



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Electrical Engineering Undergraduate Study Program

4EOTED		IO DI	A B I
MESTER	' I I I A	ici Di	$\Delta$ N
VILO I LIX			$ \sim$ 1 $^{\rm M}$

Courses			CODE			Co	urse	D					Cor Dat	npilation e				
Artificial Inte Systems	lligence in Power		2020102056				mpul: bjects		Study I	y Program								
AUTHORIZA	TION		SP Develop	er						Cou	ırse C	luster	Coor	dinator		Study Program Coordinator		
			Endryansyal	n, S.T	., M.T.					Prof	. Dr. I	Gusti	Putu A	asto B., M.T.	Dr.		khmaw M.T.	vati, S.T.,
Learning model	Project Based L	.earn	ning							1								
Program	PLO study pro	grar	n that is char	ged to	o the o	cour	se											
Learning Outcomes	Program Object	_																
(PLO)	PO - 1	Abl	e to apply basic	know	vledge	of inc	dustria	al rob	ots to	gain a	thoro	ugh ur	dersta	anding of en	gineerir	ng princip	oles.	
	PO - 2	Abl	e to communica	ate eff	ectivel	y botł	h verb	ally a	ınd in	vriting	g regai	ding th	ne topi	ic of Artificia	l Intellig	ence in	Power	Systems
	PO - 3		e to apply basio	meth	ods ar	nd ski	ills of	Artific	ial Inte	elligen	ice in I	Power	Syste	ms that are	needed	to solve	proble	ems in the
	PO - 4	Abl	e to work in cro	ss-dis	ciplina	ry an	d cult	ural a	rts tea	ms								
	PO - 5		e to understand rent issues	the r	need fo	r lifel	long l	earnir	ng in tl	ne fiel	d of A	rtificial	Intelli	gence in Po	wer Sy	stems re	lated to	o relevant
	PLO-PO Matrix	(																
		_																
			P.O															
			PO-1															
			PO-2															
			PO-3															
			PO-4															
		F	PO-5															
	PO Matrix at th	ne er	nd of each lea	rning	stage	e (Su	ıb-PC	0)										
		lΓ	P.O									Week						
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11 12	13	14	15	16
		-	PO-1															
		<b> </b>	PO-2															
		F	PO-3															
		-	PO-4															
		-	PO-5															
		L	PU-5															
Short Course Description	The artificial inte artificial intelliger theories and app	nce a	and several prob	lem s	olving	will p techr	rovide	e the s in ar	basic tificial	s of a	artificia gence	l intell knowi	igence n as se	e which foc oft computin	uses or g. Apar	n severa t from tha	l applicat, stud	cations of dy several
References	Main :																	
			2014. Artificia tuart. 2011 .		_									_				

1. Pitowarno, Endra. 2016. Robotika; Desain, Kontrol, dan Kecerdasan Buatan Edisi 1. Yogyakarta: Andi.

Supporting lecturer Endryansyah, S.T., M.T. Unit Three Kartini, S.T., M.T., Ph.D.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Ev	aluation	Learning Student A	earning, I methods, ssignments, ated time]	Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	J	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Know and be able to explain and understand the basic concepts of artificial intelligence	Accuracy in explaining the definition of the concept of artificial intelligence	Criteria:  1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6. Student Final Grade:  7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (3) divided by 10.	Presentations and assignments 2 X 50		Material: Meeting material 1 Reference: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics  Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence : a modern approach, Pearson	5%

2	Able to explain the artificial intelligence problem space along with the conditions that follow and provide an analytical picture of the production rules of each problem space	Accuracy in explaining the conditions and analysis of the description of the production rules for each problem area	Criteria:  1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6. Student Final Grade:  7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment	Presentations and assignments 2 X 50	Material: Meeting material 2 References: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics  Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence: a modern approach, Pearson	0%
3	Able to explain and understand the concept of search methods in artificial intelligence	The concept of search methods in artificial intelligence	Criteria:  1. The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6. Student Final Grade:  7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (3) divided by 10.	Presentations and assignments 2 X 50	Material: Meeting material 3 References: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics  Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence : a modern approach, Pearson	5%

4	Able to explain and solve artificial intelligence problems using blind search methods and solve artificial intelligence problems using heuristic search methods	Explain the ANN method and its application to electric power systems	Criteria:  1. The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2. 1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3. 2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4. 3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5. 4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6. Student Final Grade:  7. Participation	Presentation, Power Point, Assignment 2 X 50	Material: Meeting material 4 References: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics  Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence : a modern approach, Pearson	0%
5	Able to explain reasoning techniques grammatically in propositional logic, semantically in propositional logic, inference rules	a. Propositional Logic analysis and evaluation b. Inference System	Criteria:  1. The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2. 1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3. 2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4. 3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5. 4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6. Student Final Grade:  7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (3) divided by 10.  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, Power Point, Assignment 2 X 50	Material: Meeting material 5 References: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics  Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence : a modern approach, Pearson	0%

6	Able to explain and understand reasoning techniques using first order logic	1. Definition of the concept of First Order Logic	Criteria:  1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6.Student Final Grade:  7.Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) in UTS Score (3) divided by 10.	Presentation, Power Point, Assignment 2 X 50	Material: Meeting material 6 References: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics  Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence: a modern approach, Pearson	5%
7	Able to understand and explain fuzzy logic analysis, engineering processes for knowledge using fuzzy logic, fuzzines, fuzzy sets, and defuzzification, decision tree learning	1. Fuzzy Logic	Criteria:  1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6. Student Final Grade:  7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (3) divided by 10.	Lectures, discussions, working on case studies Informed search. Doing 2 X 50 assignments	Material: Meeting material 7 Literature: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics  Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence : a modern approach, Pearson	5%

8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: 1. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)	UTS 2 X 50	Material: Meeting material 1-7 Reader: Pitowarno, Endra. 2016. Robotics; Design, Control and Artificial Intelligence Edition 1. Yogyakarta: Andi.	10%
9	Able to explain the basic concepts of Artificial Neural Networks (NN)	Artificial Neural Networks	Criteria:  1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures/discussions 2 X 50	Material: Meeting material 9 Literature: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics  Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence : a modern approach, Pearson	0%

10	Able to explain and	Feed forward	Criteria:	Lectures,	Material:	10%
	understand Problem Analysis using the Artificial Neural Network (NN) model	propagation Back propagation calculates weight and bias values	1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (3) divided by 10.  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product	discussions, working on case studies, Informed search, practicum. Doing the big task of programming 2 X 50	Meeting material 10 Literature: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence : a modern approach, Pearson	
			Assessment			
11	Able to explain and understand problem analysis using supervised learning and unsupervised learning processes	Feed forward propagation Back propagation calculates weight and bias values	Criteria:  1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6.Student Final Grade:  7.Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.  Form of Assessment:  Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, working on case studies Informed search, Doing assignments 2 X 50	Material: Meeting material 12 Reader: Pitowarno, Endra. 2016. Robotics; Design, Control and Artificial Intelligence Edition 1. Yogyakarta: Andi.	5%

C   C   C   C   C   C   C   C   C   C	able to explain and carry out parameter analysis and applications of artificial neural networks in the field of electrical engineering for electric power systems	Parameter analysis and application of artificial neural networks in the field of electrical engineering for electric power systems	Criteria:  1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6. Student Final Grade:  7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS	Lectures/discussions 2 X 50	Material: Meeting material 12 Literature: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics  Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence: a modern approach, Pearson	0%
			Score (3) divided by 10.  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			
6	Understand the definition and application of the Genetic Algorithm model	Definition of genetic algorithm Example of application of genetic algorithm calculations	Criteria:  1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6. Student Final Grade:  7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (3) divided by 10.	Lectures, discussions, working on case studies Informed search, Assignment 2 X 50	Material: Meeting material 13 Reader: Pitowarno, Endra. 2016. Robotics; Design, Control and Artificial Intelligence Edition 1. Yogyakarta: Andi.	5%

14	Able to explain analyzing and evaluating functional approach models, computational and interdisciplinary models in cognitive science	functional approach models, computational and interdisciplinary models in cognitive science	Criteria:  1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6. Student Final Grade:  7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (3) divided by 10.	Lectures, discussions, working on case studies Informed search. Doing 2 X 50 assignments	Material: Meeting material 14 References: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics  Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence : a modern approach, Pearson	5%
15	Able to explain and implement artificial intelligence as a whole in the form of intelligent system applications	Implementation of artificial intelligence as a whole in the form of intelligent system applications	Criteria:  1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects:  2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2)  3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2)  4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3)  5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3)  6. Student Final Grade:  7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (3) divided by 10  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, working on case studies Informed search. Doing 2 X 50 artificial intelligence tasks	Material: Meeting material 15 References: Suyanto. 2014. Artificial Intelligence second revision . Bandung informatics  Russell, Stuart. 2011 . Artificial Intelligence : a modern approach, Pearson	5%

16	UAS	UAS	Ouit-ui-	114.6	Makadal	100/
10	UAS	UAS	Criteria: 1.The assessment	UAS 2 X 50	Material: Meeting	10%
			criteria are	2 / 30	material 1-15	
			carried out by		References:	
			looking at		Suyanto.	
			aspects:		2014.	
			2.1. Participation:		Artificial	
			carried out by			
			observing		Intelligence	
			student activities		second	
			(weight 2)		revision .	
			3.2. UTS: carried		Bandung	
			out with an		informatics	
			assessment			
			during the middle		Russell,	
			of the semester		Stuart.	
			(weight 2)			
			4.3. UAS: carried		2011.	
			out every		Artificial	
			semester to		Intelligence	
			measure all		: a modern	
			indicators (weight 3)		approach,	
			5.4. Task: carried		Pearson	
			out on each			
			indicator (weight			
			3)			
			6.Student Final			
			Grade:			
			7.Participation			
			Score (2) x			
			Assignment			
			Score (3) x UTS			
			Score (2) x UAS			
			Score (3) divided			
			by 10			
			Form of Assessment			
			: Participatory Activities			
			i artioipatory Activities			

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	45%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	15%
		60%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study
  program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

  3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
  predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
  Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- ${\bf 12.\ TM\text{--}Face\ to\ face,\ PT\text{--}Structured\ assignments,\ BM\text{--}Independent\ study.}$