

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Electrical Engineering Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

| υU | ue | |
|----|----|--|
| | | |
| | | |

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

| | | | T | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| Courses | | | CODE | | | | Cou | rse F | amily | , | | Cre | dit We | eight | | SEM | ESTER | Cor Dat | npilati e | ion |
| Artificial Intel Analytics | ligence and Big | Data | 202010240 | 8 | | | Stud Cour | y Pro ses | gram | Elect | tive | T=2 | 2 P=0 | ECTS=3 | 3.18 | | 5 | July | 18, 20 | 024 |
| AUTHORIZAT | ION | | SP Develop | oer | | | | | | | Cours | e Clu | ster C | oordinato | or | Stud Coor | y Prog dinato | ram r | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Dr | . Lusia S.T | Rakhr | nawat | i, | | | |
| Learning model | Case Studies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Program | PLO study pro | gram | m that is charged to the course | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcomes | Program Obje | ctives | (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (PLO) | PO - 1 | Maste techno | er the theoret ology and be | ical c able t | oncer to forr | ots of nulate | archi e appr | tectu opria | re, inf te sol | rastru | ucture, s to da | proce ta pro | essing blems. | methods | and | data ı | manage | ement | , big c | lata |
| | PO - 2 | Maste able te | er the theoreti o formulate p | ical co robler | ncep n solv | ts of a /ing in | artifici ı big d | al inte ata a | elliger ccord | nce a ing to | lgorith the p | ms, m roblen | achine 1 doma | learning in with the | and i e bes | intellig st algo | ent cor rithm | nputin | g, and | l be |
| | PO - 3 | Able indep | to create co endently or in | omput grou | ationa ps wit | al mo th a fu | odels Ill sen | to s se of | uppor respc | t de nsibi | cision lity | makii | ng by | applying | artif | ficial i | ntellige | nce a | algorith | าms |
| | PO - 4 | Able t respo | ble to apply parameter tuning methods in order to produce the best quality output independently with a full sense of sponsibility | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-PO Matrix | ĸ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at t | ne end | of each lea | rning | g sta | ge (S | ub-P | 0) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | P.O | | | | | | 1 | | | Wee | k | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 1 | .2 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | |
| | | PC | D-1 | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PC | D-2 | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PC | D-3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PC |)-4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |] |
| Short Course Description | In this course, s teach about the machines and c application of an Perceptron (For study the creation produce proven | tudents e applic ompute tificial ir ward a on and innovat | focus on lea cation of arti rrs are able to ntelligence m nd Backprop development tive work usir | arning ificial o think ethods agatic t of ar ng the | to ap intelli c and s, sta on), L tificia case | ply th igence make rting f oss F I intel study | e con e mel decis rom k unctio ligenc / learr | cept hods sions nowle on & e-bas ing n | of acc , nan like h edge i Gradi sed al nodel. | quisiti nely umar repre ent [gorit] | on/reti technic ns whe sentati Descer nms in | rieval ques en acti ion, se nt, and solvir | of vario for ma ng. Apa earch n I Multil ng mul | bus kinds king mac art from th nethods, la _ayer For tidisciplina | of da chine: nat, th angu ward ary p | ata froi s/com his cou age co I Propa roblen | m vario puters urse tea omputir agation ns whic | us so smart aches 1g, Sir 1. Stuc h will | urces about igle-La lents a ultima | and that the also ately |
| References | Main : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Supporters: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Bernard 2. EMC E Willey, 2 | Marr, I ducatio 2015 | Data Strategy n Services, | /: How Data | / to P Scien | rofit fr ice ai | rom a nd Big | World g Dat | d of B ta Ana | ig Da alytic | ita, An s: Disc | alytics coveri | and Ang, An | rtificial Int alyzing, V | ellige /isua | ence, 2 lizing | 2018 and Pi | resent | ing Da | ata, |

| Support lecturer | ing | Dr. Raden Roro Dr. Lilik Anifah, S | Hapsari Peni Agustin S.T., M.T. | Tjahyaningtijas, S.Si., M.T | | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Week- | Fina eac stat | al abilities of h learning ne | Eva | aluation | Hel Learn Studen [Est | p Learning, ing methods, t Assignments, timated time] | Learning materials | Assessment Weight (%) |
| | (Su | b-PO) | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline(offline) | Online (online) | References] | |
| (1) | | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | Sti to Def Pr Pr Ccc Fr Da ba a an ma int | udents are able understand the finition, nergence enomenon, operties, implexity, and amework of Big tta as well as derstand the trivation or ckground of sic techniques d methods of achine elligence | Students are able to understand the Definition, Emergence Phenomenon, Properties, Complexity, and Framework of Big Data as well as understand the motivation or background of basic techniques and methods of machine intelligence | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together on US 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning | Presentation and Discussion 2x 50 minutes | | | 5% |
| 2 | Sti to R int Ma | udents are able understand the programming <i>riew</i> , roduction to apReduce tools | Students are able to understand the R programming review, introduction to MapReduce tools | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together on US 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning | Presentation and Discussion 2x 50 minutes | | | 5% |

| 3 | Students are able to understand the R programming review, introduction to MapReduce tools | Students are able to understand how to do Hadoop, Spark, data collection, Web scraping in R API, HTML and Selenium | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together on US 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning | Presentation and Discussion 2x 50 minutes | | 10% |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| 4 | Students are able to understand the R programming review, introduction to MapReduce tools | Students are able to do web scrapping | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together on US 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning | Presentation and Discussion 2x 50 minutes | | 10% |

| 5 | Students are able to understand how to pre-process data and visualize data | Students are able to understand how to pre-process data and visualize data | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together on US 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning | Presentation and Discussion 2x 50 minutes | | 30% |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|-----|
| 6 | Students are able to carry out Structured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, and Text Analysis | students are able to carry out Structured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, and Text Analysis | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together on US 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning | Presentation and Discussion 2x 50 minutes | | 5% |

| 7 | Students are able to carry out Structured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, and Text Analysis | Students are able to carry out Structured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, and Text Analysis | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together on US 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning | Project base learning: Prepare important questions related to a topic of Structured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, and Text Analysis. Prepare a project plan for Structured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, Make a project schedule for Structured Data Analysis, Make a project schedule for Structured Data Analysis, unstructured Data Analysis, Make a project structured Data Analysis, and Text Analysis. Monitoring the implementation of project- based learning providing assessments on projects created Evaluation of project-based learning 2x 50 minutes | | 10% |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|-----|
| 8 | Students are able to carry out Structured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, and Text Analysis | Students are able to carry out Structured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, and Text Analysis | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together on US 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance | Project base learning: Prepare important questions related to a topic of Structured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, and Text Analysis. Prepare a project plan for Structured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, and Text Analysis. Make a project schedule for Structured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, Unstructured Data Analysis, on troing the implementation of project- based learning providing assessments on projects created Evaluation of project-based learning 2x 50 minutes | | 20% |

| 9 | Students can understand techniques in artificial intelligence | Students can understand techniques in artificial intelligence | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning | Presentation and Discussion 2x50 | | 10% |
|----|--|---|--|---|--|-----|
| 10 | Able to understand Evolutionary: Genetic Algorithms case in Searching, (Blind search and inform search) | understand about searching techniques understand the definition of problem space, production systems, and searching methods understand searching techniques: Blind Search understand the 6 Blind Search methods and know their performance know the advantages and disadvantages of each Blind Search method understand searching techniques: Informed Search know the advantages and disadvantages of each Blind Search know the advantages of each Blind Search method can complete the Informed Search method can complete the Informed Search case study | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning Forms of Assessment Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Project based learning: Preparing important questions related to a material topic Genetic Algorithm case in Searching, (Blind search and inform search) Preparing a project plan Genetic Algorithm case in Searching, (Blind search and inform search) Monitoring the implementation of project based learning (project based learning) Testing and provide an assessment of the project created Evaluation of project-based learning Searching, (Blind search and inform search) Making a project schedule Genetic Algorithms case in Searching, (Blind search and inform search) Making a project Schedule Genetic Algorithms case in Searching, | | 10% |

| 11 | Able to understand Evolutionary: Genetic Algorithm case in Searching (Fuzzy System) | Reviewing Propositional Logic and FirstOrder Logic Understanding Fuzzy Systems Understand the Reasoning technique and its differences with Searching | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Project based learning: Preparing important questions related to a material topic Algorithm Preparing a project plan Monitoring the implementation of project based learning Testing and providing an assessment of the project created Evaluation of project based learning Creating a project schedule Algorithm 2x50 | | 10% |
|----|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| 12 | Able to understand Evolutionary: Genetic Algorithm case in Searching (Fuzzy System) | understand Planning techniques and their differences with Reasoning and Searching know Goal- StarckPlanning (GSP) planning methods and Constraint Posting Understand the Reasoning technique and its differences with Searching can solve problems using the Planning method | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Project based learning: Preparing important questions related to a material topic Algorithm Preparing a project plan Monitoring the implementation of project based learning Testing and providing an assessment of the project created Evaluation of project based learning Creating a project schedule Algorithm 2x50 | | 10% |

| 13 | Able to understand Evolutionary: Genetic Algorithms case in Learning (Decision Tree Learning and Artificial Neural Networks) | understand the definition of Learning know the difference between Searching, Reasoning, Planning and Learning understand the Decision Tree Learning technique understand ANN techniques solving problems using Decision Tree and ANN techniques | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Project based learning: Preparing important questions related to a material topic Algorithm Preparing a project plan Monitoring the implementation of project based learning Testing and providing an assessment of the project created Evaluation of project based learning Creating a project schedule Algorithm 2x50 | | 10% |
|----|---|---|---|---|--|-----|
| 14 | Able to understand Evolutionary: Genetic Algorithms case in Learning (Decision Tree Learning and Artificial Neural Networks) | understand the definition of Learning know the difference between Searching, Reasoning, Planning and Learning understand Deep Learning techniques solving problems with Deep Learning | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Project based learning: Preparing important questions related to a material topic Algorithm Preparing a project plan Monitoring the implementation of project based learning Testing and providing an assessment of the project created Evaluation of project based learning Creating a project schedule Algorithm 2x50 | | 10% |

| 15 | Able to understand Evolutionary: Genetic Algorithms case in Learning (Decision Tree Learning and Artificial Neural Networks) | I.understand the definition of Learning know the difference between Searching, Reasoning, Planning and Learning understand Deep Learning techniques solving problems with Deep Learning | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Project based learning: Preparing important questions related to a material topic Algorithm Preparing a project plan Monitoring the implementation of project based learning Testing and providing an assessment of the project created Evaluation of project based learning Creating a project sased learning Creating a project schedule Algorithm 2x50 | | 10% |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|-----|
| 16 | Able to understand Evolutionary: Genetic Algorithms case in Learning (Decision Tree Learning and Artificial Neural Networks) | understand the definition of Learning know the difference between Searching, Reasoning, Planning and Learning understand Deep Learning techniques solving problems with Deep Learning | Criteria: 1.1. Practical reports and products are assessed as ASSIGNMENTS with a weight of 30% 2.2.USS weight 20% 3.3. Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.4.US weight 30% 5.5.Essay questions are assessed together at USS 6.6. Multiple choice questions are assessed together 7.7. Performance questions are integrated during learning Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment, Test | Project based learning: Preparing important questions related to a material topic Algorithm Preparing a project plan Monitoring the implementation of project based learning Testing and providing an assessment of the project created Evaluation of project based learning Creating a project schedule Algorithm 2x50 | | 20% |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

| No | Evaluation | Percentage |
|----|---|------------|
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 45% |
| 2. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 25% |
| 3. | Practice / Performance | 20% |
| 4. | Test | 10% |
| | | 100% |

Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.

- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning, materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.