



References

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts English Literature Undergraduate Study Program

| Courses | | CODE | DDE | | (| Course Family | | | | Credit Weight | | | ! | SEMESTER | Con | Compilation | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------|--------|------------------|------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|---------------|---------|------------------------------|------------|----------|------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| English Sema | ntics 792020305 | 7920203059 | | | Compulsory Study | | | T=3 | P=0 | ECTS=4 | .77 | | 1 | | 5, 202 | | | |
| AUTHORIZAT | ION | SP Develo | P Developer | | | ≥rogr | am Sı | ubject | | urse | Clus | ter C | oordinato | or s | Study Program Coordina | | rdinate | |
| | | Lisetyo Ariy | Lisetyo Ariyanti | | | | | | Slamet Setiawan | | | Dr. Ali Mustofa, S.S., M.Pd. | | | | | | |
| Learning model | Case Studies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | PLO study program that is charged to the course | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcomes (PLO) | PLO-10 Being able to apply concepts and theories of foundational English Linguistics to respond to a variety of language phenomena. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (- ==) | Program Object | tives (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 1 | Being able to class | sify lin | guisti | c devi | ces s | uch a | ıs app | ropria | ate di | ctions | and | utterances | s both | in spo | ken an | d writte | en texts |
| | PO - 2 | Being able to illust and speaker's mea | | ne co | ntextu | ıal so | ciocu | ltural | oheno | omer | na bas | ed or | the cond | epts | and the | eories c | f word | l meani |
| | PO - 3 | Being able to exar | nine th | ne app | oropri | ate ap | proa | ches i | n me | aninç | g to ar | nalyze | spoken a | and w | ritten te | ext | | |
| | PO - 4 | Being able to resp written text | ond t | o var | ous l | kinds | of pro | oblem | solvi | ng o | f wor | d mea | ning and | spea | ker's n | neaning | j in sp | oken a |
| | PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | P.O | | PLC |)-10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO-2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO-3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at the | e end of each lea | rning | staç | je (Si | ub-P | 0) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | I | | | | | | | | We | ek | 1 | | | | | |
| | | P.O | | | | | | ı ı | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | P.O | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| | | P.O PO-1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| | | PO-1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| | | PO-1 PO-2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |

- References
- Crystal, D. 1995. The Cambridge Encyclopedia of English Language. New York: Cambridge: University Press.
 Cruse, D. A. 1990. Language Meaning and Sense: Semantics. In N. E. Collinge (Ed.). An Encyclopaedia of Language. London:
- 4. Cruse, D. Alan.2000. Meaning in Language: An Introduction to Semantics and Pragmatics.NY: Oxford University Press.
- 5. Cutting, Joan. 2002. Pragmatics and Discourse: A resource book for students. New York: Routledge.
- 6. Griffiths, Patrick. 2006. An Introduction to English Semantics and Pragmatics. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- 7. Kertez, Andras. 2004. Cognitive Semantics and Scientific Knowledge: Case studies in cognitive science of science. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company
- 8. Riemer, Nick. 2010. Introducing Semantics. New York: Cambridge University Press
- Van Geenhoven, Veerle. 2006. Semantics in Acquisition. Netherland: Springer.
 George Yule: (1996). Pragmatics. Oxford University Press.

Supporters:

Supporting lecturer

Dian Rivia Himmawati, S.S., M.Hum. Lisetyo Ariyanti, S.S., M.Pd.

| lecturer | Lisetyo Ariyanti, S | S.S., M.Pd. | | | | | |
|----------|---|---|--|--|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Week- | Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | Evaluation Indicator Critoria & Form | | Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] | | Learning materials [References] | Assessment Weight (%) |
| | (Sub-PO) | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline (offline) | Online (<i>online</i>) | | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | By presenting Semantics definition, Meaning and the Dictionary | 1.To compare between language form and language meaning 2.To explain and give examples of the scope of English Semantics | Criteria: 1.Level 1: 50-59% 2.Level 2: 60-69% 3.Level 3: 70-79% 4.Level 4: 80-100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | · Lecturing · Discussion · Question-Answer 3 X 50 | | Material: 1. Griffiths, Patrick. 2006. An Introduction to English Semantics and Pragmatics. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press. References: | 3% |
| 2 | By presenting Meaning and context External context: sense and reference | 1.To examine the units of meaning 2.To categorize different ways of defining meaning | Criteria: 1.Level 1: 50-59% 2.Level 2: 60-69% 3.Level 3: 70-79% 4.Level 4: 80-100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | · Lecturing · Discussion · Question-Answer 3 X 50 | | Material: Various aspects of Semantics including sense and reference: Cruse, DA 1990. Language Meaning and Sense: Semantics. In N. E. Collinge (Ed.). An Encyclopaedia of Language. London: Routledge | 3% |
| 3 | By presenting Meaning and context External context: sense and reference | 1.To define the difference between meaning and context To compare the concepts of sense and reference To find the concept of dictionary and encyclopedia 2.o compare the concepts of sense and reference 3.To find the concept of dictionary and encyclopedia | Criteria: 1.Level 1: 50-59% 2.Level 2: 60-69% 3.Level 3: 70-79% 4.Level 4: 80-100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | · Lecturing · Discussion · Question-Answer 3 X 50 | | Material: 1. Griffiths, Patrick. 2006. An Introduction to English Semantics and Pragmatics. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press Bibliography: References | 3% |

| 4 | To understand the scope of meaning II: interpersonal context | 1.To outline the difference between speaker intention and hearer's inference 2.To outline the differences on antecedent and anaphora, and their aspects. | Criteria: 1.Level 1: 50-59% 2.Level 2: 60-69% 3.Level 3: 70-79% 4.Level 4: 80-100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | · Lecturing · Discussion · Question-Answer 3 X 50 | Sperinter hear infer Ante Ana, Refe Grifff Patri An II to Ei Sem Prag Edin Edin | rence, • ecedent • phora erences: fifths, rick. 2006. Introduction inglish nantics and gmatics. nburgh: hburgh versity | 3% |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|----|
| 5 | To analyze and distinguish meanings To understand logic as representation of meaning | 1.To organize the lexical relations To categorize polysemy and meaning divisions To outline the difference between speaker intention and hearers inference To outline the difference between speaker intention and hearers inference To outline the difference between speaker intention and hearers inference To outline the difference between speaker intention and hearers inference 2.To focus on the componential analysis | Criteria: 1.Level 1: 50-59% 2.Level 2: 60-69% 3.Level 3: 70-79% 4.Level 4: 80-100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | · Lecturing · Discussion · Question-Answer 3 x 50 | Lexi relat Com anal Poly mea divis Refe Griff Patr An I to Ei Sem Prag Edin Edin | tions • nponential lysis, • yesemy and aning sions, erences: fiths, introduction inglish nantics and gmatics. nburgh: hburgh yersity | 3% |
| 6 | By identifying the componential analysis, Polysemy and meaning divisions | 1.To outline the difference between speaker intention and hearer's inference 2.To outline the difference between speaker intention and hearer's inference | Criteria: 1.Level 1: 50-59% 2.Level 2: 60-69% 3.Level 3: 70-79% 4.Level 4: 80-100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | · Lecturing · Case study · Small group discussion · Question-Answer 3 X 50 | Sect poly: mea divis Refe Crus Alan Mea Lang Intro Sem Prag NY: | erial: tions of ysemy and aning sions erence: se, D. 1.2000. aning in guage: An oduction to mantics and gmatics. Oxford yersity ss. | 3% |

| 7 | By identifying the componential analysis, Polysemy and meaning divisions | 1.Explaining the problem-based activities: 1. Giving the written text taken from authentic sources from the internet (social media) to the students. The text contains English sentences that may have specific lexical relations 2.2. Identifying the componential words, polysemy and meaning in divisions | Criteria: 1.Level 1: 50-59% 2.Level 2: 60-69% 3.Level 3: 70-79% 4.Level 4: 80-100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | ·Explaining the problem-based activities: 1. Giving the written text taken from authentic sources from the internet (social media) to the students. The text contains English sentences that may have specific lexical relations 2. Identifying the componential words, polysemy and meaning in divisions. 3 X 50 | Material: Sections of polysemy and meaning divisions Reference: Cruse, D. Alan.2000. Meaning in Language: An Introduction to Semantics and Pragmatics. NY: Oxford University Press. | 3% |
|----|---|--|--|---|--|-----|
| 8 | Midtest Terms | Midtest terms | Criteria: 1.Level 1:50 - 59% 2.Level 2:60 - 69% 3.Level 3:70 - 79% 4.Level 4:80 - 100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests | Formative / Take-home 3 X 50 | Material: All materials discussed in previous meetings Reference: Cruse, D. Alan. 2000. Meaning in Language: An Introduction to Semantics and Pragmatics. NY: Oxford University Press. | 20% |
| 9 | To apply Deixis and Distance in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings | To use information of Deixis and Distance in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings in another familiar situation | Criteria: 1.Level 1:50 - 59% 2.Level 2:60 - 69% 3.Level 3:70 - 79% 4.Level 4:80 - 100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | Small group discussion 3 X 50 | Material: The way language uses words to point to specific people, objects, times or places References: Crystal, D. 1995. The Cambridge Encyclopedia of English Language. New York: Cambridge :University Press. | 3% |
| 10 | To apply Reference and Inference in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings | To use information of Reference and Inference in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings in another familiar situation | Criteria: 1.Level 1: 50-59% 2.Level 2: 60-69% 3.Level 3: 70-79% 4.Level 4: 80-100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | Small group discussion 3 X 50 | Material: How reference and inference play a crucial role in effective communication and interpretation of language Reference: Griffiths, Patrick. 2006. An Introduction to English Semantics and Pragmatics. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press. | 3% |

| 11 | To apply Presupposition and Entailment in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings | To use information of Presupposition and Entailment in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings in another familiar situation | Criteria: 1.Level 1:50 - 59% 2.Level 2:60 - 69% 3.Level 3:70 - 79% 4.Level 4:80 - 100% Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance | Small group discussion 3 X 50 | Material: Presupposition and Entailmen in a language References: Van Geenhoven, Veerle. 2006. Semantics in Acquisition. Netherlands: Springer. | |
|----|---|--|---|--|---|----|
| 12 | To apply Cooperation and Implicature in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings | To implement Cooperation and Implicature in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings in another familiar situation | Criteria: 1.Level 1:50 - 59% 2.Level 2:60 - 69% 3.Level 3:70 - 79% 4.Level 4:80 - 100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | Small group discussion 3 X 50 | Material: Cooperation and Implicature in a language References: Van Geenhoven, Veerle. 2006. Semantics in Acquisition. Netherlands: Springer. | 5% |
| 13 | To apply Speech Acts and Events in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings | To implement Speech Acts and Events in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings in another familiar situation | Criteria: 1.Level 1:50 - 59% 2.Level 2:60 - 69% 3.Level 3:70 - 79% 4.Level 4:80 - 100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | Explaining the problem-based activities: 1. Giving the written text taken from authentic sources from the internet (social media) to the students. The text contains English sentences that may have the internet utterances. 2. Identifying the maxim of conversation in the social media on the internet. 3 X 50 | Material: Speech Acts and Events in a language Reference: Cutting, Joan. 2002. Pragmatics and Discourse A resource book for students. New York: Routledge. | 5% |
| 14 | To apply Politeness and Interaction in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings | To implement Politeness and Interaction in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings in another familiar situation | Criteria: 1.Level 1:50 - 59% 2.Level 2:60 - 69% 3.Level 3:70 - 79% 4.Level 4:80 - 100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | Small group discussion 3 X 50 | Material: Politeness and Interaction in a language References: Van Geenhoven, Veerle. 2006. Semantics in Acquisition. Netherlands: Springer. | |
| 15 | To apply Conversation and Preference Structure in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings | To implement Conversation and Preference Structure in a language which the speaker uses to express their intended meanings in another familiar situation | Criteria: 1.Level 1:50 - 59% 2.Level 2:60 - 69% 3.Level 3:70 - 79% 4.Level 4:80 - 100% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | Small-Group Discussion 3 X 50 | Material: Conversation and Preference Structure Literature: | 5% |
| 16 | Final Examination | 1.Level 1 : 50 - 59% 2.Level 2 : 60 - 69% 3.Level 3 : 70 - 79% 4.Level 4 : 80 - 100% | Criteria: Final Examination Form of Assessment : Test | Written test 3 x 50 | Material: All materials discussed in the classroom Reader: Cutting, Joan. 2002. Pragmatics and Discourse A resource book for students. New York: Routledge. | |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

| = tandada circ crossing crossip: case cr | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No | Evaluation | Percentage | | | | | |
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 39.5% | | | | | |
| 2. | Practice / Performance | 20.5% | | | | | |
| 3. | Test | 40% | | | | | |
| | | 100% | | | | | |

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.