



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, **Undergraduate Study Program in Out-of-School Education**

MESTED I EADNING DI AN

Courses PNF Seminars			CODE			Course Fan	e Family		Credit Weight		SEMESTER	Compilation Date
			8620503181 Compul Program					T=3	P=0	ECTS=4.77	7	August 1, 2023
AUTHORIZA [*]	TION		SP Dev	veloper			Cour	se Clu	ster C	oordinator	Study Program Coordinate	
			Heryan	r. Maria Veronika R ugroho, S.Pd., M.P to Susilo, S.Pd., M.	doesminingsi d. ; Dr. Wido Pd. dan 5 la	h, M.Pd. ; do, M.Pd. ; innya		Dr. Ma mining		ronika .Pd.	Rivo Nugroho	o, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Learning model	Project Bas	sed Learnin	g									
Program	PLO study	study program which is charged to the course										
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-2	Demonstrate the character of being tough, collaborative, adaptive, innovative, inclusive, lifelong learning and entrepreneuri spirit								epreneurial		
	PLO-4	Develop yourself continuously and collaborate.										
	PLO-10	Able to c	to communicate both in writing and orally in accordance with academic values, norms and ethics									
	PLO-11	Able to u	ole to utilize technology and information in efforts to solve problems in accordance with their field of expertise									
	Program (Objectives	ctives (PO)									
	PO - 1	Students	dents are able to develop actual research topics									
	PO - 2	Students	are able to	use research metl	hods that are	e appropriate	to the re	esearc	h topi			
	PO - 3	Students	s are able to compose scientific work as the embryo of their final project									
	PO - 4	Students	are able to	present the results	s of their scie	entific writing						
	PLO-PO N	latrix										
			P.O	PLO-2	PLO-4	1 P	LO-10		PL	D-11		
		1	PO-1	•			1			/		
			PO-2		1		/			/		
	F											

P.O	PLO-2	PLO-4	PLO-10	PLO-11
PO-1	•		•	•
PO-2		1	1	•
PO-3	1	1	1	1
PO-4	✓		~	*

PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)

P.O								١	Neek							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
PO-1	1				1											
PO-2		1	1	1												
PO-3						1	1	1						1		1
PO-4									>	1	1	1	>		1	

Course Description

This course is one of the courses in the PLS FIP Unesa Study Program, which is designed to develop students' insight into actual PLS problems, improve their ability to formulate and present problems and become active participants in seminars, and to improve their skills in holding seminars in the PLS field. The scope of this course covers actual issues or problems in the field of PLS which are outlined in one complete paper, consisting of identification and formulation of problems, development of a framework of thought, discussion, and recommendation conclusions. An indicator of the success of this course is if students are able to implement theory into Non-Formal Education practice.

References

Main:

- Azahari, A. 1995. Materi Pokok Teknik Penulisan Ilmiah. Jakarta: Universitas Terbuka.
- Lindsay, D. 1998. Penuntun Penulisan Ilmiah. Alih Bahasa oleh S.S.Achmadi. Universitas Indonesia Press, Jakarta
- Yuzal, dkk 2001. Panduan Praktis Seminar. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada
- Tim penyusun buku pedoman penulisan skripsi program sarja strata sati (S-1). 2014.Pedoman Penulisan Skripsi. Surabaya: Unesa University Press

	Supporters:		
lecturer	Prof. Dr. Maria Veronika R Prof.Dr. I Ketut Atmaja Joh Dr. Sjafiatul Mardliyah, S.S. Dr. Widodo, M.Pd. Dr. Wiwin Yulianingsih, S.F Rivo Nugroho, S.Pd., M.Po Dr. Heryanto Susilo, S.Pd. Widya Nusantara, S.Pd., N Dr. Rofik Jalal Rosyanafi, I	nny Artha, M.Kes. Sos., M.A. Pd., M.Pd. i. , M.Pd. 1.Pd.	

		tara, S.Pd., M.Pd. I Rosyanafi, M.Pd.		н	elp Learning.		
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evaluation		Lea Stude	rning methods, ent Assignments, estimated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	J	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students are able to orient PLS seminar lectures	1.explain the purpose of the PLS seminar lecture 2.explains the scope of the PLS seminar lectures 3.explains the PLS seminar lecture procedures	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	lectures, questions and answers, and discussions in class 3	lectures, questions and answers, and discussions in class 3	Material: Material regarding the PLS seminar Library: Azahari, A. 1995. Main Material of Scientific Writing Techniques. Jakarta: Open University.	4%
2	Students understand the Seminar Organizing Process	1.explain the process of holding a seminar 2.carry out a comparative analysis of several terms related to seminars	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	lectures, questions and answers, and discussions in class 3	lectures, questions and answers, and discussions in class 3	Material: Explaining the process of holding a seminar Reader: Lindsay, D. 1998. Guide to Scientific Writing. Translation by SSAchmadi. University of Indonesia Press, Jakarta	4%
3	Students are able to write seminar material papers	1.identify problems 2.formulate the problem 3.develop a frame of mind 4.write a discussion 5.draw up practical conclusions/recommendations	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	lectures, questions and answers, and discussions in class 3	lectures, questions and answers, and discussions in class 3	Material: Writing papers for seminars References: Team for compiling guidebooks for writing undergraduate undergraduate thesis programs (S-1). 2014. Thesis Writing Guidelines. Surabaya: Unesa University Press	4%
4	Students are able to write seminar material papers	1.identify problems 2.formulate the problem 3.develop a frame of mind 4.write a discussion 5.draw up practical conclusions/recommendations	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	lectures, questions and answers, and discussions in class 3	lectures, questions and answers, and discussions in class 3	Material: Writing papers for seminars References: Team for compiling guidebooks for writing undergraduate undergraduate thesis programs (S-1). 2014. Thesis Writing Guidelines. Surabaya: Unesa University Press	4%

5	Students are able to write seminar material papers	1.identify problems 2.formulate the problem 3.develop a frame of mind 4.write a discussion 5.draw up practical conclusions/recommendations	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	lectures, questions and answers, and discussions in class 3	lectures, questions and answers, and discussions in class	Material: Writing papers for seminars References: Team for compiling guidebooks for writing undergraduate undergraduate thesis programs (S- 1). 2014. Thesis Writing Guidelines. Surabaya: Unesa University Press	4%
6	students are able to organize small scale seminars (class seminars)	1. Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS theory and concepts and their implications for practical purposes in the field. 2. Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS personnel and their development in the field. 3. Discusses issues and problems regarding the practice of implementing PLS in special school settings 4. Discusses issues and problems regarding the practice of implementing PLS in inclusive school settings 5. Discusses issues and problems regarding the development of the PLS professional organization	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	3 X 50 daily seminars		Material: Organizing small-scale seminars Reference: Yuzal, et al. 2001. Practical Seminar Guide. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada	4%
7	students are able to organize small scale seminars (class seminars)	1.Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS theory and concepts and their implications for practical purposes in the field. 2.Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS personnel and their development in the field. 3.Discusses issues and problems regarding the practice of implementing PLS in special school settings 4.Discusses issues and problems regarding the practice of implementing PLS in inclusive school settings 5.Discusses issues and problems regarding the development of the PLS professional organization	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	3 X 50 daily seminars		Material: Organizing small-scale seminars Reference: Yuzal, et al. 2001. Practical Seminar Guide. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada	4%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	UTS 3 X 50		Material: UTS Library: Yuzal, et al. 2001. Practical Seminar Guide. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada	20%

9	students are	1.Discusses issues and	Criteria:		Material:	4%
	able to organize small scale seminars (class seminars)	problems regarding PLS theory and concepts and their implications for practical purposes in the field. 2. Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS personnel and their development in the field. 3. Discusses issues and problems regarding the practice of implementing PLS in PNF UNITS AND PROGRAMS 4. Discusses issues and problems regarding the practice of implementing PLS in PNF UNITS AND PROGRAMS 5. Discusses issues and problems regarding the development of the PLS professional organization	Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	3 X 50 daily seminars	Organizing small-scale seminars Reference: Yuzal, et al. 2001. Practical Seminar Guide. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada	
10	students are able to organize small scale seminars (class seminars)	1. Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS theory and concepts and their implications for practical purposes in the field. 2. Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS personnel and their development in the field. 3. Discusses issues and problems regarding the practice of implementing PLS in PNF PROGRAM UNITS 4. Discusses issues and problems regarding the practice of implementing PLS in PNF PROGRAM UNITS 5. Discusses issues and problems regarding the development of the PLS professional organization	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	3 X 50 daily seminars	Material: Organizing small-scale seminars Reference: Yuzal, et al. 2001. Practical Seminar Guide. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada	4%
11	students are able to organize small scale seminars (class seminars)	1. Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS theory and concepts and their implications for practical purposes in the field. 2. Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS personnel and their development in the field. 3. Discusses issues and problems regarding the practice of implementing PLS in PNF units and programs 4. Discusses issues and problems regarding the practice of implementing PLS in PNF units and programs 5. Discusses issues and problems regarding the development of the PLS professional organization	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	3 X 50 daily seminars	Material: Organizing small-scale seminars Reference: Yuzal, et al. 2001. Practical Seminar Guide. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada	4%
12	students are able to organize small scale seminars (class seminars)	Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS theory and concepts and their implications for practical purposes in the field. Discuss issues and problems regarding PLS personnel and their development in the field. Discuss issues and problems regarding the practice of administering PLS in PNF units and programs Discuss issues and problems regarding the practice of administering PLS in PNF units and programs Discuss issues and problems regarding the practice of administering PLS in PNF units and programs Discuss issues and problems regarding the development of PLS professional organizations	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	3 X 50 daily seminars	Material: Organizing small-scale seminars Reference: Yuzal, et al. 2001. Practical Seminar Guide. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada	4%

13	students are able to organize small scale seminars (class seminars)	Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS theory and concepts and their implications for practical purposes in the field. Discuss issues and problems regarding PLS personnel and their development in the field. Discuss issues and problems regarding the practice of administering PLS in PNF units and programs Discuss issues and problems regarding the practice of administering PLS in PNF units and programs Discuss issues and problems regarding the development of PLS professional organizations	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	3 X 50 daily seminars	Material: Organizing small-scale seminars Reference: Yuzal, et al. 2001. Practical Seminar Guide. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada	4%
14	students are able to organize small scale seminars (class seminars)	Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS theory and concepts and their implications for practical purposes in the field. Discuss issues and problems regarding PLS personnel and their development in the field. Discuss issues and problems regarding the practice of administering PLS in PNF units and programs Discuss issues and problems regarding the practice of administering PLS in PNF units and programs Discuss issues and problems regarding the development of PLS professional organizations	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	3 X 50 daily seminars	Material: Organizing small-scale seminars Reference: Yuzal, et al. 2001. Practical Seminar Guide. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada	4%
15	students are able to organize small scale seminars (class seminars)	Discusses issues and problems regarding PLS theory and concepts and their implications for practical purposes in the field. Discuss issues and problems regarding PLS personnel and their development in the field. Discuss issues and problems regarding the practice of administering PLS in PNF units and programs Discuss issues and problems regarding the practice of administering PLS in PNF units and programs Discuss issues and problems regarding the practice of administering PLS in PNF units and programs Discuss issues and problems regarding the development of PLS professional organizations	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	3 X 50 daily seminars	Material: Organizing small-scale seminars Reference: Yuzal, et al. 2001. Practical Seminar Guide. Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada	4%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: Rubric: Score 4 if done very well, Score 3 if done well, Score 2 if done adequately, Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	UAS 3 X 50	Material: UAS Library: Azahari, A. 1995. Main Material of Scientific Writing Techniques. Jakarta: Open University.	24%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

∟va	<u>idalion Fercentage Recap. Froject Based Lean</u>	iiig					
No	No Evaluation						
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	50%					
2.	Practice / Performance	50%					
		100%					

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 3. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.