



References

Main:

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts English Literature Undergraduate Study Program

Courses		CODE	CODE		Co	Course Family			Credit Weight				SEMESTER			Compilation Date	
Listening for Communicat	79202022	80			Co	mpul ogran	sory n Sub	Study ojects	T=2	P=0	ECTS	=3.18		1	July	/ 16, 202	
AUTHORIZA	SP Develo	SP Developer					Cou	rse Cl	uster	Coordir	nator	Study Program Coordinator					
	Cicilia Dea	Cicilia Deandra Maya Putri, S.Hum., M.A.				м.А.	Ivy Silvy Cinthia Adelia, S.S., M.A.				S.S.,	Dr. Ali Mustofa, S.S., M.Pd.					
Learning model	Project Base	d Learning															
Program	PLO study p	PLO study program that is charged to the course															
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-5																
,	PLO-6	Being able to cre	Being able to create sound academic or non-academic works for various audiences and purposes														
	PLO-8	PLO-8 Being able to produce adequate translation and interpretation of both spoken and written texts from English to Indonesian and vice versa															
	Program Ob	jectives (PO)															
	PO - 1		able to identify general and specific information of various monologues and dialogues, and identify general pecific information of longer dialogues and monologues of non-authentic and authentic materials with various is.														
	PO - 2	Being able to disc	g able to discuss and summarize various kinds of daily communication														
	PO - 3	Being able to und	ing able to understand and respond to speech based on certain topics related to daily context														
	PO - 4	Being able to respect in thought, expression, and intellectual engagement to differences of opinion and personal response															
	PLO-PO Matrix																
		P.O	P.O PLO-5 PL				PLO-	-6 PLO-8									
		PO-1															
		PO-2															
		PO-3															
		PO-4															
						I						·					
	PO Matrix at	the end of each le	e end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)														
		P.O							Week								
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 1	1 12	13	14	15	16
		PO-1															
		PO-2															
		PO-3															
		PO-4															
				1													

- Miles Craven. 2008. Real Listening and Speaking 3 . Cambridge: Cambridge University Press Harmer, Jeremy. 2004. Just Listening and Speaking Intermediate . London: Marshall Cavendish Ltd Harmer, Jeremy. 2004. Just Listening and Speaking Intermediate . London: Marshall Cave
 Soars, John and Liz. 2004. New Headway Student Book . Oxford: Oxford University Press
- 4. Harmer, Jeremy. 2004. Just Listening and Speaking Upper Intermediate . London: Marshall Cavendish Ltd
- 5. Rogers, Bruce. 2011. The Complete Guide to the TOEFL Test. Boston: Heinle Cengage Learning

Supporters:

- 1. YouTube videos
- 2. TedTalk videos

Supporting lecturer

Diana Budi Darma, S.S., M.Pd.
Dian Rivia Himmawati, S.S., M.Hum.
Lisetyo Ariyanti, S.S., M.Pd.
Fithriyah Inda Nur Abida, S.S., M.Pd.
Adam Damanhuri, S.S., M.Hum.
Cicilia Deandra Maya Putri, S.Hum., M.A.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evalu	ation	Lea Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator Criteria & Form		Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	[References]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	1.Being able to understand listening styles and strategies 2.Being able to respond to others' opinions in English using an appropriate manner	Mention and explain 3 out of 4 listening styles	Criteria: Identify and explain the differences listening styles Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	Discussion LLecture Discussion Mini-quiz ecturing, Question- Answer 2 X 50	Lecture Discussion Mini-quiz 2 X 50	Material: Listening Styles and Strategies Reader: Miles Craven. 2008. Real Listening and Speaking 3. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press	2%	
2	1.Being able to understand listening styles and strategies 2.Being able to respond to others' opinions in English using an appropriate manner	Mention and explain 3 out of 4 listening styles	Criteria: Written Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	Discussion LLecture Discussion Mini-quiz ecturing, Question- Answer 2 X 50	Lecture Discussion Mini-quiz 2 X 50	Material: Listening Styles and Strategies Reader: Miles Craven. 2008. Real Listening and Speaking 3. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press	5%	
3	Being able to create reflective notes on student's own listening skills	Compose grammatically correct reflective notes on listening comprehension skills	Criteria: Written Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Individual reading assignment Discussion 2 X 50	Individual reading assignment Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Adler, R.B., & Rodman, G. (2020). Understanding human communication. Oxford University Press. References:	2%	
4	Being able to distinguish general and specific information in monologues about daily contexts	Answer comprehension questions on general and specific information in monologues about Family using correct grammar	Criteria: Oral and written Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	Individual assignment Discussion 2 X 50	Individual assignment Discussion 2 X 50	Material: About Family Bibliography: Harmer, Jeremy. 2004. Just Listening and Speaking Intermediate. London: Marshall Cavendish Ltd	5%	
5	Being able to distinguish general and specific information in dialogues about daily contexts	Answer comprehension questions on general and specific information in dialogues about Family found on YouTube videos using correct grammar	Criteria: Oral and written Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Case- based learning Individual assignment 2 X 50	Case-based learning Individual assignment 2 X 50	Material: Selected materials Library: YouTube videos	3%	

6	Being able to solve the listening comprehension section in English proficiency test related to daily contexts	Answer listening comprehension section on English proficiency tests	Criteria: Written Form of Assessment: Test	TOEFL Practice Individual assignment Discussion 2 X 50	TOEFL Practice Individual assignment Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Listening sections References: Rogers, Bruce. 2011. The Complete Guide to the TOEFL Test. Boston: Heinle Cengage Learning	5%
7	Being able to create reflective notes on student's own listening skills	Compose grammatically correct reflective notes on listening comprehension skills	Criteria: Written Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Individual assignment Discussion 2 X 50	Individual assignment Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Adler, R.B., & Rodman, G. (2020). Understanding human communication. Oxford University Press. References:	3%
8	Being able to solve the listening comprehension section in English proficiency test related to daily contexts	Answer listening comprehension section on English proficiency tests	Criteria: Written Form of Assessment : Test	TOEFL Practice Individual assignment 2 X 50	TOEFL Practice Individual assignment 2 X 50	Material: Listening sections References: Rogers, Bruce. 2011. The Complete Guide to the TOEFL Test. Boston: Heinle Cengage Learning	15%
9	Being able to summarize the main ideas and supporting details in non-authentic materials related to daily contexts	being able to identify the main ideas and supporting details	Criteria: Oral and written Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project- based learning Group discussion 2 X 50	Project-based learning Group discussion 2 X 50	Material: Selected videos Library: YouTube videos	5%
10	Being able to summarize the main ideas and supporting details in authentic materials related to daily contexts	1.Create a grammatically correct summary on the main ideas and supporting details in selected YouTube videos 2.Choose a specific context for their project, such as business communication, interpersonal relationships, public speaking, or cross-cultural communication.	Criteria: Oral and written Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project- based learning Group discussion 2 X 50	Project-based learning Group discussion 2 X 50	Material: Selected materials Library: YouTube videos Material: Selected materials Library: TedTalk videos	5%
11	1.Being able to create reflective notes on student's own listening skills 2.Being able to solve challenges in listening comprehension skills	1.Analyze the recordings and identify specific listening skills required to comprehend the information effectively. 2.Create a summary of the main points, key ideas, and supporting details from the audio recordings	Criteria: Oral and written Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project- based learning Discussion 2 X 50	Project-based learning Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Selected materials Library: YouTube videos Material: Selected materials Library: TedTalk videos	5%

12	1.Being able to create reflective notes on student's own listening skills 2.Being able to solve challenges in listening comprehension skills	1.Analyze the recordings and identify specific listening skills required to comprehend the information effectively. 2.Create a summary of the main points, key ideas, and supporting details from the audio recordings	Criteria: Oral and written Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project- based learning Discussion 2 X 50	Project-based learning Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Selected materials Library: YouTube videos Material: Selected materials Library: TedTalk videos	5%
13	Being able to solve the listening comprehension section in English proficiency test related to daily contexts	1.Answer listening comprehension section on English proficiency tests 2.Participate in a workshop that focuses on various listening strategies, such as active listening, questioning techniques, and overcoming distractions. 3.Practice these strategies through listening exercises and role-playing scenarios	Criteria: Oral and written Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project- based learning Discussion 2 X 50	Project-based learning Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Adler, R.B., & Rodman, G. (2020). Understanding human communication. Oxford University Press. References:	25%
14	Being able to solve the listening comprehension section in English proficiency test related to daily contexts	1. Apply their listening skills and strategies in real-life settings related to their chosen context 2. Conduct interviews, attend meetings or presentations, or listen to authentic audio/video materials	Criteria: Oral and written Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project- based learning Discussion 2 X 50	Project-based learning Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Adler, R.B., & Rodman, G. (2020). Understanding human communication. Oxford University Press. References:	5%
15	Being able to solve the listening comprehension section in English proficiency test related to daily contexts	1.Apply their listening skills and strategies in real-life settings related to their chosen context 2.Conduct interviews, attend meetings or presentations, or listen to authentic audio/video materials	Criteria: Oral and written Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project- based learning Discussion 2 X 50	Project-based learning Discussion 2 X 50	Material: Adler, R.B., & Rodman, G. (2020). Understanding human communication. Oxford University Press. References:	5%

16	Being able to create reflective notes on student's own listening skills	Compose grammatically correct reflective notes on listening comprehension skills	Criteria: Written Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Individual assignment 2 X 50	Individual assignment 2 X 50	Material: Adler, R.B., & Rodman, G. (2020). Understanding human communication. Oxford University Press.	5%
						References:	

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	9%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	55%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	10%
4.	Test	26%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
 are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
 knowledge
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
 unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.