



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Educational Sciences Bachelor of Education Management Study Program

Courses		CODE	ODE Course Family							Credit	Weig	ht	S	SEMES	TER	Co	mpilatio te	
Manaj. highe	er education	8620402083	L	Study Program Elect			ective	Course	es	T=2	P=0 E	ECTS=3	3.18	(6	Арі	ril 5, 2022	
AUTHORIZA	TION	SP Develop	er			C	ourse	Clust	er Coc	rdina	tor	9	Study F	Progra	m Coc	rdinato		
		Shelly Anda	Shelly Andari, S.Pd., M.Pd.					Di	Dr. Erny Roesminingsih, M.Si.					Syunu Trihantoyo, S.Pd., M.Pd.				
_earning nodel	Case Studies	;																
Program	PLO study p	rogram that is ch	arged to	o the	cours	se												
earning Outcomes PLO)	PLO-7	Able to practice t based on the res							mpete	ncy to	solve	proble	ems in t	he field	d of ed	ucation	nal mar	nagemen
,	PLO-10	Able to communi	cate bot	h writte	en and	l orall	y in ac	corda	nce wit	th aca	demic	values	s, norms	s and e	ethics			
	Program Ob	jectives (PO)																
	PO - 1	Utilize learning re													ıg skills	based	d on the	e concep
	PO - 2	Master the theorie	es/conce	epts of	Highe	r Edu	ıcation	Mana	gemer	nt in d	epth a	nd be	able to	apply t	hem a	ccordin	igly	
	PO - 3	Make the right o									ocessi	ng da	ta, and	being	able	to pro	vide g	uidance
	PO - 4	Responsible for Higher Education				nance	, agre	ement	with	group	collea	gues	by app	lying r	elevant	studie	es in t	he field
	PLO-PO Mat	rix		Higher Education Management.														
	PLO-PO Matrix																	
		P.O		PLO-	-7		PLO-	10	7									
		P.O PO-1		PLO-	-7		PLO-	10										
					-7		PLO-	10]									
		PO-1		1	-7		PLO-	10										
		PO-1 PO-2		· ·	-7		PLO-	10										
	PO Matrix at	PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4	earning	1		b-PO		10										
	PO Matrix at	PO-1 PO-2 PO-3	earning	1		b-PO		10										
	PO Matrix at	PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4	earning	1		b-PO		10		\	Week							
	PO Matrix at	PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4	earning	1		4		10	7	\\ 8	Week 9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	PO Matrix at	PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4		v v	e (Sul)		7	1	1	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	PO Matrix at	PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 the end of each leading process of the end of each leading proces	1	y y stag	e (Sul)		7	1	1	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	PO Matrix at	PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 the end of each letter pools PO-1	1	y y stag	e (Sul)	6		1	1	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
	PO Matrix at	PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 the end of each leteration in the policy of the pol	1	y y stag	e (Sul)	6		8	9				13	14	15	16
	PO Matrix at	PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 The end of each leading po-1 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3	1	y y stag	e (Sul)	6		8	9							
Short Course Description	This course e concept of hig education qua	PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 The end of each leading po-1 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3	1 v	stag	e (Sul	ucatio	5 v	6	rrent e	8 era. TI	9 his cougemen	urse d	iscusse ner edu	s seve	eral stu	dies wic mar	/hich inagem	nclude thent, high

- 1. Arwildayanto. 2012. Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perguruan Tinggi . Gorontalo: Ideas Publishing.
- 2. Dharaskar, R.V. 2014. Diviculties in Developing World Class University . Navi Mumbai: Shroff Publishers and Distributors.
- 3. Direkrorat Jendral Pendidikan Tinggi. 2010. Sistem Penjaminan Mutu Perguruan Tinggi (SPMPT). Jakarta: Ditjen Dikti, Depdiknas.
- 4. Dunn, W. 2003. Pengantar Analisis Kebijakan Publik (Edisi Kedua). Yogyakarta: Gadja Mada University Press.
- 5. Fattah, N. 2013. Analisis Kebijakan Pendidikan . Bandung: Rosdakarya.
- 6. Hasibuan, S.P. 2009. Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia . Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- 7. Higher Education Long Term Strategy (HELTS) IV Tahun 2003-2010.
- 8. Indrajit, R.E. 2004. Manajemen Perguruan Tinggi Modern . Jakarta: Yayasan Atma Jaya Jakarta.
- 9. Mangkunegara, Anwar Prabu. 2003. Perencanaan dan Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia. Bandung: PT Refika Aditam.
- 10. Munadi, M. 2011. Kebijakan Publik di Bidang Pendidikan . Yogyakarta: Ar-Ruzz Media.
- 11.. Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia Nomor 19 Tahun 2005 tentang Standar Nasional Pendidikan (SNP)
- 12.. Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 4 Tahun 2014 Tentang Penyelenggaraan Pendidikan Tinggi dan Pengelolaan Perguruan Tinggi .
- 13. . Sallis, E. 2002. Total Quality Management in Education . London: Kogan Page, Ltd.
- $14.\,.$ Scott, P. 2009. Higher Education Reform . London and New York: Falmer Press.
- 15.. Segers, M. 2003. Optimising New Modes of Assesment (In Search of Qualities a nd Standards). London: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Sonhadji, A. 2003. Modul Manajemen Strategik Formulasi dan Implementasi Strategi. Malang: Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Malang.
- 17.. Sonhadji, A. 2012. Manusia, Teknologi, dan Pendidikan : Menuju Peradaban Baru . Malang:UM Press.
- 18.. Sudiyono. 2004. Manajemen Pendidikan Tinggi . Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta.
- $19..\ Tamin,\ R.Z.\ 2010.\ Governance\ Perguruan\ Tinggi\ .\ Direktorat\ Kelembagaan\ dan\ Kerjasama\ Ditjen\ Pendidikan\ Tinggi\ .$
- 20.. Tim Dosen AP. 2003. Manajemen Pendidikan: Analisis Substantif dan Aplikasinya dalam Institusi Pendidikan . Malang: Penerbit Universitas Negeri Malang. Undang Undang Nomor 20 Tahun 2003 Tentang Sistem Pendidikan Nasional .

Supp	orters:			

Supporting lecturer

Supriyanto, S.Pd., M.Pd. Shelly Andari, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Ev	raluation	Help Lea Learning n Student Ass [Estimate	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students understand the lecture material and study contract for one semester	Students are able to understand lecture material and lecture contracts for one semester	Criteria: According to RPS Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion 2 X 50		Material: material 1 Reference: Sonhadji, A. 2012. Humans, Technology and Education: Towards a New Civilization. Malang: UM Press. Material: Material 1 Reference: Republic of Indonesia Government Regulation Number 19 of 2005 concerning National Education Standards (SNP)	5%
2	Students understand the concept of organizing higher education and managing higher education.	Students are able to understand the concept of organizing higher education and managing higher education.	Criteria: The assessment is divided into presentation scores and ability to ask and answer. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion, presentation of material 2 X 50		Material: Material 1 Reference: . Government Regulation Number 4 of 2014 concerning the Implementation of Higher Education and Management of Higher Education Institutions.	5%
3	Students understand the concept of organizing higher education and managing higher education.	Students are able to understand the concept of organizing higher education and managing higher education.	Criteria: The assessment is divided into presentation scores and ability to ask and answer. Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion, presentation of material 2 X 50		Material: Material 1 References: Fattah, N. 2013. Education Policy Analysis. Bandung: Rosdakarya.	5%

4	Students understand higher education human resource management.	Students are able to understand higher education human resource management	Criteria: The assessment is divided into presentation scores and ability to ask and answer Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Performance/performance Questions and answers Discussion and report on discussion results 2 X 50	Material: Material 1 Reference: . Sudiyono. 2004. Higher Education Management. Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta.	5%
5	Students understand higher education human resource management.	Students are able to understand higher education human resource management	Criteria: The assessment is divided into presentation scores and ability to ask and answer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Performance/performance Questions and answers Discussion and report on discussion results 2 X 50	Material: Material 1 Reference: Hasibuan, SP 2009. Human Resource Management. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%
6	Students understand the strategic management of higher education.	Students are able to understand strategic strategic at PT	Criteria: Presentation, ability to ask and answer questions Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Group discussion 2 X 50	Material: Material 3 References: Fattah, N. 2013. Education Policy Analysis. Bandung: Rosdakarya.	5%
7	Students understand the strategic management of higher education.	Students are able to understand strategic management at PT	Criteria: Presentation, ability to ask and answer questions Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Group discussion 2 X 50	Material: Material 3 Reference: Sallis, E. 2002. Total Quality Management in Education. London: Kogan Page, Ltd.	5%
8	Students understand the concept of higher education quality management	Students understand the concept of quality management at PT	Criteria: Presentation, ability to answer and ask questions Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: UAS Literature: . Scott, P. 2009. Higher Education Reform. London and New York: Falmer Press. Material: UAS Literature: . Segers, M. 2003. Optimizing New Modes of Assessment (In Search of Qualities and Standards). London: Kluwer Academic Publishers.	15%
9	Students are able to work on all the material for half a semester	Students are able to answer questions according to the established graduation standards	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do all the questions correctly. Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	written test 2 X 50	Material: material 5 References: . Munadi, M. 2011. Public Policy in the Education Sector. Yogyakarta: Ar- Ruzz Media.	5%
10	Students understand the management of supporting activities in higher education.	Students are able to understand the management of supporting activities in higher education.	Criteria: presentation, ability to ask questions and answer questions Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Discussion, problem solving 2 X 50	Material: material 10 References: Tamin, RZ 2010. Higher Education Governance. Directorate of Institutions and Cooperation, Directorate General of Higher Education.	5%

11	Students are able to understand the concepts of public service management and good governance in higher education	Students are able to understand the concepts of public service management and good governance in higher education	Criteria: The assessment is divided into presentation scores and ability to ask and answer Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Individual assignments 2 X 50	Material: material 10 Reference: Directorate General of Higher Education 2010. Higher Education Quality Assurance System (SPMPT). Jakarta: Directorate General of Higher Education, Ministry of National Education.	5%
12	Students are able to understand the concepts of public service management and good governance in higher education	Students are able to understand the concepts of public service management and good governance in higher education	Criteria: The assessment is divided into presentation scores and ability to ask and answer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Individual assignments 2 X 50	Material: material 10 References: . Sudiyono. 2004. Higher Education Management. Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta.	5%
13	Students are able to understand the concepts of public service management and good governance in higher education	Students are able to understand the concepts of public service management and good governance in higher education	Criteria: The assessment is divided into presentation scores and ability to ask and answer Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Individual assignments 2 X 50	Material: material 15 Reference: . Sonhadji, A. 2012. Humans, Technology and Education: Towards a New Civilization. Malang: UM Press.	5%
14	Students understand the global challenges facing universities today.	Students are able to understand the global challenges facing universities today.	Criteria: presentation, answering and asking skills Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Performance/performance Questions and answers Discussion and report on discussion results 2 X 50	Material: material 15 Reference: Sonhadji, A. 2003. Strategic Management Module Strategy Formulation and Implementation. Malang: Postgraduate Program, State University of Malang.	5%
15	Students understand the global challenges and issues faced by universities directly in the field	Students are able to understand the global challenges and issues faced by universities directly in the field	Criteria: Presentation, ability to answer and ask questions Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Performance/performance Questions and answers Discussion and report on discussion results 2 X 50		5%
16	Students are able to work on UAS questions	Do questions according to graduation standards	Criteria: Full marks if students are able to answer questions with descriptive, clear and systematic explanations and in accordance with the theories and policies used Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	written test 2 X 50	Material: UAS Literature: Mangkunegara, Anwar Prabu. 2003. Human Resources Planning and Development. Bandung: PT Refika Aditam.	15%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

_	Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study						
	No	Evaluation	Percentage				
	1.	Participatory Activities	50%				
Γ	2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	30%				
	3.	Portfolio Assessment	20%				
			100%				

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.