

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Educational Sciences Bachelor of Education Management Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE	Course Famil			ly	y Credit Weight					SEMESTER		1	Compilation Date		on		
Project manag	ement	8620402075				ograr			T=	2 P=) E	CTS=3	.18		6		Apri	l 4, 20	22
AUTHORIZATION		SP Developer	SP Developer					urse	Clu	ster (oord	linato	r	Study Program Coordinator					
		M.Pd. ; Dr. Widy Dr. Erny Roesm	Aditya Chandra Setiawan, S.Pd., M.Pd. ; Dr. Widyo Winarso, M.Pd. ; Dr. Erny Roesminingsih, M.Si. ; Dr. Mufarrihul Hazin, S.Pd.I., M.Pd.				Dr. Nunuk Hariyati, S.Pd., M.Pd. S			Syunu Trihantoyo, S.Pd., M.Pd.									
Learning model	Case Studies	- I I																	
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																		
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-7		e to practice the field of educational management competency to solve problems in the field of acational management based on the results of information and data analysis																
` ,	PLO-11	Able to demonstrate a responsible attitude and collaborate in accordance with professional norms and ethics																	
	Program Objectives (PO)																		
	PO - 1	includes project proje	ize learning resources and information technology in applying project management expertise, which udes project planning (Microsoft Project applications in PERT/CPM, scheduling, budgeting), lementation and project evaluation. and project evaluation, as well as implementation and preparation of lect proposals in education, especially those related to industrial revolution 4.0 and society 5.0 education.																
	PO - 2	evaluation, as well	stering theoretical concepts about project planning, implementation and project evaluation. and project aluation, as well as implementation and preparation of project proposals in education, especially those ated to industrial revolution 4.0 and society 5.0 education.																
	PO - 3		ke appropriate decisions based on information and data analysis to determine approaches, models and iniques for project planning, implementation and evaluation.																
	PO - 4	management conce	esponsible for self-learning performance, agreement with group colleagues in understanding project anagement concepts with general (routine) management, project management parameters, project anning, implementation and project evaluation.																
	PLO-PO Matrix																		
		P.O	PLO-7 PLO-11																
		PO-1	. 20 .			·													
		PO-2		,	/														
		PO-3					·												
		PO-4	PO-4 ✓																
	DO Motrise of the	ho and of each learn	nine	. 040	no (C	uh F	3O)												
	PO Matrix at t	he end of each learr	ııng	sta	ye (S	ub-F	(0)												
		P.O								,	Neek	,							
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
	1	1004		ا ہا					1	1	1	1		i	1	ı J		1	1

Short Course Description

PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4

Basic concepts of project management: background, understanding, characteristics of project management (MP): differences between project management concepts and general (routine) management: project management parameters main stages of MP: project planning (microproject applications in PERT/CPM, scheduling, budgeting), implementation, and project evaluation. and project evaluation of project management in organizing training, courses and relevant development activities.

References Main: 1. Anderson, M.Q. 1982. Quantitative Management Decision Making . California: Brook/ Cole Publishing Company. 2. Burhanuddin. 1984. Analisis Administrasi Manajemen dan Kepemimpinan Pendidikan . Jakarta: Bumi Aksara. 3. ----- 1994. The Application of Project Management in School Staff Development . Manajemen Pendidikan , 5 4. ---. 1989. Project Student Lead . A Project Proposal, 50th Innotech Three Mont Training Program. Manila: Innotech. 5. --. 1994. Project Management: the Basic Concepts and Project Life (paper). 6. Chan. K.C, Peter Ong and Eko. I.R. 2004. Integrated Project Management . Yogyakarta: Andy. 7. Federal Electric Corporation. 1976. A Programmed Instruction to PERT. New York: John Wiley & Sons. 8. Goodman, L.J. 1988. Project Planning and Management : An Integrated System for Improving Productivity . New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company. 9. Gaynor, A.K. and Evanson, J.L. 1992. Project Planning . Boston: Allyn and Bacon. 10. Haynes, M.E. 1989. Project Management. California: Crisp Publications, Inc. 11. Jeff Davidson. Penuntun 10 Menit Manajemen Proyek. Terjemahan oleh Sisnuhadi. Ed. I, 2002. Yogyakarta: Andi. 12. Kerzner, H.C. 1982. Project Management for Executives . New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company. 13. Koonz, et. al. 1984. Management . New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company. 14. Mondy, R.W. et. al. 1990. Management and Organizaional Behaviour. Boston: Allyn and Bacon. 15. Puxty, A.G. 1990. Organization and Management . London: Pitman. 16. Richard, N. 2006. Project Management Step By Step. New York: Pearson 17. Randolph, W.A. and Posner, B.Z. 1998. Effective Project Planning & Management. Getting the Job Done. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall. 18. Reksohadiprodjo, S. 1983. Manjemen Proyek . Yogyakarta: BPFE. 19. Soeharto. I. 1999. Manajemen Proyek Dari Konseptual Sampai Operasional Jilid I. Jakarta: Erlangga. 20. Soeharto. I. 2001. Manajemen Proyek Dari Konseptual Sampai Operasional Jilid II . Jakarta: Erlangga. 21. Sultan S. M. 2004. Manajemen Proyek Kiat Sukses Mengelola Proyek . Jakarta: Gramedia. 22. Tim Divisi Penelitian dan Pengembangan. 2003. Mahir dalam 7 hari: Microsoft Project 2003. Yogyakarta: Kerjasama Penerbit Andi dengan Madcoms. 23. Wiet, J.D. and Levy, F.K.1977. A Management Guide to PERT/CPM: with GERT/PDM/DCPM and other Networks Englewood Cliffs, N.J: Prentice Hall, Inc. Supporters: Dr. Widyo Winarso, M.Pd. Supporting Dr. Erny Roesminingsih, M.Si. Dr. Mufarrihul Hazin, S.Pd.I., M.Pd. Aditya Chandra Setiawan, S.Pd., M.Pd. lecturer

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evaluation Indicator Criteria & Form		Learn Studen	p Learning, ing methods, t Assignments, imated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)			Offline (Online (online)		[References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Tuition Contract		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50		Material: Bibliography : Anderson, MQ 1982. Quantitative Management Decision Making. California: Brook/Cole Publishing Company.	5%
2	Basic Concepts of Rational Project Management Understanding the Benefits of Project Management Characteristics		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	-Lectures & questions and answers Guided reading Summarization of library materials 2 X 50		Material: Library material: Burhanuddin. 1984. Analysis of Management Administration and Educational Leadership. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%
3	Differences in the concept of Project Management and Management in general. Project Management Parameters.		Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	-Briefing, - Discussion. 2 X 50		Material: Bibliography material : Koonz, et. al. 1984. Management. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.	5%

4	Project management stages, Some views on the stages of Project Management (MP)	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	-Lectures & questions and answers Guided reading Summarization of library materials. 2 X 50	Material: material Library: Jeff Davidson. The 10 Minute Guide to Project Management . Translation by Sisnuhadi. Ed. I, 2002. Yogyakarta: Andi.	5%
5	Principles of project planning and implementation.	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Briefing, - Discussion, - Result Report -Guided read 2 X 50	Material: Bibliography material: Reksohadiprodjo, S. 1983. Project Management. Yogyakarta: BPFE.	5%
6	Application of Project Management stages	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Briefings, - Workshops, - Group reports. 2 X 50	Material: Reference material : Richard, N. 2006. Project Management Step By Step. New York: Pearson	5%
7	Plan projects from the dimensions of Quality, Time and Cost	Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Briefings, - Workshops, - Result reports. 2 X 50	Material: Reference material : Richard, N. 2006. Project Management Step By Step. New York: Pearson	5%
8	Sub Summative Exam	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50	Material: uts material Reference: Koonz, et. al. 1984. Management. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.	15%
9	Use of Gant Chart and PERT/CPM as MP planning tools	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Briefings, - Workshops, - Result reports. 2 X 50	Material: Bibliography : Puxty, AG 1990. Organization and Management. London: Pitman.	5%
10	Practice applying the Gantt Chart for planning MP activities	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	-Briefing - Workshop - Group report 2 X 50		5%
11	PERT Application Exercise for MP Planning	Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Sda 2 X 50	Material: Library material: Soeharto. I. 1999. Project Management From Conceptual to Operational Volume I. Jakarta: Erlangga.	5%
12	Formation of the MP Organizational structure	Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Sda 2 X 50	Material: Bibliography material : Koonz, et. al. 1984. Management. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.	5%

13	Proposal Preparation	Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	- Lecture & question and answer - Discussion, - Practice preparing a 2 X 50 project proposal	Material: Bibliography : Randolph, WA and Posner, BZ 1998. Effective Project Planning & Management. Getting the Job Done. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.	5%
14	Implementing projects	Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	-Directing, - Role playing, 2 X 50		5%
15	Implementing projects	Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	-Directing, - Role playing, 2 X 50	Material: Reference material : Richard, N. 2006. Project Management Step By Step. New York: Pearson	5%
16	Completing/assessing results	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	-Briefing, - Discussion -2 X 50 project assessment exercise	Material: academic material Reference: Puxty, AG 1990. Organization and Management. London: Pitman.	15%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

	Evaluation i croontage recoupt case stady							
No	Evaluation	Percentage						
1.	Participatory Activities	50%						
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	30%						
3.	Portfolio Assessment	20%						
		100%						

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
 and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based
 on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
 unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.