

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering , Electrical Engineering Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE Course Family			Credit Weight			S	EMES	STER	Con Date	npilati Ə	ion		
Innovative Learning		8320103219			T=3 P=0 ECTS=4.77		77	3		July	18, 2	024			
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer			Course Cluster Coordinator				s	Study Program Coordinator					
										Dr. Nur Kholis, S.T., M.T.					
Learning model	Project Base	sed Learning													
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course														
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)														
(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix														
		Ρ.	0												
	PO Matrix at the end of each I			arning s	tage	(Sub	-PO)								
													т		
		P.0				Week				<u> </u>					
		1	2 3 2	4 5 6	5 7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	l
Short Course Description	Study and practice regarding interactions management, diagnosis of learning difficul learning styles, self-efficacy in learning. Le presentations and discussions, project assi			ctions in difficultie ing. Lect ect assigr	learning, various learning methods and models, classroom es, basic teaching skills, acquisition of concepts in learning, tures are carried out using a system of case study analysis, nments, peer teaching, and reflection										
References	Main :														

	1. V F Z F Z F Z F S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Vinkel, W. Jendyat S Jan praktik rnie Faji Rosdakary vnita Lie.2 uang Kela Jagus Supi Cogjakarta Surabaya Kala Jurhadi, d Surabaya: Jurhadi, d Sura	S. 2004. <i>Psikol</i> utopo. 2005. Po . Malang: UMM ar. Portofolio a. 002. Cooperativ s. Jakarta: Grar rijono. 2012. C Pustaka Pelaja (soasih. 1985. am VCT e, Marsha Weil h). Yogjakarta: F kk. 2003. Pen gi UM Press d Nur. 2003. Gu Lembaga Penja orahim, Muham Unesa Press. orahim, Muham abaya: Unesa P utopo. 2005. Po . Malang: UMM Slavin. 2009. deks. Usman, Lilis Se Remaja Rosda I Slavin. 2009. deks. Slavin. 2009. deks.	logi Per endidika Press. dalam ve Learn nedia V oopera ar. Strate I, Emily Pustaka belajar uru yanq umin Mu mad Nu ress. Press. Psikolo etyawati Karya. Psikolo Psikolo	ngajaran . Yogyakarta an dan Pembelajara Pp. 163-181 Pembelajaran IPS ning: mempraktikkan Vidiasarana Indonesi tive Learning: teori gi Pengajaran Aktir Cahoun. 2009. Mod Pelajar can Kontekstual dan g Berhasil dan Model tu Pendidikan Jawa ur. 2000. Pengajaran ur. 2005. Pengajaran p. 200-207 ogi Pengajaran: Teor i. 1993. Upaya Otima ogi Pengajaran: Teor ogi Pengajaran: Teor ogi Pengajaran: Teor	a: Media Aba n: Teori, per S. Bandung Cooperative dan Aplikas f-Nilai-Moral del of Teach Penerapar Pengajaran Timur. Berdasarka n Berdasarka n: Teori, per ri dan Prak disasi Belaja ri dan Prak	adi. rmasalahan g: Remaja e di Ruang- si PAIKEM. VCT dan hing (Model hnya dalam n Langsung. an Masalah. an Masalah rmasalahan ttik. Jilid 2. ar Mengajar. ttik. Jilid 1.
	Supporte						
Support lecturer	Supporting lecturer Prof. Dr. Ismet Basuki, M.Pd. Dr. Meini Sondang Sumbawati, M.Pd.						
		Evaluation			Holp Loorning		
Week	Final abilities of each	E	valuation	Lo Stu	earning methods, ident Assignments, [Estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	En Indicator	valuation Criteria & Form	Lo Stu Offline (offline)	earning methods, dent Assignments, [Estimated time] Online (online)	Learning materials References]	Assessment Weight (%)
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Ev Indicator (3)	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	Lo Stu Offline (offline) (5)	Content of the formation of the formatio	Learning materials References] (7)	Assessment Weight (%) (8)
Week- (1) 1	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Endicator (3)	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	Lo Stu Offline (offline) (5)	Contine (online) (6)	Learning materials [References] (7)	Assessment Weight (%) (8) 0%
Week- (1) 1 2	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Endicator (3)	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	Li Stu Offline (offline) (5)	(6)	Learning materials [References] (7)	Assessment Weight (%) (8) 0% 0%
Week- (1) 1 2 3	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Endicator (3)	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	L Stu Offline () (5)	(6)	Learning materials [References] (7)	Assessment Weight (%) (8) 0% 0% 0%
Week- (1) 1 2 3 4	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Endicator (3)	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	L Stu Offline (offline) (5)	(6)	Learning materials [References] (7)	Assessment Weight (%) (8) 0% 0% 0%
Week- (1) 1 2 3 4 5	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Example 1	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	Li Stu Offline) (5)	(6)	Learning materials [References] (7)	Assessment Weight (%) (8) 0% 0% 0% 0%
Week- (1) 1 2 3 4 5 6	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Endicator (3)	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	Li Stu offline) (5)	(6)	Learning materials [References] (7)	Assessment Weight (%) (8) 0% 0% 0% 0%
Week- (1) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Ex Indicator (3)	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	L Stu Offline) (5)	(6)	Learning materials [References] (7)	Assessment Weight (%) (8) 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Week- (1) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Ex Indicator (3)	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	Li Stu Offline) (5)	(6)	Learning materials [References] (7)	Assessment Weight (%) (8) 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Week- (1) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Endicator (3)	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	LL Stu offline) (5)	(6)	Learning materials [References] (7)	Assessment Weight (%) (8) 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Week- (1) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 9 10	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Ex Indicator (3)	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	Li Stu Offline) (5)	(6)	Learning materials [References] (7)	Assessment Weight (%) (8) 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%
Week- (1) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) (2)	Ex Indicator (3)	valuation Criteria & Form (4)	Li Stu Offline) (5)	(6)	Learning materials [References] (7)	Assessment (8) 0%

13				0%
14				0%
15				0%
16				0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

INO	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.