

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Electrical Engineering Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

				SEM	ESTER	LEA	RNIN	IG F	PLA	N			
Courses				CODE		Course F	Family		Cred	lit We	ight	SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Optimum System F		eration & Power bility*		202010235	7				T=0	P=0	ECTS=0	6	July 18, 2024
AUTHOR	IZAT	ION		SP Develop	per			Course				Study Progra Coordinator	am
													Rakhmawati, , M.T.
Learning model	1	Case Studies											
Program		PLO study pro	gram	that is cha	rged to the o	course							
Outcom		Program Object	ctives	(PO)									
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix	(
				P.O									
		PO Matrix at th	ne end	l of each le	arning stage	(Sub-PC))						
Short Course Descript	tion	Interconnected e system reliability generator constra	electric calcul	power systating LOLP	(Loss of Load	Probability	power		10	duling	maintena	nce and syste	
Referen	ces	Main :											
		Engine Djiteng Yogyal Leonar	ering Ma karta 'd L.	Handbool rsudi. 200 Grigsby.	k Ed. L.L. G 06. Operas	Grigsby. (Si Sister er Syste	CRC Pi n Ten ems, E	ress L aga L	LC. Listrik	c. Ce	etakan	Pertama. G	ctric Power Graha Ilmu. ook Second
		Supporters:											
Support lecturer	ing	Unit Three Kartin	ii, S.T.	, M.T., Ph.D.									
Week-	eac	al abilities of h learning ge b-PO)	In	Ev	aluation Criteria &	Form	Offli	Learni Student [Esti	Assignate	ethod gnme d time	nts,	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
(1)		(2)	- 11	(2)	(A)		offli	ne)	011) silli		(7)	(9)
(1)		121		121	//\		/6	. 1		16		171	701

			I	ı	Г	ı	
1	Able to understand the process of delivering electric power	Explaining the electric power system Explaining the interconnection system and operation management of the electric power system	Criteria: 1. The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (3) divided by 10.	Presentation, group discussion and reflection 2 X 50			0%
2	Able to understand the process of delivering electric power	Explaining the electric power system Explaining the interconnection system and operation management of the electric power system	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (3) divided by 10.	Presentation, group discussion and reflection 2 X 50			0%

3	Students are able	- Calculate and	Criteria:	Presentation,		0%
	to plan scheduling and constraints of the electric power system. Students understand scheduling and loading methods	analyze system fuel costs Explain loading and methods for calculating LOLP - Explain operation planning and system load analysis - Calculate possible losses LOLP Load Calculate the effect of adding and removing generating units on system reliability	1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6.Student Final Grade: 7.Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.	discussion and practice 2 X 50		
4	Students are able to plan scheduling and constraints of the electric power system. Students understand scheduling and loading methods	- Calculate and analyze system fuel costs Explain loading and methods for calculating LOLP - Explain operation planning and system load analysis - Calculate possible losses LOLP Load Calculate the effect of adding and removing generating units on system reliability	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.	Presentation, discussion and practice 2 X 50		0%

5	Students are able to plan scheduling and constraints of the electric power	- Calculate and analyze system fuel costs Explain	Criteria: 1.The assessment criteria are	Presentation, discussion and practice		0%
	the electric power system. Students understand scheduling and loading methods	costs Explain loading and methods for calculating LOLP - Explain operation planning and system load analysis - Calculate possible losses LOLP Load Calculate the effect of adding and removing generating units on system reliability	criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6.Student Final Grade: 7.Participation Score (2) x Assignment	and practice 2 X 50		
			Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.			
6	Students are able to understand and analyze the characteristics of hydro thermal plants	- Explain the characteristics of hydro thermal generators - Economic loading of hydro thermal generator units	Criteria: 1. The assessment criteria are carried out by looking at aspects: 2.1. Participation: carried out by observing student activities (weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried out with an assessment during the middle of the semester (weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to measure all indicators (weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried out on each indicator (weight 3) 6. Student Final Grade: 7. Participation Score (2) x Assignment Score (3) x UTS Score (3) divided by 10.	Discussion, exercises and assignments 2 x 50		0%

7	Students are able to understand and	- Explain the characteristics	Criteria: 1.The assessment	Discussion, exercises		0%
	analyze the characteristics of hydro thermal plants	of hydro thermal generators - Economic	criteria are carried out by looking at	and assignments 2 X 50		
	•	loading of hydro thermal generator units	aspects: 2.1. Participation:			
			carried out by observing student activities			
			(weight 2) 3.2. UTS: carried			
			out with an assessment			
			during the middle of the semester			
			(weight 2) 4.3. UAS: carried			
			out every semester to			
			measure all indicators (weight 3)			
			5.4. Task: carried out on each			
			indicator (weight 3)			
			6.Student Final Grade:			
			7.Participation Score (2) x Assignment			
			Score (3) x UTS Score (2) x UAS			
			Score (3) divided by 10.			
8	Midterm exam		Criteria: 1.The assessment	2 X 50		0%
			criteria are carried out by	2 X 30		
			looking at aspects:			
			2.1. Participation: carried out by			
			observing student activities (weight 2)			
			3.2. UTS: carried out with an			
			assessment during the middle			
			of the semester (weight 2)			
			4.3. UAS: carried out every semester to			
			measure all indicators			
			(weight 3) 5.4. Task: carried			
			out on each indicator (weight			
			3) 6.Student Final Grade:			
			7.Participation Score (2) x			
			Assignment Score (3) x UTS			
			Score (2) x UAS Score (3) divided by 10.			
9						0%
10						0%
11						0%
12						0%

13				0%
14				0%
15				0%
16				0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
 skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or
 observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the
 course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.