

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Educational Technology Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

Courses			CODE			٦,		'a''		C	14 151	iak*		C	CTCC	Committee
Courses	ırses		CODE		Co	Course Family		Cred	lit We	ight		SEME	SIER	Compilation Date		
TRAINING MA	AINING MANAGEMENT			6						T=4	P=0	ECTS	=6.36		5	July 17, 20
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator			ator	Study Program Coordinate				
			Dr. Fajar Arianto, M.Pd					Prof. Dr. Mustaji, M.Pd			Dr. Utari Dewi, S.Sn., M.P					
Learning model	Project Based Learning															
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course															
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)															
(PLO)	PO - 1		to design ar vement	nd ma	ınage tra	aining	in edu	catio	nal and	non-e	ducati	onal in	stitutio	ns as	a form	of performan
	PLO-PO Matrix	<														
	P.O PO-1															
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)															
			P.O							We	ek					
		PC	)-1	1	2 3	4	5	6	7 8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 16
Short Course Description	This course disc and evaluation t	usses t rrough	training activ project and o	ity ma	nageme orative le	nt syst arning	ems b	ased	on learni	ng mo	dels,	learning	g mode	el desig	n, progra	am assessme
References	Main :															
	<ol> <li>Fauzi, I. K. A. 2011. Mengelola Pelatihan Partisipatif. Bandung: Penerbit Alfabeta</li> <li>Mustaji, dkk. 2020. Handout Pengelolaan Pelatihan. Surabaya: Teknologi Pendidikan FIP Unesa</li> <li>Rivai, V. 2013. Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia untuk Perusahaan, Cetakan kelima. PT. Raja Grafindo Persada: Jakarta.</li> <li>Branch, Robert Maribe.2009. Instructional Design: The ADDIE Approach. Springer; New York</li> <li>Blanchard, P. Nick. 2007. Effective Training: Systems, Strategies, and Practices. Third edition. New Jersey: Pearso Education, Inc.</li> <li>Losey, Mike. 2005. Future of Human Resource Management. New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.</li> <li>Mustaji. 2010. Pengelolaan Pelatihan: Upaya Peningkatan Kinerja dal Bidang Bisnis dan Pendidikan. Surabaya: Unes University Press</li> </ol>															
	Supporters:															
	<ol> <li>Widodo, S. E. 2015. Manajemen Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia. Yogyakarta: Pusaka Pelajar</li> <li>Sutrisno, E. 2011. Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia. Jakarta: Kencana</li> <li>Mathis, Robert. L. 2006. Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia (Human Resource Management). Ed. 10. Jakarta: Saleml Empat.</li> </ol>															
Supporting lecturer	Dr. Fajar Arianto Irena Yolanita M Citra Fitri Kholid	aureen	, S.Pd., M.Sc	c., Ph.	D.											
	1	Evaluation				Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] Learning										

	stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (	Online ( online )	[ References ]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students are able to describe the history of the training	Able to explain training history	Criteria: depth of study  Form of Assessment : Test	Inquiry 4 X 50		Material: training history Reader: Mustaji. 2010. Training Management: Efforts to Improve Performance in the Fields of Business and Education. Surabaya: Unesa University Press	2%
						Material: training history Bibliography: Mathis, Robert. L. 2006. Human Resource Management. Ed. 10. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.	
2	Students are able to describe training in business organizations	1. Able to explain training concepts 2. Able to explain development concepts 3. Able to explain educational concepts 4. Be able to describe the role of training in improving performance 5. Able to clarify factors that influence performance	Criteria: depth of study Form of Assessment : Test	discovery learning 4 X 50		Material: training in business organizations Reader: Mustaji. 2010. Training Management: Efforts to Improve Performance in the Fields of Business and Education. Surabaya: Unesa University Press	3%
3	Students are able to link the relationship between vision, mission and organizational values	Students are able to clarify the relationship between the vision, mission and values of the organization	Criteria: depth and appropriateness of the study  Form of Assessment : Test	cooperative 4 X 50		Material: vision and mission References: Mathis, Robert. L. 2006. Human Resource Management. Ed. 10. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.  Material: vision and mission Reader: Mustaji. 2010. Training Management: Efforts to Improve Performance in the Fields of Business and Education. Surabaya: Unesa University Press	3%

4	Students are able to analyze the environment in business	Able to analyze the environment in business	Criteria:  1.depth and suitability of the study 2.Participation in discussion activities  Form of Assessment : Test	Discussion Questions and answers 4 X 50	Material: business environment Reader: Mustaji. 2010. Training Management: Efforts to Improve Performance in the Fields of Business and Education. Surabaya: Unesa University Press  Material: business environment References: Mathis, Robert. L. 2006. Human Resource Management. Ed. 10. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.	2%
5	Students are able to identify the organizational structure	Students are able to identify types of organizational structures	Criteria: suitability of business organization structure studies Form of Assessment : Test	Discussion Questions and answers 4 X 50	Material: business organizations Reference: Mustaji. 2010. Training Management: Efforts to Improve Performance in the Fields of Business and Education. Surabaya: Unesa University Press	5%
6	Students are able to organize training using the ADDIE model	1.Students are able to formulate the analysis stages 2.Students are able to formulate the design stages 3.Students are able to formulate development stages 4.Students are able to formulate implementation stages 5.Students are able to formulate implementation stages	Criteria:  1.suitability of the design to the learning design stages 2.accuracy in needs analysis 3.accuracy in determining learning strategies 4.accuracy in determining evaluations  Form of Assessment: Test	Discussion Questions and answers on the 4 X 50 Assignment	Material: ADDIE model training design Reference: Branch, Robert Maribe.2009. Instructional Design: The ADDIE Approach. Springer; New York	5%
7	1.Students are able to describe the job and key result areas     2.Identify types of work	Students are able to describe the job     Students are able to describe the key result area	Criteria: 1.conformity with job indicators 2.depth of study Form of Assessment: Test	inquiry 4 X 50	Material: job description Bibliography: Mathis, Robert. L. 2006. Human Resource Management. Ed. 10. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.	5%

F					<u> </u>	
8	Midterm exam	Able to describe work and key result areas	Criteria:  A = 86 - 100 (3.8 - 4.00) A- = 80 - 85 (3.7 - 3.79) B = 75 - 79 (3.6 - 3.69) B = 70 - 74 (3.5 - 3.59) B- = 65 - 69 (3.4 - 3.49) C = 50 - 64 (3.00 - 3.39) D = 25 - 50 (2.00 - 2.99) E = < 25 (0 - 1.99)  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning 4 X 50	and ke areas Refere Branch Robert Maribe Instruct Design ADDIE Approa	, .2009. tional : The
9	Students are able to organize classical training	Students are able to design classical training     able to determine the right method in classical training	Criteria:  1.suitability of training design 2.accuracy of material selection using classical methods  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning 4 X 50	Materia classica training Reade Mustaja Trainin Manag Efforts Improv Perform in the F Busine Educata Suraba Unesa Univers Press	al I I: . 2010. gement: to e nance Fields of ss and ion. ya:
10	Students are able to arrange Individual Training	Able to organize training individually	Criteria: Students are able to design individual training  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning 4 X 50	Materia Individu training Reade Mustaji Trainin Manag Efforts Improv Perforn in the F Busine Educat Suraba Unesa Univers Press	ual I I: . 2010. gement: to e nance Fields of sss and ion. tya:
11	Students are able to organize distance training	1.Students are able to clarify channels in distance learning 2.Students are able to describe the integration of technology in distance learning	Criteria: suitability of distance training design  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion Questions and answers Project Based Learning 4 X 50	Materia distance training Reade Mustaja Training Training Manag Efforts Improvement Perform in the February Busine Educat Suraba Universe Press	e I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
12	Students are able to audit the quality of training programs	Able to conduct quality audits of training programs	Criteria: suitability of training program audit design Form of Assessment : Test	Project based learning 4 X 50	Materia training prograi	m audit graphy: L. Human rce ement. t:

				I	T T	
13	Students are able to identify upcoming training	1.Able to identify technological developments in relation to upcoming training     2.Able to determine the right training method	Criteria: depth of study  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Test	Discussion Questions and answers on the 4 X 50 Assignment	Material: upcoming training Reference: Mathis, Robert. L. 2006. Huma Resource Managemer Ed. 10. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.	
14	Students are able to prepare measuring instruments for training	1.Students are able to carry out needs analysis in determining training 2.Able to organize training programs 3.Able to carry out training that has been designed 4.able to evaluate the implementation of training programs	Criteria:  1.suitability of the training program design with the training design 2.implementation of training 3.ability to work together  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning 4 X 50	Material: training design Reference: Branch, Robert Maribe.2005 Instructional Design: The ADDIE Approach. Springer; No York  Material: training design References Blanchard, I Nick. 2007. Effective Training: Systems, Strategies, and Practice Third editior New Jersey Pearson Education, Inc.	ew S.
15	Students are able to prepare measuring instruments for training	1.Students are able to carry out needs analysis in determining training 2.Able to organize training programs 3.Able to carry out training that has been designed 4.able to evaluate the implementation of training programs	Criteria: 1.suitability of the training program design with the training design 2.implementation of training 3.ability to work together Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning 4 X 50	Material: training design Reference: Branch, Robert Maribe.2003 Instructional Design: The ADDIE Approach. Springer; Ne York  Material: training design References Blanchard, I Nick. 2007. Effective Training: Systems, Strategies, and Practice Third editior New Jersey Pearson Education, Inc.	ew S.
16	Final exams	Able to develop measuring tools for training	Criteria:  A = 86 - 100 (3.8 - 4.00) A- = 80 - 85 (3.7 - 3.79) B = 75 - 79 (3.6 - 3.69) B = 70 - 74 (3.5 - 3.59) B- = 65 - 69 (3.4 - 3.49) C = 50 - 64 (3.00 - 3.39) D = 25 - 50 (2.00 - 2.99) E = < 25 (0 - 1.99)  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning 4 X 50	Material: ab to develop measuring tools for training Reference: Sutrisno, E. 2011. Huma Resource Managemer Jakarta: Kencana	n

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

	Evaluation i crocintago recoupi i roject Bacca Ecarning							
No	Evaluation	Percentage						
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	62.5%						
2.	Test	37.5%						
		100%						

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.