

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Educational Technology Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses				CODE Course F				Eamil	nily Credit Weight				SEMESTER		Co	Compilation				
			COL	CODE			C	Course Family			Credit Weight						Date			
Evaluation and Remediation of Learning Results			8620	030302	21			ompuls ogram				T=3	P=0	ECTS:	=4.77		4	July	y 17, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION			SPI	SP Developer					(Course	Clus	ter Co	ordina	tor	Study	Progran	ı Co	ordinator		
			Hirnanda Dimas Pradana, M.Pd.					Prof. Dr. Rusijono, M.Pd.					Dr. Utari Dewi, S.Sn., M.Pd.							
Learning model		Project Based Learning																		
Program		PLO study p	rogr	am w	hich is	s char	ged to	the	cour	se										
Learning Outcome		Program Obj	ecti	ves (F	PO)															
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix																		
		P.O																		
		PO Matrix at	the	end o	f each	ı learn	ing st	age	(Sub-	-PO)										
				P.O								,	Week							
					1	2	3 .	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
					I	·	- I			1			•		I			l l		
Short Course Descript	ion	Study of the basic concepts and principles of evaluating learning processes and outcomes, types of evaluation tools, develop of various evaluation tools, empirical testing of the quality of evaluation tools, and skills in planning, implementing, process reporting learning evaluation results, and remediation of learning outcomes.																		
Reference	ces	Main :																		
		 Anderson, Lorin W. Dan Krathwohl, David R. (2014). Kerangka Landasan Untuk PEMBELAJARAN, PENGAJARAN, DASESMEN. Revisi Taksonomi Pendidikan Bloom. Terjemahan: A Taxonomy For Learning, Teaching, And Assesing: Revision Of Bloom's Taxonomy Of Education Objectives, Abridged Edition, 1st Edition. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar. Asnawi Zainul, Agus Mulyana. (2016). Tes Dan Esesmen Di S ekolah D asar. Jakarta: Universitas Terbuka. Lamijan H Susarno. (2020). Evaluasi Dan Remidasi Hasil Belajar. Surabaya: CV. Bintang. Sumarna Surapranata. (2015). Penila Berbasis Kelas: Panduan Penulisan Tes Tertulis Implementasi Kurikulum 2013. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya. B Anjuran (BA): Mimin Haryati. (2016). Sistem Penilaian Berbasis Kompetensi: Teori Dan Praktek. Jakarta: Gaung Pers. Press. Saifuddin Azwar. (2017). Reliabilitas dan Validitas. Edisi 4. Cetakan VIII. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar. Refere lain yang relevan dengan matakuliah ini (terlampir). 									sesing: A ajar. mijan Hadi . Penilaian arya. Buku g Persada									
		Supporters:																		
		<u> </u>																		
		Dr. Hari Sugiharto Setyaedhi, M.Si. Hirnanda Dimas Pradana, M.Pd.																		
Week- of e		nal abilities each arning stage		Evaluation				Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]				Learning materials [References]		1/1	Assessment Weight (%)					
		b-PO)		Indicat	tor	C	riteria	& Fo	orm	(Offlin	e (0	nline	(online)				

Offline (offline)

(5)

Lectures,

questions

3 X 50

discussions,

and answers,

brainstorming

Online (online)

(6)

(8)

5%

(7)

Material:

definition,

objectives,

functions,

characteristics

basis,

Criteria & Form

(4)

1.Concepts

assessed:

assessment

2.Basic concepts of

Criteria:

Indicator

(3)

Can explain

the meaning, objectives, functions,

basis, characteristics

and principles

(1)

1

(2)

Understand the

basic concepts of assessment

1 1	of assessment	Form of Assessment	1		and principles
	טו מסטפטטווופווו	:			of assessment.
		Participatory Activities			References: Anderson,
					Lorin W. And
					Krathwohl,
					David R. (2014).
					Foundational
					Framework for LEARNING,
					TEACHING,
					AND ASSESSMENT
					. Revised
					Bloom's
					Taxonomy of Education.
					Translation: A
					Taxonomy For Learning,
					Teaching, And
					Assesing: A
					Revision Of Bloom's
					Taxonomy Of
					Educational Objectives,
					Abridged
					Edition, 1st Edition.
					Yogyakarta:
					Student
					Library. Asnawi Zainul, Agus
					Mulyana.
					(2016). Tests and
					Assessments
					in Elementary Schools.
					Jakarta: Open
					University.
					Lamijan Hadi Susarno.
					(2020).
					Evaluation and Remediation of
					Learning
					Results.
					Surabaya: CV. Star. Sumarna
					Surapranata.
					(2015). Class- Based
					Assessment:
					Guide to Writing Written
					Tests for
					Implementing the 2013
					Curriculum.
					Bandung: PT
					Pemuda Rosdakarya.
					Recommended
					Book (BA): Mimin Haryati.
					(2016).
					Competency Based
					Assessment
					Systems: Theory and
					Practice.
					Jakarta: Echo
					Persada Press. Saifuddin
					Azwar. (2017).
					Reliability and Validity. Edition
					4. Printing VIII.
					Yogyakarta:
					Student Library. Other
					references
					relevant to this course
					(attached):
<u> </u>	1		1	<u> </u>	ı l

3	Understand the basic concepts of assessment Understand the types of evaluation	Can explain the meaning, objectives, functions, basis, characteristics and principles of assessment Can explain the meaning of placement, diagnostic, formative and summative evaluation	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.Basic concepts of assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.types of evaluation Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, brainstorming 3 X 50 Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 3 X 50		5%
4	Understand assessment methods and evaluation tools	Can explain: 1. Definition of written tests and performance assessment 2. Characteristics of written tests and performance assessment Types of evaluation tools: tests (written, oral, action), non- tests, & portfolio.	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.Developing tests Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50		5%
5	Understand assessment methods and evaluation tools	Can explain: 1. Definition of written tests and performance assessment 2. Characteristics of written tests and performance assessment Types of evaluation tools: tests (written, oral, action), non- tests, & portfolio.	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.Developing tests Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50		5%
6	Understand and be able to develop tests	Can explain: 1. Types of tests 2. Test development procedures Develop	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.Developing tests Form of Assessment: Test	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50		5%
7	Understand and be able to develop tests	Can explain: 1. Types of tests 2. Test development procedures Develop	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.Developing tests Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50		5%
8	UTS (Mid Semester Exam)	Basic concepts of assessment, types of learning outcomes evaluation tools, and development of learning outcomes evaluation tools.	Criteria: If answered correctly, each question has a maximum score of 5. Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	The exam is written and done individually on lined folio paper.		15%

	I loodo t 1	Com1 : : :	Out and a	1		-a.
9	Understand and be able to develop non-test evaluation tools	Can explain: 1. Types of non- tests 2. Non- test development procedures Develop non- test instruments	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.Developing nontest evaluation tools Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50		5%
10	Understand basic concepts and be able to analyze question items	1. Can explain the concepts of validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of test items. 2. Can analyze to look for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of question items.	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.analyze the question items 3.Task: 4.Analysis looks for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of test items 5.Assessment Description: 6.4 = very good 7.3 = good 8.2 = not good 9.1 = very poor Form of Assessment: Test	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50		5%
11	Understand basic concepts and be able to analyze question items	1. Can explain the concepts of validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of test items. 2. Can analyze to look for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of question items.	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.analyze the question items 3.Task: 4.Analysis looks for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of test items 5.Assessment Description: 6.4 = very good 7.3 = good 8.2 = not good 9.1 = very poor Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50		5%
12	Understand basic concepts and be able to analyze question items	1. Can explain the concepts of validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of test items. 2. Can analyze to look for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of question items.	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.analyze the question items 3.Task: 4.Analysis looks for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of test items 5.Assessment Description: 6.4 = very good 7.3 = good 8.2 = not good 9.1 = very poor Form of Assessment: Test	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50		5%

13	Understand basic concepts and be able to analyze question items	1. Can explain the concepts of validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of test items. 2. Can analyze to look for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of question items.	Criteria: 1.Concepts assessed: 2.analyze the question items 3.Task: 4.Analysis looks for validity, reliability, level of difficulty, and differentiability of test items 5.Assessment Description: 6.4 = very good	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, assignments 3 X 50		5%
			7.3 = good 8.2 = not good 9.1 = very poor Form of Assessment : Test			
14	Understand the learning evaluation processing process	Able to explain and carry out individual and group learning evaluation processing.	Criteria: 1.Learning evaluation reporting process 2.Task: 3.Create evaluation reports 4.Assessment Information: 5.4 = very good 6.3 = good 7.2 = not good 8.1 = very poor Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	3 X 50 learning evaluation processing process		5%
15	Understand the learning evaluation processing process	Able to explain and carry out individual and group learning evaluation processing.	Criteria: 1.Learning evaluation reporting process 2.Task: 3.Create evaluation reports 4.Assessment Information: 5.4 = very good 6.3 = good 7.2 = not good 8.1 = very poor Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	3 X 50 learning evaluation processing process		5%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: Grading Criteria: A = 86 - 100 (3.8 - 4.00) A - 80 - 85 (3.7 - 3.79) B = 75 - 79 (3.6 - 3.69) B = 70 - 74 (3.5 - 3.59) B - 65 - 69 (3.4 - 3.49) C = 50 - 64 (3.00 - 2.39) D = 25 - 50 (2.00 - 2.99) E = < 25 (0 - 1.99) Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project Based Learning 3 X 50		15%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

Eva	Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning						
No	Evaluation	Percentage					
1.	Participatory Activities	20%					
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	60%					
3.	Test	20%					
	·	100%					

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of
 their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
 are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
 knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.