UNESA

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Educational Sciences Bachelor of Education Management Study Program

Document Code

UNESA	Daci	TICIOI OI LUUCE		ment Staa	y i rogra			
		SEMEST	TER LEARN	IING PLAI	V			
Courses		CODE	Course Fami	y Credit We	Weight	SEMESTER	Compilation Date	
Thesis		8620406107		T=6 P=0	ECTS=9.54	8	July 17, 2024	
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer	SP Developer Co		coordinator	Study Program Coordinator		
	I						antoyo, S.Pd., .Pd.	
Learning model	Project Based L	earning						
Program Learning	PLO study prog	gram that is charged	to the course					
Outcomes	Program Object	tives (PO)						
(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix							
	P.O							
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)							
Short Course Description		P.O 1 2 3		Week 8 9 10 ell as the application	11 12		15 16 of Educational	
Deferences	Main							
References	Saifuddir Sumanto	2004. Metodologi Penel n Azwar, 1999. Metode F n, 1990. Metodologi Pene ormat Penelitian Sosial.	Penelitian. Percetakan elitian Sosial dan Pend	Pustaka Pelajar : ` didikan. Percetakar	Yogyakarta	Yogyakarta		
Supporting	Dr. Erny Roesminingsih, M.Si.							
Supporting lecturer	Dr. Amrozi Kham Dr. Karwanto, S./ Dr. Muhamad Sh Dr. Nunuk Hariya Dr. Ima Widiyana Supriyanto, S.Pd. Syunu Trihantoyo Dr. Mohammad S Dr. Kaniati Amalia Windasari, S.Pd., Dr. Ayu Wulanda Ainur Rifqi, S.Pd. Shelly Andari, S.F Dr. Mufarrihul Ha	idi, S.Pd., M.Pd. Ag., M.Pd. oleh, S.Pd., M.Pd. ti, S.Pd., M.Pd. th, M.Pd. , M.Pd. , S.Pd., M.Pd. syahidul Haq, S.Pd., M.Fd. , M.Pd.	Pd.					

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Eval	luation	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students are able to design research proposals correctly	1.students are able to formulate research background 2.Students are able to formulate problem formulations 3.students are able to formulate research objectives 4.students are able to determine research variables	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Enough 4.D = Not Good	direct inquiry learning 2 X 50			0%
2	Students are able to make theoretical studies based on problem formulation	1.Students are able to make theoretical studies 2.students are able to write quotations correctly	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	assignment 2 X 50			0%
3	students are able to design research methods	1.Students are able to determine the type of research 2.students are able to determine the instrument 3.students are able to determine data analysis	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	inquiry assignment 2 X 50			0%
4	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators 4.students are able to become seminar leaders	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation			0%

5	Students are	1.Students	Criteria:			0%
	able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation		
6	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 simulation		0%
7	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation		0%

	,		T	1	T	
8	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation		0%
9	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation		0%
10	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation		0%

			1		T	
11	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation		0%
12	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation		0%
13	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation		0%

14	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation		0%
15	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation		0%
16	Students are able to carry out seminars according to their respective job descriptions	1.Students are able to present research proposals in seminars 2.Students are able to refute and provide suggestions on the proposals presented 3.students are able to become moderators students are able to become seminar chairs	Criteria: 1.A = Very Good 2.B = Good 3.C = Fairly good 4.D = Not Good	2 X 50 performance simulation		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

	idation i or	Jonitago i toot
No	Evaluation	Percentage
		006

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each
 Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to
 the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special
 skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.