

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Educational Technology Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE			Co	Course Family			Credit Weight				SEMEST	ER	Con Date	npilati e	ion				
Study and Development of Higher Education Curriculum				8620302229							T=2	P=0	ECTS=	3.18	5		July	18, 20	024			
AUTHORIZATION				SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator						Study Program Coordinator							
																Dr. Utari Dewi, S.Sn., M.Pd.						
Learning model	I	Case Studies																				
Program		PLO study pro	gram tł	nat is ch	arged	to the	e cour	se														
Learning Outcom		Program Objectives (PO)																				
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix	C																			
				P.0																		
		PO Matrix at th	ne end o	of each l	earnin	g sta	ge (Sı	ub-PO)													
																						-
			P.0	с						Wee												
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11	12	13	14	15	;	16	
Short Course Description		This course discusses studying and developing higher education curricula based on theoretical concepts and curriculum models. Lectures are carried out using blended learning. The assessment is carried out by means of question and answer and in writing.																				
Referen	ces	Main :																				
		 Amri, S. Bachri, I Unesa Dahlia & Daryantu Hamalik Hidayat, Hamalik Idi, Abdu Mulyasa Nurdin, 1 Triwiyan 	Bachtiar, 5. 2014. , O. 2013 S. 2015 , Oemar Illah. 201 , E. 2013 Syarifudo	, dkk. 202 . 2015. Im Pendeka 3. Dasar- 5. Pengen 2010. Ma 10. Pengen 3. Pengen din & Adr	20. Han nplemen tan Pen dasar P nbangar anajeme embanga mbanga riantoni.	ntasi da nbelaja Pengen n Kurik en Per jan Ku an dan 2016.	Kajian an Ino aran S nbang- kulum I ngemb rikulur Imple Kuriku	dan Pe vasi Ku aintifik an Kuri Baru. E angan m teori mentas ulum da	engem Irikulu Kuriku kulum Bandur Bandur Kuriku & prak si Kuril an Per	ibangar m PAUI ulum 20 Bandu ng: PT. ulum. Ba ktik. Jog kulum 2 nbelaja	n Ku D 20 13 . Ing: Rem andu jaka 013. ran .	rikulum 13 . Ba Yogyal PT. Re naja Ro Ing: PT Irta: Ar- . Bandu Jakart	n Per andu karta emaja osdał . Re -Ruz ung: ta: Ri	ndidika ng: PT : Peno a Roso arya o maja I z Med PT. R ajawal	an Tin Rem erbit G lakary Offset Rosda ia emaja	ggi . Sur aja Rosd ava Mec ra Offset karya Rosdaka	lakary lia		ogi Pe	endio	Jikan	FIP
		Supporters:																				
Support lecturer																						
			Evaluation					Si			Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]				Learnin materia [Referen]	als		sessm eight (
(1)		(2)		Indicator (3)			riteria & Form (4)			Unin	e (c (5)	(offline) 5)		Online (<i>online</i>) (6)			,	(7)		_	(8)	
1	L Students Stud understand the the concept of eva curriculum Stud evaluation exa		the co evalua Stude examp	ents can e oncept of f ation field ents can p ples of the ation field	explain of the eld. provide the Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough			well I Igh enough	B 4	Uiscussion Brainstorming 4 X 50										0%		

2	Students understand the definition, objectives and function of curriculum evaluation	Students can explain the definition, objectives and functions. Students can provide examples of activities and benefits of curriculum evaluation	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	DiscussionPresentation 4 X 50	0%
3	Students understand the basis of curriculum evaluation	Students can explain the basis for curriculum evaluation. Students can explain each component of the basis for curriculum evaluation	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Group Discussion Questions and Answers 4 X 50	0%
4	Students understand quantitative-based curriculum evaluation criteria	Students can explain curriculum evaluation criteria. Students can provide examples of evaluation criteria	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Group discussion Questions and Answers Problem Based Learning 4 X 50	0%
5	Students understand the qualitative-based curriculum evaluation criteria	Students can explain qualitative curriculum evaluation criteria. Students can provide examples of qualitative curriculum evaluation criteria	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Group discussion Questions and Answers Problem Based Learning 4 X 50	0%
6	Students understand the scope of curriculum evaluation	Students are able to explain the scope of curriculum evaluation. Students can provide examples of the scope of curriculum evaluation	Criteria: Very Good Fair Fair Poor More or less Very good	Group discussion Question and Answer Problem Based Learning 4 X 50	0%
7	Students understand the types of curriculum evaluation	Students can explain types of curriculum evaluation. Students can give examples of various types of curriculum evaluation	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Group discussion Questions and Answers Problem Based Learning 4 X 50	0%
8	UTS	Foundations of Curriculum EvaluationCurriculum Evaluation ProceduresCurriculum Evaluation Methods		4 X 50	0%
9	Students understand curriculum evaluation procedures	Students can explain curriculum evaluation procedures. Students are able to explain the steps in curriculum evaluation procedures	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Question and Answer group discussion 4 X 50	0%
10	Students understand curriculum evaluation models	Students can explain EV models. Curriculum Students can provide examples of EV models. Curriculum	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Question and Answer group discussion 4 X 50	0%
11	Students understand curriculum development	Students can explain curriculum development	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Question and Answer group discussion 4 X 50	0%
12	Students understand the principles and components of curriculum development	Students can explain the principles and components of curriculum development	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Peng principle. Peng component curriculum. 4 X 50 curriculum	0%
13	Students understand curriculum developments from time to time	Students can explain curriculum developments in Indonesia	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Group discussion Questions and Answers Problem Based Learning 4 X 50	0%
14	Students understand curriculum developments from time to time	Students can explain curriculum developments in Indonesia	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Group discussion Questions and Answers Problem Based Learning 4 X 50	0%
15	Students understand about curriculum development in various countries	Students can explain the development of country curricula in the world	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Question and Answer group discussion 4 X 50	0%

16	Students understand the concept of the 2013 curriculum and its developments	Students can explain various things about the 2013 curriculum and its developments	Criteria: 1.Very well 2.Good 3.Enough 4.Not enough 5.Very less	Question and Answer group discussion 4 X 50			0%
----	--	---	---	---	--	--	----

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No Evaluation Percentage

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.