

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Undergraduate Study Program in Out-of-School Education

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE				Cour	se Fa	mily	Credit Weigh		t	SE	NEST	ER	Cor Dat	npilat e	on		
Basic Theory	of PNF	86205042	8620504231 Compulsory Stu Program Subject					Т=	:3 P:	=0 E	CTS=4.7	7	1			uary 1	,		
AUTHORIZAT	ΓΙΟΝ	SP Devel	SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator					Stu	dy Pr	ogra	m Co	ordina	ator	
		Dr. Wiwin Widodo, I M.Pd.							Dr. W	/iwin `	Yuliar	ningsih	ı, S.Pd.	Riv	/o Nu	groho	o, S.P	d., M.I	۶d.
Learning model	Case Studies											_							
Program	PLO study pro	ogram which is c	harge	d to tl	ne co	urse													
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-2	Demonstrate the entrepreneurial s		cter of	being	g toug	h, coll	abora	tive, a	Idaptiv	ve, ini	novati	ve, inclus	ive, life	elong	learn	ing ar	nd	
	PLO-5	Mastering the ba	isic cor	icepts	of ou	t-of-so	chool	educa	tion to	be a	ble to	mana	ige non-f	ormal	educa	tion p	progra	lms	
	PLO-12	Able to demonst	rate a r	espon	sible	attitud	le and	l work	toget	her in	acco	rdanc	e with pro	ofessio	nal no	orms	and e	thics	
	Program Obje	ctives (PO)																	
	PO - 1	Mastering the co	ncept c	f Non	form	al Edu	catior	ı as a	basis	for cu	urricul	um de	velopme	nt.					
	PO - 2	Have the ability relationships and	to think comm	c critic unicat	ally, c e effe	ctivel	vely, ir y in th	nova e fielc	tively, I of No	analy on-fori	tically mal E	r, have ducati	e a high on.	work e	thic,	work	toget	her, b	ıild
	PO - 3	Mastering theori technology in im	Mastering theories, concepts, principles of analyzing community needs as adults, as well as mastering information technology in implementing Non-formal Education programs																
	PO - 4	Able to formulate	innova	ative a	nd cre	eative	ideas	in or	der to	devel	op No	on-forr	nal Educ	ation p	rogra	ms			
	PLO-PO Matri	x																	
		P.0		PLO	D-2		P	_0-5		Ρ	LO-1	2							
		PO-1						1			1								
				~	·			۰ ۰			۰ ۲								
		PO-2			,														
		PO-3		•	·														
					-						1								
	PO Matrix at t	PO-3	earning	_	_	ub-P(0)				1								
	PO Matrix at t	PO-3 PO-4	arning	_	_	ub-P(0)				✓ Week								
	PO Matrix at t	PO-3 PO-4	earning	g stag	je (Si	ub-PC			7	١		10		12	13	14	15	16	
	PO Matrix at t	PO-3 PO-4		_	_	1	D) 5 •	•	7		Neek	10	11	12 :	13	14 ✓	15	16	
	PO Matrix at t	PO-3 PO-4 he end of each le	1	g stag	je (Si 3	1	5	•	7		Neek	10			13	14 •	15	16	
	PO Matrix at t	PO-3 PO-4 he end of each le	1	g stag 2	je (Si 3	1	5	6	7	8	Neek					14 ✓	-		
	PO Matrix at t	PO-3 PO-4 he end of each le P.0 PO-1 PO-2	1	g stag 2	je (Si 3	1	5	6	7	8	Neek					14	-		
Short Course Description	This course pro principles, chara explanations, d regularly, consc generally carrier school. Therefo non-formal educ	PO-3 PO-4 he end of each le P.0 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3	ding of the relates the sentation is strictly scale to a set the sentation is the control of the set t	2 the ball follow nviror s carri	asic c (Si asic c c p bet in thi ving fi menti d in s	4 v oncep ween s cou ixed 1 c of th t outs uch a	5	✓ 6 ✓ Non-Fo and th on-fo as in ool, th scho hat th	Formal formal formation fo	8 Educ onal e educa al edu on-for the m	Week 9 eation cation ucatio mal e ble b	, histo tion s is disi n at s educat arget i ut stra	ry, thougi ystem so cussed a chool. B ion is ide	✓ ✓ Ints on that puse educed and the second sec	PNF eople catior e non with the cct	and i like t that formu attrac	✓ nform to lear t is c lal edi ation unity. ⊐	al figu n thro arried ucatio outsid rheref intere	ugh out n is e of ore, st of

		 Dave RH Longword Jarvis, P York:Rou Sudjana Falah Pro Rogers A Undang- Pramudia Menuju N Sudiaper Djokosuji Lembaga Mudyaha Sudjana, Falah Pro Daya Ma Tilaar, Ho 	(1976) Foundation of th, Norman and Davie teter (2007). Globalis titledge. 2007. D (2006) Pendidikan ocution k (2005) Non Formal undang Sistem Pendi a, Joni Rahmat. (20 Masyarakat Berpenge mana Elih (2013) per poso, Sutomo, dkk. 1 a Penelitian IKIP Sura Penelitian IKIP Sura D. 2004. Pendidikar poduction2 nusia. Bandung: Fala	f life long education, Ne es,W.Keith.Lifelong learn sation, lifelong learning Nonformal : Wawasan, Education, New York Klo dikan Nasional No 20 Ta 13). Belajar sepanjang tahuan. nikiran tokoh-tokoh peno 998. Kajian Landasan I ibaya. Isafat Ilmu Pendidikan. E Nonformal, Wawasan, 2004. Manajemen Progra	w York. Perganc ning :Learning lo and the learnin sejarah perken ower Academik I ahun 2003 hayat : Konsep didikan nonforma Keilmuan dan K Bandung: PT Rei Sejarah Perken am Pendidikan u	ndon.Kogan Page. ng society : Sociological nbangan, falsafah, teori p Publisher o, kebijakan dan Aplikasi al informal. Bandung. EDU Keprofesian Pendidikan L	perspective.Lon endukung dan a i dalam pendidik JKASIA Press uar Sekolah (PL endukung dan A: al dan Pengemb	zas, Bandung. an Nonformal .S). Surabaya: zas. Bandung: angan Sumber
		Supporters: 1. Artiekel o	lan Buku yang Mendi	ukung Materi Matakuliah	ini			
		 you tube Scholar 						
Support lecturer			ngsih, S.Pd., M.Pd.					
Week-		al abilities of h learning	n Susanto, S.Pd., M.F	d.	Learı Studer	lp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
		b-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	1	
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	to ba foi	udents are able understand the ckground and undation of non- mal education	1. Students are able to describe their non-formal educational background. 2. Students are able to describe the foundations of non-formal education.	Criteria: Students graduate if they can answer multiple choice questions correctly up to 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	a. Exploration b. Questions and Answers c. Dialogic delivery 3 X 50	questions and answers, discussions, case studies 3 x50	Material: according to the section Reference: Slamat Santoso (2010) Collection of PLS Basic Concepts material. Not published. For a private	3%
2	to de an no	udents are able understand the finition, nature d approach of n-formal ucation	 Students are able to explain the definition, nature and approaches to non-formal education Students are able to link concepts and reality or existing cases 	Criteria: Students pass if they mention and explain the definition, informal education approach Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	a. Exploration b. Dialogic delivery c. Discussion 3 X 50	lectures, presentations and discussions 3 x 50	Material: according to division. Reference: Slamat Santoso (2010) Collection of PLS Basic Concepts material. Not published. For a private	3%
3	to de no	udents are able understand the velopment of n-formal ucation	 Students are able to describe practitioners in society. 2. Students are able to describe the development of criticism of non- formal education. Students are able to describe educational planners for development. 	Criteria: Students graduate if they can provide answers about practitioners, the development of criticism of non- formal education and educational planners for development reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	a. Dialogic delivery b. Discussion 3 X 50	lectures, presentations and discussions 3 x 50	Material: presentation according to distribution. Reference: Slamat Santoso (2010) Collection of PLS Basic Concepts material. Not published. For a private	3%

4	Students are able to understand the thoughts of informal non-formal education figures, (part 1)	Students are able to describe the thoughts of figures: Malcom Kowles, Ivan Illich, Paulo Freire, Carl Ransom Rogers, Antonio Gramsci, Jean-Jacques Rousseau.	Criteria: Students graduate if they can provide answers about forms of social interaction orally reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	a. Dialogic delivery b. Discussion 3 X 50	lecture, presentation, discussion and answer 3 x 50	Material: according to division . Reference: Sudiapermana Elih (2013) thoughts of informal non- formal education figures. Bandung. EDUCASIA Press	3%
5	Students are able to understand the thoughts of informal non-formal education figures, (part 2)	Students are able to describe the thoughts of the characters: Jerome S Bruner, Johan Heinrich Pestalozzi, Rfedrich Froebel, Malcolm X, Hannah More, Nikola Grundtvig Severin Frederik, Bell Hooks.	Criteria: Students graduate if they can provide answers about forms of social interaction orally reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	a. Dialogic delivery b. Discussion 3 X 50	lectures, presentations and discussions 3 x 50	Material: presentation according to distribution. Reference: Sudiapermana Elih (2013) thoughts of informal non- formal education figures. Bandung. EDUCASIA Press	3%
6	Students are able to understand the thoughts of informal non-formal education figures (Part 3)	Students are able to describe the thoughts of figures: Bruce Wayne Tuckman, William Lovett, Mahatma Gandhi, Robert Putman, Mary Carpter.	Criteria: Students pass if they can provide answers orally reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	presentations, discussions, lectures and questions and answers 3 X 50	presentations, lectures, Javanese questions, and discussions 3 x 50	Material: according to division. References: Djokosujoso, Sutomo, et al. 1998. Study of the Scientific and Professional Foundations of Out-of- School Education (PLS). Surabaya: IKIP Surabaya Research Institute.	3%
7	Students are able to understand the background, concept and definition of informal education	 1.1. Students are able to describe the background of informal education. 2.2. Students are able to describe the concept of informal education 	Criteria: Students graduate if they can provide answers to the description of the concept of informal education orally reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	a. dialogic delivery b. Questions and Answers and individual assignments 3 X 50	presentation, question and answer, and individual assignments 3 x 50	Material: according to division Bibliography: Sudjana D (2006) Non- formal education: Insights, history of development, philosophy, supporting theories and principles, Bandung. Falah Production	4%
8	Students are able to understand the characteristics, components and main points of informal education	 1.1. Students are able to describe the characteristics of informal education. 2.2. Students are able to describe the components of informal education. 3.3 Students are able to describe the main points of informal education 	Criteria: Students graduate if they can provide answers about the characteristics, components and main points of informal education orally reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	presentation, discussion, question and answer, lecture 3 X 50	presentation, discussion, question and answer, lecture 3 X 50	Material: according to division. References: Djokosujoso, Sutomo, et al. 1998. Study of the Scientific and Professional Foundations of Out-of- School Education (PLS). Surabaya: IKIP Surabaya Research Institute.	4%

9	Midterm exam		Form of	Lauring	3 x 50 test	Material:	20%
			Assessment : Test	Lecturer asked questions related to students' understanding of the basic concepts of PLS 3 X 50	3 x 50 test	meeting materials 1-8 Literature: Articles and books that support this course material	
10	Students are able to understand the principles of informal education models and activities	 Students are able to describe the principles of informal education. Students are able to describe informal education models and activities. 	Criteria: Students graduate if they can provide answers about the definition of community learner orally reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	a. Case study b. Questions and Answers 3 X 50	lectures, discussions, case studies 3 x 50	Material: according to distribution. Reader: Pramudia, Joni Rahmat. (2013). Lifelong learning: Concepts, policies and applications in non-formal education towards a knowledge society.	4%
11	Students are able to understand the definition of community learning	Students are able to describe the definition of community learning.	Criteria: Students graduate if they can provide answers to 20 characteristics of community learning in writing through multiple choice questions reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	a. dialogic delivery b. case discussion. 3 X 50	lecture, discussion, question and answer 3 x 50	Material: according to distribution. Reader: Pramudia, Joni Rahmat. (2013). Lifelong learning: Concepts, policies and applications in non-formal education towards a knowledge society.	4%
12	Students are able to understand 20 characteristics of community learning	Students are able to describe 20 characteristics of community learning	Criteria: Students graduate if they can provide written answers regarding the lifelong learning continuum process reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	a. Dialogic delivery b. Questions and Answers 3 X 50	lecture, discussion, expression of opinion, question and answer 3 x 50	Material: 20 characteristics of community learning Reference: Mudyahardjo, Redja. 2001. Philosophy of Education. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya.	4%
13	Students are able to understand technology, information society and knowledge society.	1. Students are able to describe technology and information society. 2. Students are able to describe the nature of an informed society including: a. Definition of a knowledgeable society. b. The role of information and communication technology c. Mindset and pillars of a knowledgeable society. d. Characteristics of a knowledgeable community e. Target knowledge society	Criteria: Students graduate if they can provide answers about the definition of community learner orally reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	- Lecture - Question and Answer - Discussion 3 X 50	lecture, discussion, question and answer 3 x 50	Material: understanding technology, information society and knowledge society. References: Tilaar, HAR. 1999. Education, Culture and Indonesian Civil Society. Bandung: PT. Rosdakarya Teenager.	4%

14	Students are able to understand community learning policies and practices	 1.1. Students are able to describe community learning policies 2.2. Students are able to describe community learning practices 	Criteria: Students graduate if they can provide answers to 20 characteristics of community learning in writing through multiple choice questions reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	a. Dialogic delivery b. Case study. 3 X 50	lectures, discussions, case studies 3 x 50	Material: community learning policies and practices Reference: Sudjana D (2006) Non- formal education: Insights, history of development, philosophy, supporting theories and principles, Bandung. Falah Production	4%
15	Students are able to understand the continuum process of lifelong learning as a learning community process	 1.1. Students are able to describe the lifelong learning continuum process. 3. Students are capable of the substance, methods and resources of learning 2.2. Students are able to describe the content of life skills 	Criteria: Students graduate if they can provide answers about society as a source of learning, non- formal education targets and community empowerment orally reaching 75%. Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	a. Dialogic delivery b. Discussion 3 X 50	lectures, discussions, presentation of opinions, questions and answers 3 x 50	Material: continuous process of lifelong learning as a learning community process. Reader: <i>Pramudia,</i> <i>Joni Rahmat.</i> (2013). <i>Lifelong</i> <i>learning:</i> <i>Concepts,</i> <i>policies and</i> <i>applications in</i> <i>non-formal</i> <i>education</i> <i>towards a</i> <i>knowledge</i> <i>society.</i>	4%
16		1. Students are able to describe the concepts that have been presented, 2. Students are able to describe cases in Out-of-School Education	Criteria: Students are said to have passed if they can provide a systematic explanation regarding the concepts and dynamics of Out-of- School Education Form of Assessment : Test	Lecturers give cases to students to be analyzed based on the basic concepts of PLS regarding the dynamics of Non-Formal Education in Indonesia in general 3 X 50	Written test 3 x 50	Material: meeting materials 1-15 Literature: Articles and books that support this course material	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage	
1.	Participatory Activities	50%	
2.	Test	50%	
		100%	

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods. 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.