UNESA
-------

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts English Language Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Cou	rses	CODE	CODE Course			Family					Credit Weight				SEMES		Compilation Date	
Pape	er Writing	882030215	302150								T=2	2 P=0	ECTS	S=3.18	5		July 18, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develop	SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator							Study Program Coordinator		
															Dr. Him'mawan Adi Nugroho, S.Pd., M.Pd.			
Lear	ning model	Case Studies							1									
	gram Learning	PLO study prog	ogram that is charged to the course															
Outo	comes (PLO)	Program Object	ctives (PO)															
		PLO-PO Matrix																
			P.O															
		PO Matrix at th	t the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)															
			P.O							Week								
			1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1:	L	12	13	14	15	16
	rt Course cription	writing scientific papers), writing q the steps of how	usses the basic con papers and having quotations, paraphra to write it, start fror writing conclusion, r	rich content. ise, and summ n writing a title	The lectu ary, and , develop	ure is in also writ ping an i	itiated ting refe	with exp rences. tion, ma	planatio The fol aking ar	ns on k lowing le 1 outline	tinds an ectures for pap	nd org are th per bo	anizati Ien mo dy, dev	on of p re focu /elopin	sed on g paper	(ie conc writing c body, d	eptual oncept evelop	and research ual paper and ing result and
Refe	erences	Main :																
		<ol> <li>Coe, Nor</li> <li>Kiszner,</li> </ol>	S. 2011. Academic writing: A handbook for international students (Third ed.). Oxon: Routledge. orman., et.al. 1986. Writing Skills . London: Cambridge University Press. , Laurie G. dan Stephen R. Mandell. 1983. Patterns for College Writing: A Rhetorical Reader and Guide . New York: St. Martin 19s. , Alice and Hogue, Ann. 1999. Writing Academic English. New York: Longman															
		Supporters:																
Kusumara: Rahayu Ku Nur Chakir			lani, S.Pd., M.AppL.															
Week	Final abilities of ea • stage (Sub-PO)	Eva	Evaluation			Help Learning, Learning methods Student Assignmer [Estimated time				ethods	s, nts,				Learn mater [ Refere	ials	Assessment Weight (%)	
				Criteria &	Form				line ( offline )				Online ( online )			1		
(1)	(2)		(3)	(4)		(5)		5)		(6)			(7)	)	(8)			
1	To know the kinds a organization of pape Paper Research Pa	er: Conceptual	1. To mention the kinds of papers. 2. To identify the organization of Conceptual Paper. 3. To identify the organization of Research Paper.	Criteria: Students 11 Participatio (including ti students 12 attendance participation 20%Individi Assignmen 30%Paper Consultatio 20%Take-h Final Test ( Paper) 192	n and n) !92 ual ts !92 n !92 ome Full	Discus 2 X 50		cturing	Questio	n-Answe	er							0%
			organization of Conceptual Paper. 3.To identify the organization of Research	participation 20%Individe Assignmen 30%Paper Consultatio 20%Take-h Final Test (	n) !92 ual ts !92 n !92 ome Full													

2	To be able to write:QuotationsParaphraseSummary	<ol> <li>To identify direct and indirect quotations</li> <li>To identify paraphrase</li> <li>To identify summaries</li> <li>To identify summaries</li> <li>To write paraphrases from summary.</li> <li>To write Direct Quotations</li> <li>To write Indirect Quotations</li> <li>To write paraphrase from the given paragraphs.</li> <li>To write a summary from the given</li> </ol>	Criteria: The students will get an excellent score (10%) if their paraphrases and summaries meet the rules of writing Paraphrase and Summary	DemonstrationDiscussionLecturingQuestion- Answer Exercises 2 X 50		0%
3	write:QuotationsParaphraseSummary	<ol> <li>To identify direct and indirect quotations</li> <li>To identify paraphrase</li> <li>To identify summaries</li> <li>To differentiate paraphrases from summary.</li> <li>To write Direct Quotations</li> <li>To write Indirect Quotations</li> <li>To write paraphrase from the given paragraphs.</li> <li>To write a summary from the given paragraphs.</li> </ol>	Criteria: The students will get an excellent score (10%) if their paraphrases and summaries meet the rules of writing Paraphrase and Summary	DemonstrationDiscussionLecturingQuestion- Answer Exercises 2 X 50		0%
4	To be able to write References	<ol> <li>To identify references taken from various sources.</li> <li>To differentiate references taken from various sources.</li> <li>To differentiate references from bibliography.</li> <li>To write references based on the given sources.</li> </ol>	Criteria: The students will get an excellent score (5%) if their references meet the rules of writing References	DemonstrationDiscussionLecturingQuestion- Answer Exercises 2 X 50		0%
5						0%
6 7						0%
8						0%
9						0%
10						0%
11					 	0%
12						0%
13						0%
14						0%

15				0%
16				0%

 
 Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

 No
 Evaluation

 Percentage
 0%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the
- internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process. 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a
- course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge. 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community
- Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, 9. Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods. 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.