

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Electrical Engineering Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

									1			
Courses	urses		CODE Course Family		Credit Weight				SEMESTER	Compilation Date		
Basic Algorit Practicum I	asic Algorithm and Programming acticum I		2020101396			Т=0	P=1	ECTS=1.59	2	July 18, 2024		
AUTHORIZAT	JTHORIZATION		SP Developer		Course	Clus	ter Co	ordinator	Study Program	m Coordinator		
										hmawati, S.T., T.		
Learning model	Project Based L	earnin	g									
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course											
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)											
(PLO)	PO - 1	Demo	nstrate the ability to relate di	gital technology conc	epts to co	omput	er pro	gramming				
	PO - 2	Demo	nstrate the ability to analyze	an algorithm								
	PO - 3	Demo	nstrate the ability to design a	n algorithm								
	PO - 4	Demo	nstrate the ability to analyze	the structure containe	ed in a pr	ogran	۱					
	PO - 5	Demo	nstrate the ability to evaluate	the use of variables,	data typ	es, co	nstan	ts, and operat	ors in a program	1		
	PO - 6	Demo	nstrate the ability to analyze	how branching and lo	oping sta	ateme	nts w	ork in a progra	am			
	PO - 7	Demo	nstrate the ability to analyze	the use of functions i	n a progr	am						
	PO - 8	Demo	nstrate the ability to analyze	the use of array elem	ents in a	progr	am					
	PO - 9	Demo	nstrate ability to apply algorit	hms and programmir	ig langua	iges to	case	e examples				
	PLO-PO Matrix											
			P.O									
			PO-1									
			PO-2									
			PO-3									
			PO-4									
			PO-5									
			PO-6									
			PO-7									
			PO-8									
			PO-9									
	PO Matrix at th	e end	of each learning stage (S	Sub-PO)								

				P.O									Wee	k						
				P.U	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	wee 9	к 10	11	12	13	14	15	16
			PO	0-1	-	-	5	-		5	•	5	5	10			10	-7	10	
			PO																	
			PO)-3																
			PO)-4																
			PO)-5																
			PO	0-6																
			PO)-7																
			PO	0-8																
			PO)-9																
l																				
Short Course Descript	tion	This course discr algorithm notatio problems related	n, contr	rol, repetition,	funct	ions,	sequ	ential	proce	essing	, as we	ell as	simpl	e prob	lem pra	actice c				
Referen	ces	Main :																		
		2. 2. Kuliko	v, Alexa	and Deitel, Ha ander S., and Learning Tec	Pevz	ner, I	P. 201													
		Supporters:																		
Support lecturer		Miftahur Rohmar Pradini Puspitani Parama Diptya W Sayyidul Aulia Al	ngayu, Vidayak	S.T., M.T., Pr a, S.ST., M.T.	n.D.															
Week-		al abilities of																		
		h learning de		Ev	aluat	tion						Learr tuden	ning n It Ass	rning, nethod ignme ed time	nts,		mat	arning terials erences		sessmen eight (%)
(1)			Ir	Ev	aluat		eria &	Form	n			Learn tuden [Es	ning n It Ass timate	nethod ignme ed time	nts,	e)	mat			sessmen eight (%)
1		ge	Ir		aluat		eria & (4)	. Forn	n		St Offline	Learn tuden [Es	ning n It Ass timate	nethod ignme ed time Online	nts, 9]	e)	mat [Refe	terials		

2	Students are able to explain the basic concepts of logic and algorithms, basic structures, characteristics of algorithms, and properties of algorithms	 accuracy in explaining basic logic and algorithms accuracy in explaining the characteristics of the algorithm accuracy in explaining the nature of the algorithm 	Criteria: The maximum score per item is 25 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Logic concepts, algorithm definitions, algorithm concepts, algorithm structures, properties and characteristics of algorithms References: 2. Kulikov, Alexander S., and Pevzner, P. 2018. Learning Algorithms Through Programming and Puzzle Solving. United States of America: Active Learning Technologies.	10%
3	Students are able to write notation for writing descriptive sentence algorithms, pseudocode, and flowcharts	 Accuracy in writing descriptive algorithm notation Accuracy in writing pseudocode algorithm notation Accuracy in writing flowchart algorithm notation 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 25 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Descriptive algorithm notation, pseudocode, flowcharts References: 2. Kulikov, Alexander S., and Pevzner, P. 2018. Learning Algorithms Through Programming and Puzzle Solving. United States of America: Active Learning Technologies.	0%
4	Students are able to evaluate several examples of algorithms in everyday life/case studies	 Accuracy in explaining several simple algorithms based on cases Accuracy in evaluating several algorithms based on the algorithm writing structure Accuracy in implementing algorithms in solving certain problems 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 25 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Case study of simple algorithms References: 2. Kulikov, Alexander S., and Pevzner, P. 2018. Learning Algorithms Through Programming and Puzzle Solving. United States of America: Active Learning Technologies.	0%
5	Students are able to show the parts or structure contained in a program	 Accuracy in explaining parts or structure of the program Accuracy in explaining the function of each program structure 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Sequential structure, repetition structure, and branching structure References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	10%

6	Students are able to explain functions, variables, data types, constants and operators used in a program	 Accuracy in explaining the use of functions in a program Accuracy in explaining the purpose of variable declarations Accuracy in explaining various data types and their use in declaring variables Accuracy in explaining the use of operators used in a program 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Program functions, variable declarations, data types, and operators References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	10%
7	Students are able to demonstrate basic input output operations in a simple program	Accuracy in applying programming skills in specific case studies	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Input and Output References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	10%
8	MIDTERM EXAM	Accuracy in completing the questions provided in the time provided	Criteria: Each question item has an assessment weight adjusted to the student's ability to answer Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Test	MID SEMESTER EXAMINATION 2 X 50	MID SEMESTER EXAMINATION 2 X 50		0%
9	Students are able to explain the concept of branching and looping in a program	 Accuracy in explaining the concept of repetition Accuracy in explaining how the for loop works Accuracy in explaining how the while loop works Accuracy in explaining how the do- while loop works 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: The concept of repetition, repetition using for, while, and do- while statements. References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	10%

10	Students are able to analyze the use of functions in a program	 Accuracy in explaining the concept of using functions in a program Accuracy in applying the use of functions in a program Accuracy in explaining the concept of using functions with input, output and input- output parameters 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Function concepts, functions with return values, functions without return values, and functions with parameters. References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	10%
11	Students are able to explain the basic concepts of array elements	 Accuracy in explaining the concept of arrays Accuracy in applying array data structures in a program 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance, Tests	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Array concept References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	10%
12	Students are able to analyze the concept of object oriented programming (OOP)	 Accuracy in explaining OOP-based programming concepts Accuracy in applying OOP concepts to programming 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance, Tests	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: OOP-based programming concepts References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	10%
13	Case Study 1: Calculating the Area and Circumference of a Circle	 Accuracy in compiling algorithms in solving a problem Accuracy in preparing a program to solve a problem Accuracy in explaining the function of the program and the structure contained in it 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance, Tests	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Case study References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	10%
14	Case Study 2: Temperature Conversion	 Accuracy in compiling algorithms in solving a problem Accuracy in preparing a program to solve a problem Accuracy in explaining the function of the program and the structure contained in it 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance, Tests	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Case study References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	10%

15	Case Study 2: Temperature Conversion	 Accuracy in compiling algorithms in solving a problem Accuracy in preparing a program to solve a problem Accuracy in explaining the function of the program and the structure contained in it 	Criteria: The maximum score for each item is 20 if answered correctly Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance, Tests	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Presentations, lectures and discussions 2 X 50	Material: Case study References: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	10%
16	FINAL EXAMS		Form of Assessment : Practice/Performance, Test	FINAL EXAMINATION OF SEMESTER 2 X 50		Material: Final Semester Exam Literature: 1. Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Paul, and Deitel, Harvey. 2012. C How to Program 7th Edition. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.	10%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	56.65%
2.	Practice / Performance	21.65%
3.	Test	41.65%
		100%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.