

Learning model

**Program** Learning

Outcomes

## **Universitas Negeri Surabaya** Faculty of Education, **Educational Technology Undergraduate Study Program**

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN CODE **Course Family Credit Weight**

Courses	CODE	Course Family		Credit Weight			SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Evaluation of Learning Media	8620302023	Compulsory Stud	у	T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	5	July 18, 2024
AUTHORIZATION	SP Developer	r rogram Gabjeon		se Clu	ıster (	Coordinator	Study Program	Coordinator
							Dr. Utari Dewi	, S.Sn., M.Pd.

(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix																		
			P.O																
	PO Matrix at th	e end of e	ach I	earni	ng st	age (	Sub-	PO)											
																			-
		P.O									Wee	k							
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	

This course discusses basic concepts, principles, types of procedures, analysis of various types of learning media evaluation Short through scientific learning. Course Description

#### References Main:

- 1. Arief S., Sadiman, dkk. 2014. Media Pendidikan. Jakarta: CV. Rajawali.
- 2. Mustaji. 2013. Media Pembelajaran. Surabaya: Unipress Unesa.

### Supporters:

**Project Based Learning** 

**Program Objectives (PO)** 

PLO study program that is charged to the course

# Supporting

Dr. Hari Sugiharto Setyaedhi, M.Si. Dr. Fajar Arianto, S.Pd., M.Pd. Irena Yolanita Maureen, S.Pd., M.Sc., Ph.D. Citra Fitri Kholidya, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	E	valuation	Lea Stude	lelp Learning, trning methods, ent Assignments, Estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( Online ( online )		[ References ]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Ability to understand the types of evaluation	Can distinguish between various types of evaluation	Criteria: The concepts assessed are various types of evaluation  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Scientific, group discussion 2 X 50		Material: various evaluations References: Arief S., Sadiman, et al. 2014. Educational Media. Jakarta: CV. Rajawali.	5%

2	Ability to understand the concept of media evaluation	Can explain the concept of media evaluation	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.Media evaluation1 Form of Assessment: Test	Scientific, group discussion 2 X 50	Material: media evaluation concept References: Arief S., Sadiman, et al. 2014. Educational Media. Jakarta: CV. Rajawali.	5%
3	Ability to understand the relationship between development and media evaluation	Can explain concepts, development, analysis, implementation	Criteria: The relationship between development and media evaluation  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific, group discussion 2 X 50	Material: concept, development, analysis, implementation References: Arief S., Sadiman, et al. 2014. Educational Media. Jakarta: CV. Rajawali.	5%
4	Ability to understand the steps of analysis, development, implementation	Can explain the relationship between developers. And media evaluation	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.steps of analysis, development, implementation  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific, group discussion 2 X 50	Material: media development and evaluation References: Arief S., Sadiman, et al. 2014. Educational Media. Jakarta: CV. Rajawali.	5%
5	Ability to understand media evaluation content variables (1 to 4)	Ability to understand media evaluation content variables (1 to 4)	Criteria: Media evaluation content variables  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Scientific, group discussion 2 X 50	Material: media evaluation content variables References: Arief S., Sadiman, et al. 2014. Educational Media. Jakarta: CV. Rajawali.	5%
6	Ability to prepare instruments for each of these variables. (1 to 4)	Can prepare instruments for each variable	Criteria: 1.Task: 2.1. Create an instrument for each variable: 3.2. appeal variable 4.3. variable compression 5.4. credibility variable 6.5. identification variable 7.Assessment Description: 8.4 = very good 9.3 = good 10.2 = not good 11.1 = very poor Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	- Scientific, - Group discussion 2 X 50	Material: instruments for each variable References: Arief S., Sadiman, et al. 2014. Educational Media. Jakarta: CV. Rajawali.	5%
7	Ability to understand media evaluation content variables (5 to 8)	Can explain the meaning of each variable	Criteria: Concepts assessed Media evaluation content variables. Form of Assessment: Test	Scientific, group discussion 2 X 50	Material: understanding of each variable References: Arief S., Sadiman, et al. 2014. Educational Media. Jakarta: CV. Rajawali.	5%

8	Ability to compose instruments for each content variable (5 to 8)	Can prepare instruments for each variable	Criteria: 1.Task: 2.Create an instrument for each variable: 3.1. Massage relevance 4.2. Intention 5.3. Age appropriateness 6.4. Perception of characters 7.Assessment Description: 8.4 = very good 9.3 = good 10.2 = not good	Scientific, Group discussion, Project Based Learning 2 X 50	Material: instruments for each variable References: Arief S., Sadiman, et al. 2014. Educational Media. Jakarta: CV. Rajawali.	15%
9	Ability to	Can explain	11.1 = very poor  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment  Criteria:	Scientific,	Material:	5%
J	understand media evaluation content variables (9 to 12)	the meaning of each variable	1.Concepts assessed: 2.Media evaluation content variables Form of Assessment : Test	2 X 50 discussion	understanding of each variable Reference: Mustaji. 2013. Learning Media. Surabaya: Unipress Unesa.	370
10	Ability to compose instruments for each content variable (9 to 12)	Can prepare instruments for each variable	Criteria:  1.Task: 2.Create an instrument for each variable: 3.1. Design 4.2. Demography 5.3. Sidlaffeet 6.4. values 7.Assessment Description: 8.4 = very good 9.3 = good 10.2 = not good 11.1 = very poor  Form of Assessment: Test	Scientific, group discussion 2 X 50	Material: instruments for each variable Reference: Mustaji. 2013. Learning Media. Surabaya: Unipress Unesa.	5%
11	Ability to compose instruments for each content variable (9 to 12)	Can prepare instruments for each variable	Criteria:  1.Task: 2.Create an instrument for each variable: 3.1. Design 4.2. Demography 5.3. Sidlaffeet 6.4. values 7.Assessment Description: 8.4 = very good 9.3 = good 10.2 = not good 11.1 = very poor  Form of Assessment: Test	Scientific, group discussion 2 X 50	Material: instruments for each variable Reference: Mustaji. 2013. Learning Media. Surabaya: Unipress Unesa.	5%

			T	1	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
12	Ability to compose instruments for each variable (13 to 16)	Can prepare evaluation instruments for each variable	Criteria:  1.Task: 2.Create an instrument for each variable: 3.1. Variables. do ability 4.2. Contentacency variable 5.3. Memorability variable 6.4. Effectiveness variables 7.Assessment Description: 8.4 = very good 9.3 = good 10.2 = not good 11.1 = very poor  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Scientific, group discussion 2 X 50		Material: evaluation instruments for each variable Reference: Mustaji. 2013. Learning Media. Surabaya: Unipress Unesa.	5%
13	Ability to understand media evaluation content variables (17 to 20)	Can explain the meaning of each variable (17 to 20)	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.Media evaluation content variables Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Saiontific, 2 X 50 group discussion		Material: understanding of each variable Reference: Mustaji. 2013. Learning Media. Surabaya: Unipress Unesa.	5%
14	Ability to understand media evaluation content variables (17 to 20)	Can explain the meaning of each variable (17 to 20)	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.Media evaluation content variables Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Saiontific, 2 X 50 group discussion		Material: understanding of each variable Reference: Mustaji. 2013. Learning Media. Surabaya: Unipress Unesa.	5%
15	Ability to understand media evaluation content variables (17 to 20)	Can explain the meaning of each variable (17 to 20)	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.Media evaluation content variables Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Saiontific, 2 X 50 group discussion		Material: understanding of each variable Reference: Mustaji. 2013. Learning Media. Surabaya: Unipress Unesa.	5%
16	UAS	Can explain the meaning of each variable (17 to 20)	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.Media evaluation content variables Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Saiontific, group discussion, Project Based Learning 2 X 50		Material: understanding of each variable Reference: Mustaji. 2013. Learning Media. Surabaya: Unipress Unesa.	15%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	10%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	65%
3.	Test	25%
		100%

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.