

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Electrical Engineering Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

ses			CODE Course Family				Cred	lit Wei	ght	SEMESTER	Compilation Date	
DRITHM & COMPUTER GRAMMING			2020102263				T=0	P=0	ECTS=0	2	April 28, 2023	
ORIZAT	ION		SP Developer			Course Coordin		ter		Study Progra	m Coordinator	
		Pradini Puspitaningayu, Ph.D.							Dr. Lusia Rakhmawati, S.T., M.T.			
ng	Project Based L	earnin.	ıg									
am ing	PLO study program which is charged to the course											
mes	Program Objectives (PO)											
	PO - 1	Demonstrate the ability to relate digital technology concepts to computer programming										
	PO - 2	Demonstrate the ability to analyze an algorithm										
	PO - 3	Demonstrate the ability to design an algorithm										
	PO - 4	Demonstrate the ability to analyze the structure contained in a program										
	PO - 5	Demonstrate the ability to evaluate the use of variables, data types, constants, and operators in a program										
	PO - 6	Demonstrate the ability to analyze how branching and looping statements work in a program										
	PO - 7	Demonstrate the ability to analyze the use of functions in a program										
	PO - 8	Demonstrate the ability to analyze the use of array elements in a program										
	PO - 9	Demonstrate ability to apply algorithms and programming languages to case examples										
	PLO-PO Matrix		P.0 P0-1 P0-2 P0-3									
			PO-4 PO-5									
			PO-6									
			PO-6 PO-7									
			-									
			PO-8									
			PO-9									
	PO Matrix at th	ne end	of each learni	ng stage (S	Sub-PO)							

1																			
			P.O Week																
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
			PO-1																
			PO-2																
			PO-3																
			PO-4																
			PO-5																
			PO-6																
			PO-7																
			PO-8																
			PO-9																
Short Course Descrip Referen		types, algorithm	usses the introduc notation, control, re s related to logic or	petitic	on, fur	nction	s, seq	uenti	al pro	cessi	ng, as	well	as sim	ple pro	blem p	oractice	e questi		
		2. 2. Kuliko	, Paul, and Deitel, I v, Alexander S., an ca: Active Learning	d Pev	zner,	P. 20													
		Supporters:																	
Support lecturer			, M.Sc. ngayu, S.T., M.T., ⁄idayaka, S.ST., M																
Week-		al abilities of h learning ge	I	Evaluation				Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]					Learning materials [References		Assessmen Weight (%)				
	(Su	Ď-PO)	Indicator		Crit	eria 8	& Fori	n		Offlin offlin		•	Online (online)		ne)	1			
(1)		(2)	(3)			(4))			(5))			(6)			(7)		(8)
1	to rel be teo	udents are able explain the lationship tween digital chnology and mputer ogramming	1.Accuracy in explaining computer technology 2.Accuracy in explaining th differences between compilers an interpreters 3.Accuracy in	e Fc	given score answe orm o	neasi a max of 25, ered ce f Ass	ureme kimum if orrecti essm Activit	ly ent :	lect dise	esenta tures cussic 50		leo dis	esenta ctures scussio X 50	and		langua Interpi and co	y of iters, ers, amming ages, reters ompilers ences: tel,		5%

2	Students are able to explain the basic concepts of logic and algorithms, basic structures, characteristics of algorithms, and properties of algorithms	 accuracy in explaining basic logic and algorithms accuracy in explaining the characteristics of the algorithm accuracy in explaining the nature of the algorithm 	Criteria: The maximum score per item is 25 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Logic concepts, algorithm definitions, algorithm concepts, algorithm structures, properties and characteristics of algorithms References: 2. Kulikov, Alexander S., and Pevzner, P. 2018. Learning Algorithms Through Programming and Puzzle Solving. United States of America: Active Learning Technologies.	5%
3	Students are able to write notation for writing descriptive sentence algorithms, pseudocode, and flowcharts	 Accuracy in writing descriptive algorithm notation Accuracy in writing pseudocode algorithm notation Accuracy in writing flowchart algorithm notation 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 25 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Descriptive algorithm notation, pseudocode, flowcharts References: 2. Kulikov, Alexander S., and Pevzner, P. 2018. Learning Algorithms Through Programming and Puzzle Solving. United States of America: Active Learning Technologies.	0%
4	Students are able to evaluate several examples of algorithms in everyday life/case studies	 Accuracy in explaining several simple algorithms based on cases Accuracy in evaluating several algorithms based on the algorithm writing structure Accuracy in implementing algorithms in solving certain problems 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 25 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Case study of simple algorithms References: 2. Kulikov, Alexander S., and Pevzner, P. 2018. Learning Algorithms Through Programming and Puzzle Solving. United States of America: Active Learning Technologies.	0%
5	Students are able to show the parts or structure contained in a program	 Accuracy in explaining parts or structure of the program Accuracy in explaining the function of each program structure 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Sequential structure, repetition structure, and branching structure References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	5%

6	Students are able to explain functions, variables, data types, constants and operators used in a program	 Accuracy in explaining the use of functions in a program Accuracy in explaining the purpose of variable declarations Accuracy in explaining various data types and their use in declaring variables Accuracy in explaining the use of operators used in a program 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Program functions, variable declarations, data types, and operators References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	5%
7	Students are able to demonstrate basic input output operations in a simple program	Accuracy in applying programming skills in specific case studies	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Input and Output References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	5%
8	MIDTERM EXAM	Accuracy in completing the questions provided in the time provided	Criteria: Each question item has an assessment weight adjusted to the student's ability to answer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	MID SEMESTER EXAMINATION 2 X 50	MID SEMESTER EXAMINATION 2 X 50		20%
9	Students are able to explain the concept of branching and looping in a program	 Accuracy in explaining the concept of repetition Accuracy in explaining how the for loop works Accuracy in explaining how the while loop works Accuracy in explaining how the do- while loop works 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: The concept of repetition, repetition using for, while, and do- while statements. References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	0%

10	Students are able to analyze the use of functions in a program	 Accuracy in explaining the concept of using functions in a program Accuracy in applying the use of functions in a program Accuracy in explaining the concept of using functions with input, output and input- output parameters 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Function concepts, functions with return values, functions without return values, and functions with parameters. References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	5%
11	Students are able to explain the basic concepts of array elements	 Accuracy in explaining the concept of arrays Accuracy in applying array data structures in a program 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Practice/Performance, Test	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Array concept References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	5%
12	Students are able to analyze the concept of object oriented programming (OOP)	 Accuracy in explaining OOP-based programming concepts Accuracy in applying OOP concepts to programming 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Practice/Performance, Test	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: OOP-based programming concepts References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	5%
13	Case Study 1: Calculating the Area and Circumference of a Circle	 Accuracy in compiling algorithms in solving a problem Accuracy in compiling a program to solve a problem Accuracy in explaining the function of the program and the structure contained in it 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Practice/Performance, Test	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Case study References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	5%
14	Case Study 2: Temperature Conversion	 Accuracy in compiling algorithms in solving a problem Accuracy in compiling a program to solve a problem Accuracy in explaining the function of the program and the structure contained in it 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Case study References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	5%

15	Case Study 2: Temperature Conversion	 Accuracy in compiling algorithms in solving a problem Accuracy in compiling a program to solve a problem Accuracy in explaining the function of the program and the structure contained in it 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Case study References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	5%
16	FINAL EXAMS		Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	FINAL EXAMINATION OF SEMESTER 2 X 50		Material: Final Semester Exam Literature: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	17.5%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	50%
3.	Practice / Performance	17.5%
4.	Test	10%
		95%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods. 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.