

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering , Electrical Engineering Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

| Courses                        |  | CODE   |   |  | C   | Course Family                                 |  |   |  | Credit Weight                                  |   |   |   | SEME                                | STER               | Compila<br>Date | ation            |          |
|--------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|
| Evaluation of<br>Learning      | f Learning and   |  | 832010225   | 4  |   |   |  |   |  |  | T=2   | P=0   | ECTS=3  | 8.18                                |                    | 5               | August 4<br>2023 | 4,       |
| AUTHORIZAT                     | ΓΙΟΝ   |  | SP Develo   | per  |   |   |  |   | Co   | ourse  | Clus  | ter Co                                      | oordinato   | r                                   |                    | Progra          | ım               |          |
|                                |  |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
|                                |  |  | Prof. Dr. Jo  | oko, M                                       | I.Pd. MT.   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     | Dr.                | Nur Khc         | lis, S.T., N     | И.Т.     |
| Learning<br>model              | Case Studies   |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
| Program                        | PLO study pro  | gram t   | that is char  | rged t                                       | to the co   | urse  |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
| Learning<br>Outcomes<br>(PLO)  | PLO-5  |  | o align the e<br>nds of globa   |  |   |   |  |   |  | ning o   | curric                                      | ulum i                                      | n vocatior  | nal ec                              | ducatio            | n that is       | s relevant t     | to the   |
|                                | PLO-6  |  | o plan, imple<br>ional educati  |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     | s in ele           | ctrical e       | ngineering       | 3        |
|                                | PLO-8  | Have   | extensive kn  | nowled                                       | dge in the  | fields  | of gen   | eral kr   | nowled                                       | dge, s   | ocial                                       | and h                                       | umanities   | Gei                                 | neral).            |                 |                  |          |
|                                | PLO-11   | compl  | extensive kn<br>lex problems<br>tific writing (S  | s typic                                      | al of elect   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  | les of   |
|                                | PLO-15   |  | project mana<br>l and non-for   |  |   |   |  |   | ces in                                       | entre  | epren                                       | eurshi                                      | ip as a for   | m of                                | lifelon            | g learnir       | ng through       | 1        |
|                                | Program Obje   | ctives   | (PO)  |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
|                                | PO - 1   |  | nts understa<br>sment instru  |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    | I PAP);         | developme        | ent of   |
|                                | PLO-PO Matrix  | ĸ  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
|                                |  |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
|                                |  |  | P.O PLO-5   |  |   | PLO-6   |  | F   | PLO-8  | 3  | PLO-11                                      |   | PLO-15  |                                     | -15                |                 |                  |          |
|                                |  |  | PO-1  |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
|                                | PO Matrix at th  | ne end   | of each lea   | arnin  | a stage (   | Sub-  | PO)  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
|                                |  |  |   |  | 5 5 - (   |   | -,   |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
|                                |  |  | P.0   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  | Wee   | k   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
|                                |  |  |   | 1  | 2 3   | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7  | 8  | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12                                  | 13                 | 14              | 15 16            | <b>;</b> |
|                                |  | PO   | 0-1   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
| Short<br>Course<br>Description | This course dis development of a   |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    | stem (P         | AN and I         | PAP);    |
| References                     | Main :   |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
|                                | Erlbaum<br>2. Brookha<br>3. Brookha<br>4. Griffin, F<br>5. Joughin<br>6. Samuel, | a Associ<br>art, Susa<br>art, Susa<br>Patric ar<br>, Gordo<br>, Andrev | n W. 2008.<br>iates,<br>an M. 2008. I<br>an M. 2013. I<br>nd Esther Ca<br>n. 2009. Ass<br>w. 2006. Mal<br>Malcolm L. 20 | How t<br>How t<br>are. 20<br>sessm<br>ke and | to Give Eff<br>to Create a<br>015. A sse<br>lent, Learr<br>d Test Pro | fective<br>and U<br>essme<br>ning a<br>pjects | e Feedb<br>se Rub<br>ent and<br>nd Judo<br>in Engi | back to<br>prics fo<br>Teach<br>gemer<br>neerin | Your<br>r Forr<br>ing of<br>it in H<br>g Des | r Stud<br>native<br>f 21st<br>ligher<br>sign C | ents .<br>e Asse<br>Centi<br>Educ<br>reativ | USA<br>essme<br>ury Sk<br>ation<br>rity, El | : ASCD<br>ent and Gr<br>cills. New<br>. New Yor<br>ngagemer | radinų<br>York:<br>'k: Sp<br>nt and | g. USA<br>Springer | : ASCD<br>ger   |                  |          |
|                                | Supporters:  |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
|                                |  |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |
| I                              |  |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |                                     |                    |                 |                  |          |

| Week- | Final abilities of<br>each learning<br>stage   | Eval  | uation  | Learn<br>Studen  | p Learning,<br>ing methods,<br>t Assignments,<br>timated time] | Learning<br>materials<br>[ References   | Assessmen<br>Weight (%) |
|-------|--|---|---|--|--|---|-------------------------|
|       | (Sub-PO)   | Indicator   | Criteria & Form   | Offline(<br>offline)   | Online ( <i>online</i> )                                       | 1   |                         |
| (1)   | (2)  | (3)   | (4)   | (5)  | (6)  | (7)   | (8)                     |
| 1     | Able to understand<br>the concept and<br>understanding of<br>assessment,<br>process evaluation<br>and evaluation of<br>learning outcomes | <ol> <li>Explain the<br/>meaning of<br/>assessment,<br/>process<br/>evaluation and<br/>learning<br/>outcome<br/>evaluation</li> <li>Discuss<br/>examples of<br/>implementation,<br/>process<br/>evaluation and<br/>evaluation of<br/>learning<br/>outcomes</li> <li>Discuss<br/>problems that<br/>are often found<br/>in exam<br/>implementation</li> </ol>   | Criteria:<br>1.1. Students'<br>ability to answer<br>questions<br>2.2. Student<br>activity in asking<br>questions and<br>responding<br>Form of Assessment<br>:<br>Participatory Activities | Discussion<br>and<br>assignment<br>2 X 50  |  | Material:<br>Concept and<br>understanding<br>of<br>assessment,<br>process<br>evaluation<br>and<br>evaluation of<br>learning<br>outcomes.<br><b>Reference:</b><br><i>Griffin, Patric</i><br><i>and Esther</i><br><i>Care. 2015.</i><br><i>Assessment</i><br><i>and Teaching</i><br><i>of 21st</i><br><i>Century</i><br><i>Skills. New</i><br><i>York:</i><br><i>Springer</i> | 5%                      |
| 2     | Students are able<br>to understand<br>assessment<br>techniques   | <ol> <li>Explain the<br/>basic principles<br/>of assessment</li> <li>Explain the<br/>characteristics<br/>of the<br/>assessment</li> <li>Explains the<br/>cognitive<br/>domain as an<br/>object for<br/>assessing<br/>learning<br/>outcomes</li> <li>Explaining the<br/>affective<br/>domain as an<br/>assessment of<br/>learning<br/>outcomes</li> <li>Explaining the<br/>psychomotor<br/>domain as an<br/>object for<br/>assessing<br/>learning<br/>outcomes</li> <li>Explaining the<br/>psychomotor<br/>domain as an<br/>object for<br/>assessing<br/>learning<br/>outcomes</li> <li>Explains<br/>techniques in<br/>evaluating<br/>learning<br/>outcomes</li> <li>Create test<br/>indicators</li> <li>Create a test<br/>grid</li> </ol> | Criteria:<br>Answer correctly, the<br>score is max. 100<br>Form of Assessment :<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Portfolio Assessment  | Presentations,<br>discussions,<br>reflections<br>and<br>assignments<br>create<br>indicators and<br>are presented<br>at the next<br>meeting<br>2 X 50 |  | Material:<br>Assessment<br>techniques<br><b>References:</b><br>Anderson,<br>Lorin W.<br>2008.<br>Classroom<br>Assessment<br>Enhancing<br>the Quality of<br>Teacher<br>Decision<br>Making.<br>London:<br>Lawrence<br>Erlbaum<br>Associates,  | 5%                      |

| 3 | Students are able<br>to understand<br>assessment<br>techniques                 | <ol> <li>Explain the<br/>basic principles<br/>of assessment</li> <li>Explain the<br/>characteristics<br/>of the<br/>assessment</li> <li>Explains the<br/>cognitive<br/>domain as an<br/>object for<br/>assessing<br/>learning<br/>outcomes</li> <li>Explaining the<br/>affective<br/>domain as an<br/>assessment of<br/>learning<br/>outcomes</li> <li>Explaining the<br/>psychomotor<br/>domain as an<br/>object for<br/>assessing<br/>learning<br/>outcomes</li> <li>Explaining the<br/>psychomotor<br/>domain as an<br/>object for<br/>assessing<br/>learning<br/>outcomes</li> <li>Explains<br/>techniques in<br/>evaluating<br/>learning<br/>outcomes</li> <li>Create test<br/>indicators</li> <li>Create a test<br/>grid</li> </ol> | Criteria:<br>1.Summarizing<br>correctly, max<br>score 20<br>2.Create<br>indicators, max<br>score. 25<br>3.Create grids,<br>max score 25<br>4.Presentation,<br>max score 30<br>Forms of<br>Assessment :<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Project Results<br>Assessment, Portfolio<br>Assessment |   | Group presentations,<br>discussions, reflections<br>(Zoom Meet)<br>2 X 50   | 4% |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|----|
| 4 | Students are able<br>to understand &<br>make types of<br>tests                 | <ol> <li>Explain the meaning of the test</li> <li>Explain the function of the test</li> <li>Explain the types of tests</li> <li>Develop Multiple Choice tests</li> </ol>  | Criteria:<br>Test preparation<br>equipment includes<br>Syllabus, RPP,<br>Question Grid,<br>Questions, Answer<br>Key<br>Forms of<br>Assessment :<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment   | Discussions,<br>exercises,<br>searching for<br>library<br>sources and<br>other<br>references,<br>group work<br>discussions,<br>and<br>2 X 50<br>assignments |   | 4% |
| 5 | Students are able<br>to develop multiple<br>choice questions<br>for certain KD | Make a minimum<br>of 20 Multiple<br>Choice, Test and<br>Non-Test questions<br>according to the<br>indicators (ATP) in<br>the selected RPP<br>and present them<br>at meeting 6   | Criteria:<br>Test preparation<br>equipment includes<br>Syllabus, RPP,<br>Question Grid,<br>Questions, Answer<br>Key, multiple choice<br>question<br>assessment criteria<br>Form of Assessment<br>:<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Portfolio Assessment                                       |   | Discussions,<br>assignments,<br>exercises, searching<br>for library sources and<br>other references<br>independently as a<br>group<br>11 X 50 | 4% |

| 6 | Students are able<br>to understand &<br>make tests and<br>non-tests as<br>evaluation of<br>learning outcomes | <ol> <li>Explain the meaning of the test</li> <li>Explain the function of the test</li> <li>Create an RB test</li> <li>Explaining the observation sheet</li> <li>Explaining the interview</li> <li>Explaining the questionnaire</li> <li>Explain document checking</li> <li>Explain portfolio assessment</li> <li>Make product assessment</li> <li>Make an attitude assessment</li> <li>Make project assessments</li> </ol> | Criteria:<br>1.Describe<br>correctly, max<br>score 50<br>2.Presentation,<br>max score 50<br>Forms of<br>Assessment :<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Project Results<br>Assessment / Product<br>Assessment, Portfolio<br>Assessment, Portfolio<br>Assessment, Portfolio |  | Discussions,<br>assignments,<br>exercises, searching<br>for library sources and<br>other references and<br>group presentations<br>(Zoom Meet)<br>2 X 50 | 6%  |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|-----|
| 7 | Students are able<br>to process<br>learning test<br>results  | - Explain PAN and<br>PAP Explain the<br>central tendency<br>figures (mean,<br>mode & mid) -<br>Explain the<br>variance and<br>standard deviation.<br>- Explain the<br>techniques for<br>implementing<br>learning outcomes<br>tests  | Criteria:<br>Answering correctly<br>gets a score of 100<br>Form of Assessment<br>Participatory Activities   | Presence,<br>Discussion,<br>exercises and<br>assignments<br>3 X 50 |   | 4%  |
| 8 | Students are able<br>to process<br>learning test<br>results  | <ol> <li>Explaining PAN<br/>and PAP</li> <li>Explaining<br/>central<br/>tendency<br/>numbers<br/>(mean, mode &amp;<br/>mid)</li> <li>Explain<br/>variance and<br/>standard<br/>deviation</li> <li>Explain the<br/>techniques for<br/>implementing<br/>learning<br/>outcomes tests</li> </ol>  | Criteria:<br>Answering correctly<br>gets a score of 100<br>Form of Assessment<br>:<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Portfolio Assessment   |  | Presentations,<br>discussions, exercises<br>and assignments<br>(Zoom Meet)<br>2 X 50  | 4%  |
| 9 | UTS 1st to 8th<br>meeting  | meetings 1 to 8   | Criteria:<br>Students who<br>answer correctly get<br>a score of 100<br>Form of Assessment<br>:<br>Test  | exam or<br>written test<br>3 X 50                                  |   | 15% |

| -  | 1  |   |  | 1   |   | 1 | I  |
|----|--|---|--|---|---|---|----|
| 10 | Students are able<br>to search for and<br>determine the<br>validity of learning<br>outcome test<br>items | <ol> <li>Explains         <ul> <li>techniques for             testing the             validity of             learning             outcomes tests</li> <li>Explain test             testing             rationally</li> <li>Explains             empirical test             testing             4.Testing the             analysis of             choice             response test             items         </li> </ul> </li> <li>Determining         test items for         good learning         outcomes         <ul> <li>Determining             distractors that             function on             choice             response test             items         </li> </ul></li></ol>   | Criteria:<br>Students who do it<br>correctly get a score<br>of 100<br>Form of Assessment<br>:<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Portfolio Assessment                                       | Discussion,<br>assignments<br>and exercises<br>2 X 50 |   |   | 4% |
| 11 | Students are able<br>to search for and<br>determine the<br>validity of learning<br>outcome test<br>items | - Explaining<br>techniques for<br>testing the validity<br>of learning<br>outcome tests -<br>Explaining test<br>testing rationally -<br>Explaining test<br>testing empirically -<br>Testing analysis of<br>selected response<br>test items -<br>Determining good<br>learning outcome<br>test items -<br>Determining<br>distractors that<br>function on<br>selected response<br>test items  | Criteria:<br>1.Students do it<br>correctly,<br>maximum score<br>is 50<br>2.Presentation,<br>max score 50<br>Form of Assessment<br>:<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Portfolio Assessment |   | Discussions,<br>assignments and<br>exercises as well as<br>presentations (Zoom)<br>2 X 50 |   | 5% |
| 12 | Students are able<br>to determine the<br>reliability of<br>learning outcomes<br>tests                    | <ol> <li>Explains         techniques for         testing the         reliability of         essay learning         tests</li> <li>Explain         reliability testing         techniques for         choice         response         learning tests</li> <li>Explains the         technique for         testing the         reliability of         learning         outcomes tests         using a single         test-single trial         approach     </li> <li>Explains the         technique for         testing the         reliability of         learning         outcomes tests         using a single         test-single trial         approach     </li> <li>Explains the         technique for         testing the         reliability of         learning         outcomes tests         using a test-test         approach     </li> <li>Explains         techniques for         testing the         reliability of         learning         outcomes tests         using a test-test         approach     </li> </ol> | Criteria:<br>Students do it<br>correctly, maximum<br>score is 100<br>Form of Assessment :<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Portfolio Assessment   | Discussion,<br>assignments<br>and exercises<br>3 X 50 |   |   | 5% |

| 13 | Able to determine<br>the value or grade<br>from the test<br>results  | <ol> <li>Explain the meaning of grade from the learning outcomes test</li> <li>Explain the consideration of individual differences in determining grade</li> <li>Explain the various systems - Determine the final assessment system</li> </ol>   | Criteria:<br>Students do it<br>correctly, maximum<br>score is 100<br>Form of Assessment<br>:<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Portfolio Assessment   | Discussion,<br>assignments<br>and exercises<br>3 X 50                       |  | 5% |
|----|--|---|---|---|--|----|
| 14 | Able to explain<br>techniques for<br>determining final<br>grades, KKM,<br>ranking and<br>loading learning<br>achievement<br>profiles | <ol> <li>Explain the meaning of final value</li> <li>Explain the final value function</li> <li>Explain the factors that need to be considered in determining the final grade</li> <li>Explain the techniques for arranging rankings.</li> <li>Explain the meaning of rankin. Types and procedures for preparing rankings</li> <li>Explains techniques for creating learning achievement profiles</li> <li>Explain the meaning of learning achievement profile</li> </ol> | Criteria:<br>Do it correctly and<br>don't plagiarize, max<br>score 100<br>Form of Assessment :<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Portfolio Assessment | Create a<br>concept map<br>regarding the<br>overall<br>assessment<br>2 X 50 |  | 5% |

| 15 | Able to explain<br>techniques for<br>determining final<br>grades, KKM,<br>ranking and<br>loading learning<br>achievement<br>profiles | <ol> <li>Explain the meaning of final value</li> <li>Explain the final value function</li> <li>Explain the factors that need to be considered in determining the final grade</li> <li>Explain the techniques for arranging rankings.</li> <li>Explain the meaning of</li> </ol>   | Criteria:<br>Do it correctly and<br>don't plagiarize, max<br>score 100<br>Form of Assessment<br>:<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Portfolio Assessment | Create a<br>concept map<br>regarding the<br>overall<br>assessment<br>2 X 50 |  | 5%  |
|----|--|---|--|---|--|-----|
|    |  | <ul> <li>4. Explain the techniques for arranging rankings.</li> <li>5. Explain the meaning of rankin. Types and procedures for preparing rankings</li> <li>6. Explains techniques for creating learning achievement profiles</li> <li>7. Explain the meaning of learning achievement profile</li> <li>8. Explain the forms of learning achievement</li> </ul> |  |   |  |     |
|    |  | profiles<br>9.Explain the use<br>of a learning<br>achievement<br>profile  |  |   |  |     |
| 16 | UAS  |   | Criteria:<br>Form of Assessment<br>:<br>Participatory Activities,<br>Tests   | 9 X 50  |  | 20% |

## Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

| No | Evaluation                                      | Percentage |
|----|---|------------|
| 1. | Participatory Activities                        | 44.83%     |
| 2. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 4.83%      |
| 3. | Portfolio Assessment                            | 23.83%     |
| 4. | Practice / Performance                          | 1.5%       |
| 5. | Test  | 25%        |
|    |   | 99.99%     |

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.

- The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
   TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.