X6 Help.	3%

1			1			l
of re litera prop	vrite a review elated ature for the losed earch	To organize the ideas into logical presentation	Criteria: as written above Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion, lecture, question-answer, assignment 8 X 50	Material: review of related literature Library: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: review of related literature Bibliography: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: review of related literature References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: review of related literature Bibliography: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos.	3%
					Material:	
					review of related	

	, ·		1	, ,	· ·	
12	Writing Style and Clarity	1.Demonstrates improved writing style and clarity in assignments. 2.Provides constructive feedback to peers and incorporates feedback into own work.	Criteria: 1.Improvement in writing style and clarity. 2.Effective participation in peer review and feedback sessions. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Effective academic writing. Clarity, conciseness, and coherence. Proofreading and editing strategies. 2 x 50 minutes	Material: Writing Style and Clarity Library: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Writing Style and Clarity Bibliography: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Writing Style and Clarity References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Writing Style and Clarity Bibliography: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Writing Style and Clarity Bibliography: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Writing Style and Clarity Library: Thomson Reuters. 2012. Endnote X6 Help.	3%

	 		T	T	, ,	T
13	Peer Review and Feedback	1.Demonstrates improved writing style and clarity in assignments. 2.Provides constructive feedback to peers and incorporates feedback into own work.	Criteria: 1.Improvement in writing style and clarity. 2.Effective participation in peer review and feedback sessions. Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment	Peer review process. Giving and receiving constructive feedback. Revision strategies. 2 X 50	Material: Peer Review, and Feedback Literature: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Peer Review, and Feedback Bibliography: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Peer Review, and Feedback Bibliography: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Peer Review, and Feedback Bibliography: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Peer Review, and Feedback Library: Thomson Reuters. 2012. Endnote X6 Help.	3%

	1	T	Γ		
14 Finalizing the Proposal	1.Submit a polished and well-structured proposal. 2.Demonstrates improvement in presentation skills during practice sessions.	Criteria: 1.Quality of the finalized proposal. 2.Progress in developing presentation skills. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Polishing and finalizing the proposal. Preparing the reference list. Proper citation and referencing. 2 X 50	Material: Finalizing the Proposal Library: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Finalizing the Proposal Bibliography: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Finalizing the Proposal References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Finalizing the Proposal Bibliography: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Finalizing the Proposal Library: Thomson Reuters 2012	4%
				Library:	

			1		
15 Finalizing the Proposal	1.Submit a polished and well-structured proposal. 2.Demonstrates improvement in presentation skills during practice sessions.	Criteria: 1. Quality of the finalized proposal. 2. Progress in developing presentation skills. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Polishing and finalizing the proposal. Preparing the reference list. Proper citation and referencing. 2 X 50	Material: Finalizing the Proposal Library: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Finalizing the Proposal Bibliography: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Finalizing the Proposal References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Finalizing the Proposal Bibliography: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Finalizing the Proposal Library: Thomson	4%
				Proposal Library:	

	,	7			•	T
16	FINAL EXAM	1.Clarity and Organization 2.Content and Research Quality 3.Citation and Referencing 4.Ethical Considerations 5.Presentation Skills 6.Overall Quality	Criteria: 1.Clarity and Organization (20 points) 2.Content and Research Quality (25 points) 3.Citation and Referencing (15 points) 4.Ethical Considerations (15 points) 5.Presentation Skills (15 points) 6.Overall Quality (10 points) Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	The final exam for this course will consist of a written proposal that students will need to complete within a specified time frame. The proposal should include all the elements covered throughout the course, such as research questions, literature review, research methods, theoretical framework, and ethical considerations. Students will also be required to provide a brief oral presentation of their proposal. 2 x 50	Material: Final exam Literature: Academic Language and Literacy Development. 2012. Writing Proposals in Education. Clayton: Faculty of Education. Material: Final exam Bibliography: Hefferman, James AW and John E. Lincoln. 1982. Writing College Handbook. New York: Norton & Co. Material: Final exam References: Kimberley, N., & Crosling, G. 2012. Student Q manual. Caulfield East, Vic: the Faculty of Business and Economics Monash University. Material: Final exam Bibliography: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Economics Monash University. Material: Final exam Bibliography: Kiszner, Laurie G. and Cuide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Final exam Reader and Guide. New York: St. Martin&rsquos. Material: Final exam Reader: Thomson Reuters. 2012. Endnote X6 Help.	50%

Evaluation Percentage Pecan: Project Resed Learning

⊏va	Evaluation Percentage Recap. Project Based Learning						
No	Evaluation	Percentage					
1.	Participatory Activities	37.25%					
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	53.75%					
3.	Portfolio Assessment	6.75%					
4.	Practical Assessment	2.25%					
		100%					

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
 Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the
- study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.

- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field
- Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

 Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

 Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.

 Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and
- sub-topics.

 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.

 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.