



**Universitas Negeri Surabaya
Faculty of Languages and Arts
English Literature Undergraduate Study Program**

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses	CODE	Course Family	Credit Weight			SEMESTER	Compilation Date										
Intrinsic Approaches in Literature	7920202240		T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	5	July 18, 2024										
AUTHORIZATION	SP Developer		Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator											
			Dr. Ali Mustofa, S.S., M.Pd.											
Learning model	Case Studies																
Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO study program that is charged to the course																
	Program Objectives (PO)																
	PLO-PO Matrix																
		P.O															
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																
	P.O	Week															
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Short Course Description	Intrinsic Approaches in Literature introduces students to many of the contemporary theories underpinning our interpretations of and assumptions about literature. Readings and writing assignments emphasize textual interpretation and the examination of the systems (theories, schools, lenses) we use to arrive at meaning, textuality, and interpretation. The course is designed to further students' understanding, enjoyment, and appreciation of literature by examining contemporary literary theory and its various applications in literary analysis. The course covers Formalist/New Criticism, Structuralism (including Semiotics), and Deconstruction.																
References	Main :																
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Barry, Peter. 2009. Beginning Theory: An Introduction to Literary and Cultural Theory , 3rd edition. Manchester: Manchester University Press. 2. Macey, David. 2001. Dictionary of Critical Theory . NY: Penquin. 3. Leitch, Vincent, ed. 2001. The Norton Anthology of Theory and Criticism . Norton. 4. Tyson, Lois. 2006. Critical Theory Today 13 A User-Friendly Guide . Garland Publishing. 5. Selected stories for case studies 																
	Supporters:																
Supporting lecturer	Dr. Ali Mustofa, S.S., M.Pd.																
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Evaluation		Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)										
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)												
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)										

1	Students are able to understand the expectations of the course	1.To understand the material coverage of the course. 2.To understand the expected performance students have to make. 3.To understand code of conduct to abide by.		LectureDiscussionQ&A 2 X 50			0%
2				2 X 50			0%
3				2 X 50			0%
4		Formalism and New Criticism		2 X 50			0%
5				2 X 50			0%
6				2 X 50			0%
7				2 X 50			0%
8				2 X 50			0%
9							0%
10							0%
11							0%
12							0%
13							0%
14							0%
15							0%
16							0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program)** are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment:** test and non-test.

8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
10. **Learning materials** are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
11. **The assessment weight** is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.