UNESA

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts English Language Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

UNESA		_						-		
SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN										
Courses		(	CODE	Course Family		Credit Weight			SEMESTER	Compilation Date
PLP 1		8	8820301280			T=1	P=0	ECTS=1.59	4	July 18, 2024
AUTHORIZATION		Ş	SP Developer		Course Cluster Coordinator		Study Program Coordinator			
								Dr. Him'mawan Adi Nugroho, S.Pd., M.Pd.		
Learning model	Project Based Learning									
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course									
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)									
(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix									
	P.O									
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)									
		P.0	1 2 3 4	5 6	7 8	Wee	k 10	11 12	13 14	15 16
Short Course Description	· This course provides an understanding of the concept of general characteristics of students who will later become responsibilities in educational practice, organizational structure and school work procedures, school rules and regulations, ceremonial-formal activities at school, routine activities in the form of curricular , co-curricular and extra-curricular, and positive practices and habits in schools.									
References	Main :									
	<ol> <li>Arend, R.I., 2012. Learning to Teach. New York: Mc Grow-Hill International Edition.</li> <li>Hyland, Ken., &amp; Wong, Lilian L. C. 2016. Innovation and Cange in English Language Education. London: Ruthledge.</li> <li>Muliawan, Jasa Ungguh. 2017. 45 Model Pembelajaran Spektakuler. Jogjakarta: AR-Ruzz Media.</li> <li>Mulyasa, E., 2004. Manajemen Berbasis Sekolah: Konsep, Strategi, dan Implementasi. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.</li> <li>Sani, Ridwan Abdullah. 2016. Inovasi Pembelajaran. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.</li> <li>Taniredja, Tukiran dkk. 2015. Model-Model Pembelajaran Inovatif dan Efektif. Bandung: Alfabeta.</li> <li>Wena, Made. 2016. Strategi Pembelajaran Inovatif Kontemporer: Suatu Tinjauan Konseptual Operasional. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.</li> </ol>									
	очрропеть.									
Supporting lecturer	Wiwiet Eva Savitri, S Ririn Pusparini, S.Po. Nur Chakim, S.Pd., I Esti Kurniasih, S.Pd. Arik Susanti, S.Pd.	d., M. M.Pd ., M.F M.Pc	.Pd. l. Pd. l.							

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evalı	uation	Lear Studer	lp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)
(Sub-PO)		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	J	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Identifying the general characteristics of students who will later become responsibilities in educational practice	Able to explain the general characteristics of students who will later become responsibilities in educational practice		Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 1 X 50			0%
2	Identify the organizational structure and work procedures of the school	Explain the organizational structure and work procedures of the school		Explanations, questions and answers, discussions and assignments 1 X 50			0%
3	Identify school rules and regulations	Explain school rules and regulations		1 X 50			0%
4	Identify ceremonial- formal activities at school	Explain the ceremonial-formal activities at school		1 X 50			0%
5	Identify routine activities in the form of curricular, co-curricular and extra-curricular activities	Explain routine activities in the form of curricular, co- curricular and extra- curricular activities		1 X 50			0%
6	Identifying refractive practices and positive habits in schools	Explaining refractive practices and positive habits in schools		1 X 50			0%
7	Identifying refractive practices and positive habits in schools	Explaining refractive practices and positive habits in schools		1 X 50			0%
8	Identifying refractive practices and positive habits in schools	Explaining refractive practices and positive habits in schools		1 X 50			0%
9							0%
10							0%
11							0%
12							0%
13							0%
14							0%
15							0%
16							0%

## Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
  skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.