

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Fakultas Ilmu Pendidikan Program Studi S1 Manajemen Pendidikan

Kode Dokumen

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

| Course | | | KODE | | | | Rum | oun Ma | ataKul | iah | | Bob | ot Kre | dit | | SEME | STER | Ta Pe | nggal nyusu | inan |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----------|--------|-----------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| Sistem Informa | asi Manajemen | | 862040210 | S20402106 Mata Kuliah Wajib Program T=2 P=0 ECTS=3.18 | | | | | | 3 | 11 202 | Agust 23 | us | | | | | | | |
| OTORISASI | | | Pengemba | ng S.I | Р | | | | | Koor | dinato | or Rui | npun | matakul | liah | Koord | inator I | Progr | am St | udi |
| | | | Aditya Char | ndra S | Setiaw | an, S.I | Pd., N | I.Pd. | | Dr. M M.Pd | | mad S | Syahid | ul Haq, | | Syu | nu Triha M | antoyo .Pd. |), S.Pc | ł., |
| Model Pembelajaran | Project Based L | earning | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | PLO program S | Studi va | ng dibeba | nkan | pada | mata | akulia | ιh | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcomes (PLO) | PLO-7 | Mampu pendidi | u memprakti ikan berdas | ikkan l arkan | bidang hasil i | g komp analisi | oetens s info | si mana rmasi c | ajemer Ian da | i pendi ta | dikan | untuk | mem | ecahkan | masa | ılah di b | idang n | nanaje | emen | |
| () | PLO-9 | Mampu | u memanfaa | itkan t | eknolo | ogi daı | n infor | masi d | alam ı | ıpaya | oemec | ahan | masa | lah sesua | ai bid | ang kea | hlian | | | |
| | Program Objec | tives (P | °O) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 1 | | swa mampi informasi d | | | tikkan | bidan | ıg siste | em info | ormasi | mana | ijemei | n untu | ık meme | cahka | an mas | alah be | erdasa | rkan I | nasil |
| | PO - 2 | Mahasi manaje | swa mampu men | u merr | naduka | an tek | nologi | dan ir | Iforma | si dala | m upa | iya pe | meca | han mas | alah | sesuai l | oidang : | sistem | infori | nasi |
| | PO - 3 | petunju | mbil keputus Ik dalam me | miliń I | perba | gai alte | ernatif | solusi | secar | a mano | liri dar | 1 kelo | mpok. | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 4 | | ggung jawa plaan sistem | | | | | | jaran | diri, k | esepa | katan | den | gan reka | an ke | lompok | denga | an me | enerap | okan |
| | Matrik PLO-PO | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO | | PLC |)-7 | | PLO | -9 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-1 | _ | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-2 | | | | | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-3 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-4 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Matrik PO pada | a Kemar | mpuan akł | nir tia | p tah | apan | belaj | ar (Su | b-PO |) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ٦ |
| | | | PO | | | r – | r – | 1 | 1 | | Min | ggu K | le | 1 1 | | 1 | | | | _ |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | _ |
| | | PO- | | 1 | | | 1 | ~ | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | ~ | ~ | | 1 | _ |
| | | PO- | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | | PO- | | | | | | | | | | | | ~ | | | | | | _ |
| | | PO- | 4 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | |] |
| Deskripsi | Kajian tentang ko | onsep da | asar/teori Si | stem, | konse | ep das | sar/teo | ori Sist | em Inf | ormas | i &_Ko | munil | kasi, ji | nferensi | sister | n inforn | nasi ma | anajen | nen da | alam |
| Singkat Mata Kuliah | substansi pokok Keuangan, Mana informasi manaje praktik, dan mela | ijemen H emen me | lumas, Man elalui media | ajeme web: | en Per site (p | rkanto prototy | ran, Ñ pe). F | 1anajer Perkulia | nen La ahan d | avanar | 1 Khus | sus. N | 1anaie | emen Per | rpusta | akaan). | pender | nbanc | ian sis | stem |
| Pustaka | Utama : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| l | I | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. | Educatior Blumenth Prentice I Davis, G.I Internatio Scott, G.M Haag, S., Post, G. V Laudon, C Majchrzal Encyclop Setiawan, Aksara Seethal, H Nazarov, the educa | n. al, S.C. 1969. Manage Hall Inc B. dan Olson, M.H. 19 nal Student Edition M. 1986. Principles of I Cummings, M., & Daw A., & Anderson, D. L. (C. K., & Laudon, P. J. (C. K., & Laudon, P. J. (K., A., & Markus, M. edia of Management T , A.C, dkk (2022). Ma K., and B. Menaka. "Di Vladimir L., Denis V. Z titonal process." (2021 , Bakytgul, et al. "Inno | ement Information Sys 84. Management Infor Management Informat vkins, J. (1998). Mana 2003). Management In (2013). Essentials of N L. (2012). Technolog heory,(Ed: E. Kessler anajemen Informasi S gitalisation of educatio Cherdev, and Natalya N): 156-201. | stem: A Framework for F rmation System: Conception System. New York: Igement Information Systems. Mo Anagement Information gy Affordances and Co J, Sage Publications, Fo Sistem Pendidikan: Stu on in 21ST century: A Bu V. Averbukh. "Shock dig | n Systems. Pearson Educa onstraints in Managemen | t. New York, Engl e, and Developmen any s, 279, 280-297. ation, Inc. t Information Syst ai Bidang. Suraba ation 43 (2019): 1 he perception of pa | ewood Cliffs: nt. New York: stems (MIS). aya: Pustaka 96. articipants of |
|-----------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| | Penduk | ung : | | | | | | |
| Dosen Pengam | 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. pr. Widy Dr. Widy Dr. Moh | Advantag 20(5), 1-8 Ampofo, Developm Giua, C., Literature Haq, M. S Mobile Ap Hendriyar Lecturer [Syarwani, Library Sy Syarwani, Indonesia Munirat, `` Business Ada, Ş., European Chowdhu Systems i | es of Management In J. A. (2020). Challen nent Studies, Wa Cam Materia, V. C., & Ca British Food Journal, S., Setiawan, A. C., Wi ops" for Optimization o tii, P., Agustin, F., Ra Data. Aptisi Transactio A. C., Haq, M. S., K ystem for Improvemen , M., & Syahrani, S. (In Journal of Education Y., Sanni, I. M., & Ka Organization in Nigeri & Ghaffarzadeh, M. (Researcher, (4), 260- ry, S., & Salahuddin, in Organizations. Inter | formation System Dev ges of Student Mana pus. International Jour manzi, L. (2021). Man 123(3), 884-909. dyanah, I., Naqiyah, N f Information Systems ihardja, U., & Ramad ns on Management (<i>A</i> hamidi, A., Putri, A. Fi t of Academic Service (2022). The Role of II n (INJOE), 2(3), 270-2 zeem, A. O. (2014). a. International Journa 2015). Decision Maki 269. K. M. (2017). A Litera national Journal of Bu | velopment: Comprehens agement Information Sy rnal of Management & E nagement Information S J., & Ashadi, F. (2023). It . Jurnal Penelitian Pend Ihan, T. (2022). Manag XTM), 6(1), 1-9. X., & Iswahyuni, D. L. (2) S. JMSP (Jurnal Manaje nformation System Mar 81. The Impact of Manage al of Humanities Social S ng Based on Managen | Q. H., & Ali, B. J. (2021). sive review. Academy of S (stem (MIS) in Ghana: A intrepreneurship Research System Adoption at the Fa Development of Electronic lidikan IPA, 9(5), 2409-241 ement Information System 2022). Development of Dia men Dan Supervisi Pendia aggement For Educational ment Information System Sciences and Education (Iv nent Information System and Information System Sciences and Education (Iv nent Information System Influencing Implementation (t, 12(8), 72-79. | Strategic Manager Case Study of U n, 2(5), 332-343. arm Level: Evider -Public Relations 6. ns on Integrated gitalization of The dikan), 6(1), 1-11. I Institutions Durir (MIS) on the Per JHSSE), 1(2), 76-8 and Decision Sup | nent Journal, Jniversity for the from The Through" MP Student and "MP Digilib" Ig Pandemic. formance of 36. port System. |
| Minggu | Kemampuan tiap tahapan | | Penil | aian | Metode Pe Penugasar | embelajaran, embelajaran, 1 Mahasiswa, | Materi Pembelajaran | Bobot Penilaian |
| Ke- | belajar (Sub-PO) | - | Indikator | Kriteria & Bentuk | Estim Luring (offline) | asi Waktu] Daring (<i>online</i>) | [Pustaka] | (%) |
| (1) | (2) | | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | Mahasiswa r melakukan ir terhadap kor dasar Sistem | nferensi nsep | Mahasiswa mampu menjelaskan pengertian Sistem; Mahasiswa mampu membedakan jenis Sistem; Mahasiswa mampu menjelaskan karakteristik Sistem; Mahasiswa mampu membedakan kelebihan dan kelemahan Sistem. | Kriteria: Kriteria Nilai: Istimewa: 90-100; Sangat Baik: 76- 89; Cukup: 56-75; Kurang: 0-55 Bentuk Penilaian : Aktifitas Partisipasif | Collaboration Learning (lecturer material, questions and answers, and discussions) 2 X 50 | Collaboration Learning (lecturer material, questions and answers, and discussions) 2 X 50 | Material: Basic Concepts of Systems Library: Loudon, KC & Loudon, KC & Loudon, JP 2007. Management Information Systems. 10th Ed. New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc | 5% |

| 2 | Students are able to make inferences about the basic concepts of Information & Communication Systems | Students are able to explain the meaning of Information & Communication Systems; Students are able to differentiate between types of Information & Communication Systems; Students are able to explain the characteristics of Communication Information Systems; Students are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of Information & Communication Systems. | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Collaboration Learning (lecturer material, questions and answers, and discussions) 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Basic Concepts of Information and Communication Systems References: Loudon, JC & Loudon, JP 2007. Management Information Systems. 10th Ed. New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc | 5% |
|---|--|---|---|--|--------|---|----|
| 3 | Students are able to make inferences about Management Information Systems in Curriculum Management | Students are able to explain the meaning of Curriculum Management; Students are able to differentiate types of MIS in Curriculum Management; Students are able to explain the characteristics of SIM in Curriculum Management; Students are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of SIM in Curriculum Management. | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Collaboration Learning (portfolio, question and answer, and discussion) 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |

| 4 | Students are able to make inferences about Management Information Systems in Student Management | Students are able to explain the meaning of Student Management; Students are able to differentiate between types of SIM in Student Management; Students are able to explain the characteristics of SIM in Student Management; Students are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of SIM in Student Management. | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Collaboration Learning (portfolio, question and answer, and discussion) 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |
|---|--|---|---|--|--------|--|----|
| 5 | Students are able to make inferences about Management Information Systems in the Management of Educators and Education Personnel | Students are able to explain the meaning of Educator Management and Education Personnel; Students are able to differentiate between types of SIM in Educator Management and Education Personnel; Students are able to explain the characteristics of SIM in the Management of Educators and Educators and Educators are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of SIM in the Management of Educators and Educators and Personnel; | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance | Collaboration Learning (portfolio, question and answer, and discussion) 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |

| 6 | Students are able to make inferences about Management Information Systems in Facilities and Infrastructure Management | Students are able to explain the meaning of Facilities and Infrastructure Management; Students are able to differentiate types of MIS in Facilities and Infrastructure Management; Students are able to explain the characteristics of SIM in Facilities and Infrastructure Management; Students are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of SIM in Facilities and Infrastructure Management; | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance | Collaboration Learning (portfolio, question and answer, and discussion) 2 X 50 | 2 x 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |
|---|---|--|---|--|--------|--|----|
| 7 | Students are able to make inferences about Management Information Systems in Financial Management | Students are able to explain the meaning of Financial Management; Students are able to differentiate between types of SIM in Financial Management; Students are able to explain the characteristics of SIM in Financial Management; Students are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of SIM in Financial Management | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance | Collaboration Learning (portfolio, question and answer, and discussion) 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |

| 8 | Sub-Summative Exam (USS) | | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance | Practice/Performance & Portfolio 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 15% |
|---|---|--|---|--|--------|--|-----|
| 9 | Students are able to make inferences about Management Information Systems in Public Relations Management | Students are able to explain the meaning of Public Relations Management; Students are able to differentiate types of MIS in Public Relations Management; Students are able to explain the characteristics of SIM in Public Relations Management; Students are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of SIM in Public Relations Management. | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance | Collaboration Learning (portfolio, question and answer, and discussion) 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |

| 10 | Students are able to make inferences about Management Information Systems in Office Management | Students are able to explain the meaning of Office Management; Students are able to differentiate between types of SIM in Office Management; Students are able to explain the characteristics of SIM in Office Management; Students are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of SIM in Office Management | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Collaboration Learning (portfolio, question and answer, and discussion) 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |
|----|--|--|---|--|--------|---|----|
| 11 | Students are able to make inferences about Management Information Systems in Special Service Management | Students are able to explain the meaning of Special Services Management; Students are able to differentiate between types of SIM in Special Service Management; Students are able to explain the characteristics of SIM in Special Service Management; Students are able to explain the characteristics of SIM in Special Service Management; Students are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of SIM in Special Service Management | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Collaboration Learning (portfolio, question and answer, and discussion) 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, CK, & Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |

| 12 | Students are able to make inferences about Management Information Systems in Library Management | Students are able to explain the meaning of Library Management; Students are able to differentiate types of MIS in Library Management; Students are able to explain the characteristics of SIM in Library Management; Students are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of SIM in Library Management. | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Collaboration Learning (portfolio, question and answer, and discussion) 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |
|----|--|---|---|--|--------|--|----|
| 13 | Students are able to develop Management Information Systems | Students are able to apply Management Information Systems Planning; Students are able to apply the Management Information System; Students are able to create a Management Information System in the form of an Institutional Website | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Project Based Learning/Performance 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |

| | 1 | | | | | | 1 |
|----|--|---|---|---|--------|---|----|
| 14 | Students are able to develop Management Information Systems | Students are able to apply Management Information Systems Planning; Students are able to apply the Management Information System; Students are able to create a Management Information System in the form of an Institutional Website | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Project Based Learning/Performance 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |
| L | | | 1 | I | | | |

| 15 | Students are able to develop Management Information Systems | Students are able to apply Management Information Systems Planning; Students are able to apply the Management Information System; Students are able to create a Management Information System in the form of an Institutional Website | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Project Based Learning/Performance 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 5% |
|----|--|---|---|---|--------|---|-----|
| 16 | Summative Exam (US) | | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance | Practice/Performance & Portfolio 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems Design Reference: Laudon, CK, & Laudon, PJ (2013). Essentials of Management Information Systems. Pearson Education, Inc. Material: Management Information System Inference Reference : Blumenthal, SC 1969. Management Information System: A Framework for Planning and Development. New York, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Inc | 15% |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

| No | Evaluation | Percentage |
|----|--------------------------|------------|
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 20% |
| 2. | Portfolio Assessment | 30% |
| 3. | Practice / Performance | 50% |
| | | 100% |

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning. 8.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%. 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.