

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts English Literature Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

Cou	

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

		1	1				1			_	_	-							1		
Courses			CODE				Cou	rse Fa	amily	,		C	Credit Weight		:	SEMES	STER	Co Da	mpilat te	ion	
Intercultural Communication			792020224	3 Culture				٦	=2 I	P=0 E	ECTS=	3.18		5	Jul	y 16, 2	024				
AUTHORIZAT	SP Develo	SP Developer C					Coui	ourse Cluster Coordinator					Study Program Coordinator			tor					
	Pratiwi Retr	Pratiwi Retnaningdyah, PhD				[Drs. Much. Koiri, M.Si						Dr. Ali Mustofa, S.S., M.Pd.								
Learning model	Case Studies	I																			
Program	PLO study pro	gram that is charged to the course																			
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-5	Being solving	able to dem g, or risk taki	onstra	ate int thoug	egrati Jht, ex	ive an press	d inde sion, o	epend r inte	lent llecti	think ual e	king enga	, origi ageme	nality, ent	imagin	ation,	experin	nentatio	on, pro	blem	
	PLO-12		able to apply nsive manne		cepts	and t	heorie	es of c	ulture	e to a	analy	yze	and re	espon	d to soo	ciocult	ural phe	enomer	na in c	ulturally	у-
	Program Object	ctives (PO)																		
	PO - 1		able to ana Iltural comm						fs, pe	ercep	otion	IS, á	and c	ommu	nicatio	n style	es that	are in	porta	nt to b	build
	PO - 2		able to pro ments or obs			tive a	and a	nalytic	cal no	otes	on	inte	rcultu	al co	mmunio	cation	issues	on the	basi	s of so	ocial
	PO - 3	Being	able to respo	ond to	texts	on in	tercu	tural i	ssues	s ora	ılly.										
	PO - 4	Being	able to advo	cate d	cultura	al dive	ersity	n vari	ous ir	ntera	actio	ns t	hat in	olve o	differen	t cultu	res.				
	PO - 5	Being	able to acqu	ire kn	owled	lge ar	nd ski	ls to e	effecti	vely	eng	age	in int	ercultu	ural inte	ractio	ns.				
	PLO-PO Matrix																				
			P.0		PLC	O-5		PL	.0-12												
			PO-1																		
			PO-2																		
			PO-3	_																	
			PO-4	_																	
			PO-5	-							-										
			FO-J	_																	
	DO Matrix at th	o ond a	of anoth loop	rnine	. otor	10 (6)	uh D(
	PO Matrix at th	le enu d	of each lea	ming	stag	je (Si	up-Pv)													
			0.0										Wee								1
			P.0	1	2	3	4	F	6	7		0	Wee	10	11	10	10	14	15	16	-
				1	2	3	4	5	6	1		8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	-
		PO									_										
		PO)-2																		
		PO)-3																	<u> </u>	
		PO)-4																		
		PO	9-5																	<u> </u>	
Short Course Description	This course focu students the opp across cultural b various organizat	ortunity oundarie	to develop es. Topics di	interc	ultura	ıl awa	renes	s and	batt	erns	of	perc	eptio	n and	thinkin	a to e	nable e	effective	e com	mùnica	tion
References	Main :																				

	Selected	 Samovar, Larry A., et.al. 2012. Communication between Cultures . Singapore: Wadsworth Cengage Learning. Selected movie clips Handouts from various sources 									
	Supporters:										
Support lecturer	Prof. Slamet Seti Diana Budi Darm Lina Purwaning F	i Retnaningdyah, M.Hı awan, M.A., Ph.D. Ia, S.S., M.Pd. Hartanti, S.Pd., M.EIL. Maya Putri, S.Hum., M									
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evaluation		Lear Stude	elp Learning, ming methods, nt Assignments, <mark>stimated time]</mark>	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)				
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	[References]					
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)				
1	By the end of the session, students will be able to explain the learning contract of this class	 to mention the description of the subject to explain the grading plan to describe the rules of conduct to state requirements of the final assignment 	Criteria: to summarize the description, rules, and output of the course Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	- Students use Frayer Model to define key concepts in ICC and provide examples - Students work in groups to share their work 2 X 50		Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communication between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. Library: Handouts from various sources	2%				
2	By the end of the session, students will be able to explain the concept of intercultural communication	 to compare the concepts of dominant culture and co- culture to exemplify the concept of culture shock to discuss the importance of ethics in intercultural communication 	Criteria: 1.to compare the concepts of dominant culture and co-culture 2.to exemplify the concept of culture shock 3.to explain the importance of ethics in intercultural communication Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Group presentation Lecture 2 X 50		Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communication between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. Library: Handouts from various sources	3%				
3	By the end of the session, students will be able to describe the relationship between communication and culture	1.to explain the connection between culture and communication 2.to provide examples of the relationship between culture and communication	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Presentation group work Lecture 2 X 50		Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communication between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References:	4%				
4	By the end of the session, students will be able to explain the concept of deep and surface structure of culture	 to describe the underlying culture of a certain cultural practice to provide examples of surface structure of culture to explain the connection between deep and surface structure of culture 	Criteria: to explain the connection between deep and surface structure of culture Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Presentation Group work Lecture 2 X 50		Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communication between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References:	4%				

5	By the end of the session, students will be able to summarize the history of culture	 to describe steps of the emergence of culture to explain the conflict of culture to exemplify the evidence of cultural history 	Criteria: to explain the conflict of culture Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Presentation Group work Lecture 2 X 50	Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communicatio between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References:	
6	By the end of the session, students will be able to describe Worldview: Cultural Explanations of Life and Death	 to compare the concepts of different views of life and death worldwide to describe the local view of cultural explanation for life and death 	Criteria: to compare and explain the concepts of life and death worldwide Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Group work Lecture Presentation 2 X 50	Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communicatio between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References:	3%
7	By the end of the session, students will be able to analyze cultural bumps	 to describe the cultural issues in global to analyze the cultural issues in global 	Criteria: to explain the cultural issues in global Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Lecture Presentation 2 X 50	Material: Lustig, MW, & Koester, J. (2019). Intercultural competence: Interpersonal communication across cultures (8th ed.). Pearson. References:	
8		to explain the logic and correct answer	Criteria: to provide the logic and correct answer Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Written test 4 X 50	Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communicatio between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References: Material: Lustig, MW, & Koester, J. (2019). Intercultural competence: Interpersonal communicatior across cultures (8th ed.). Pearson. References:	
9		to reflect intercultural communication based on verbal and nonverbal massage	Criteria: to explain intercultural communication based on verbal and nonverbal massage Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	presentation lecture 2 X 50	Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communicatio between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References:	3%
10	to identify and manage intercultural conflicts through constructive dialogue and negotiation	 to identify intercultural conflicts through constructive dialogue and negotiation to manage intercultural conflicts through constructive dialogue and negotiation 	Criteria: to identify intercultural conflicts through constructive dialogue and negotiation Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	presentation lecture 2 X 50	Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communicatio between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References:	3%

11	to demonstrate unbiased responses to intercultural communication issues	to identify unbiased responses to intercultural communication issues	Criteria: to explain unbiased responses to intercultural communication issues Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	presentation lecture 2 X 50	Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communication between cultures (9th	4%
12		to demonstrate cultural diversity in various	Criteria: to explain cultural	presentation	ed.). Cengage Learning. References: Material: Samovar, LA,	4%
		interactions that involve different cultures	diversity in various interactions that involve different cultures Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	2 X 50	Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communication between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References:	
13		to analyze notes on intercultural communication issues on the basis of social experiments or observations	Criteria: to reflect on intercultural communication issues on the basis of social experiments or observations Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	presentation lecture 4 X 50	Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communication between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References:	4%
14	to develop strategies for adapting communication behaviors to different cultural contexts	to develop strategies for adapting communication behaviors to different cultural contexts	Criteria: to explain strategies for adapting communication behaviors to different cultural contexts Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	presentation lecture 2 X 50	Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communication between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References:	4%
15	to demonstrate the ability to communicate clearly and respectfully with individuals from diverse cultural backgrounds	to demonstrate the ability to communicate clearly and respectfully with individuals from diverse cultural backgrounds	Criteria: to explain the ability to communicate clearly and respectfully with individuals from diverse cultural backgrounds Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	presentation lecture 2 X 50	Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communication between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References:	4%
16	able to comprehend and acquire the materials	to summarize and reflect intercultural communication context in global	Criteria: to reflect intercultural communication context in global Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Written test	Material: Samovar, LA, Porter, RE, McDaniel, ER, & Roy, CS (2019). Communication between cultures (9th ed.). Cengage Learning. References: Material: Lustig, MW, & Koester, J. (2019). Intercultural competence: Interpersonal communication across cultures (8th ed.). Pearson. References:	30%

1.	Participatory Activities	66%
2.	Practice / Performance	24%
3.	Test	10%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study
 program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.