



References Main:

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Educational Sciences Bachelor of Education Management Study Program

		CODE			Cou	ırse F	amily		C	Credit	Weigl	ht	!	SEMEST	ER	Compi	latio
Educational	Supervision	86204031	15		Compulsory Study Program Subjects			7	=3 F	P=0 E	CTS=	4.77	3		May 9,	2023	
AUTHORIZA	TION	SP Develo	per		Pro	<del>gram x</del>	subjec		urse	Clust	er Coc	ordina	tor s	Study Program Coordinator			
			Dr. Nunuk Hariyati, S.Pd., M.Pd. ; Dr. Kaniati Amalia, M.Pd.; Shelly Andari, S.Pd., M.Pd.				Dr.	Dr. Erny Roesminingsih, M.Si			.Si	Syunu Trihantoyo, S.Pd., M.Pd.					
_earning nodel	Project Base	d Learning															
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-7	Able to practice the field of educational management competency to solve problems in the field of educational management based on the results of information and data analysis															
	PLO-9	Able to utilize tech	ble to utilize technology and information in problem solving efforts according to area of expertise														
	PLO-11	Able to demonstra	te a resp	onsible a	ttitude	and c	ollabo	rate in	acco	rdanc	e with	profes	sional	norms a	nd eth	ics	
	Program Ob	jectives (PO)															
	PO - 1	Utilize learning re concepts of inform	sources ation sys	and infor stems, ent	matio repre	n tech neursh	nology ip and	in ap	plyin ubsta	g ana	llytical mana	and p gemer	roblen nt	n solvinç	skill:	based	on tl
	PO - 2	Master the theory/education.	r the theory/concept of Educational Supervision in depth and be able to apply it according to needs in the field of tion.														
	PO - 3		the right decisions based on organizing, analyzing and processing data, and being able to provide guidance in ng various alternative solutions independently and in groups.														
	PO - 4	Responsible for self-learning performance, agreement with group colleagues by applying relevant studies in the field of Educational Supervision															
	PLO-PO Mat	trix															
		P.O		PLO-7		PLC	)-9		PLC	)-11							
				/													
		PO-1															
		PO-1 PO-2				•											
									•	,							
		PO-2		·						,							
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3	rning s		b-PO)					•							
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4	rning s		b-PO)												
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4	rning s	tage (Su	b-PO)	)			V	Veek							
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4	rning s		b-PO)		6	7			10	11	12	13	14	15 1	6
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4		tage (Su		5		7	V	Veek	10	11	12	13	14	15 1	6
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4  the end of each lea	1	tage (Su		)		7	V	Veek	10	11			14	-	6
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4  the end of each lea P.O PO-1	1	tage (Su		5		7	V	Veek	10	11			14	-	6
	PO Matrix at	PO-2 PO-3 PO-4  the end of each lea P.O PO-1 PO-2	1	tage (Su	4	5	6	7	V	Veek	10	11				/	6

- 1. Bafadal, I. 2003. Peningkatan Profesionalisme Guru Sekolah Dasar dalam Kerangka Manajemen Peningkatan Mutu Berbasis Sekolah . Jakarta: Bumi Aksara
- 2. Bernard, Jenine M. and Rodney K. Good Year. 1992. Fundamentals of Clinical Supervision . Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- 3. Burhanuddin, dkk. 2007. Supervisi Pendidikan dan Pengajaran: Konsep, Pendekatan, dan Penerapan Pembinaan Profesional . Malang: FIP Universitas Negeri Malang
- 4. Glickman, C.D. 1981 Developmental Supervision: Alternative for Helping Teachers Improve Instructions . Virginia, Alexandria:
- Imron, A. 2011. Supervisi Pembelajaran Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan . Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Marks, Sivo James R. 1985. Handbook of Educational Supervision: a Guide for Practitioner . Boston: Allyn Bacon, Inc.
- Neagley, Rose L. and Evan, N. 1980. Handbook for Effective Supervision of Instruction . Englewood Eliffs: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Olivia, Peter F. 1984. Supervision for Todays School . New York: Longman.
- Prasojo, D.L dan Sudiyono. 2011. Supervisi Pendidikan. Yogyakarta: Gava Media.
- 10. Sagala, S. 2012. Supervisi Pembelajaran dalam Profesi Pendidikan. Bandung: Alfabeta
- 11. Sahertian, A. P. 2008. Konsep Dasar dan Teknik Supervisi Pendidikan dalam Rangka Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta
- 12. Sergiovanni, T. and Starrat, R.J. 1983. Supervisions: Human Perspective . New York: Mc. Graw Hill Book Company.
- 13. Suhardan, D. 2014. Supervisi Profesional: Layanan dalam Meningkatkan Mutu Pembelajarandi Era Otonomi Daerah.
- 14. Tim Pengembang Bahan Ajar LPPKS. 2017. Supervisi Akademik dan Penilaian Kinerja Guru. Solo: LPPKS Indonesia.
- 15. Wiles, Kimball. 1987. Supervision for Better School . New York: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- 16. Artikel-artikel relevan yang dipublikasikan oleh jurnal internasional terindeks dan jurnal nasional terakreditasi.

## Supporters:

## Supporting lecturer

Dr. Nunuk Hariyati, S.Pd., M.Pd. Dr. Kaniati Amalia, M.Pd. Shelly Andari, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	ach learning tage		Lear Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [ References ]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>online</i> )	[ References ]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students know the objectives of educational supervision lectures. Students know the competencies that must be achieved in educational supervision lectures. Students make a lecture contract that is agreed with the lecturer. Students know the references that are relevant to the lecture.	1.Students can understand the study of lecture material which must be mastered well. 2.Students know the things that are agreed upon for the smooth running of lectures. 3.Students identify relevant sources or references.	Criteria: - Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures and questions and answers 3 X 50	Lectures and questions and answers 3 X 50	Material: Lecture contracts and an overview of Educational Supervision Library: Bernard, Jenine M. and Rodney K. Good Year. 1992. Fundamentals of Clinical Supervision. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.	5%

F							
2	Students are able to clearly illustrate the position of educational supervision in educational management or administration. Students are able to tell the development of educational supervision in a coherent manner.	1. Students can make inferences about school principal competency standards related to the implementation of supervision clearly. 2. Students can discuss the position of educational supervision in educational management or administration in an integrative manner. 3. Students can explain the background to the emergence of rational educational supervision. 4. Students can tell about the beginning of the concept of supervision to the concept of modern supervision systematically and systemically.	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers 3 X 50	Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers 3 X 50	Material: Educational supervision in educational management or administration Reference: Glickman, CD 1981. Developmental Supervision: Alternative for Helping Teachers Improve Instructions. Virginia, Alexandria: ASCD.	5%
3	Students are able to make inferences about the concept of educational supervision clearly.	1. Students are able to correctly identify keywords for the meaning of educational supervision put forward by experts and relevant legal and regulatory policies. 2. Students are able to generalize the objectives of implementing educational supervision clearly. 3. Students are able to discuss the principles of educational supervision by providing appropriate concrete examples.	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers 3 X 50	Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers	Material: Concept of educational supervision Reference: Glickman, CD 1981. Developmental Supervision: Alternative for Helping Teachers Improve Instructions. Virginia, Alexandria: ASCD.	5%

4	Students are able to abstract the function and role of educational supervision in the implementation of education in education a comprehensive manner.	1.Students are able to clearly explain the role and function of supervision to increase professionalism, increase the motivation of educators and education staff and improve the quality of learning. 2.Students are able to differentiate types of educational supervision according to their precise function and role.	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers 3 X 50	Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers	Material: Function and role of educational supervision Reference: Glickman, CD 1981. Developmental Supervision: Alternative for Helping Teachers Improve Instructions. Virginia, Alexandria: ASCD.	5%
5	Students classify techniques in educational supervision.	1.Students are able to identify individual techniques in educational supervision appropriately. 2.Students are able to identify group techniques in educational supervision appropriately. 3.Students are able to determine supervision techniques based on the cases given appropriately.	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers 3 X 50	Lectures, Discussions, Questions and Answers	Material: Techniques in educational supervision Reference: Glickman, CD 1981. Developmental Supervision: Alternative for Helping Teachers Improve Instructions. Virginia, Alexandria: ASCD.  Material: Techniques in educational supervision. References: Sergiovanni, T. and Starrat, RJ 1983. Supervisions: Human Perspective New York: Mc. Graw Hill Book Company.	5%
6	Students are able to describe the practice of techniques in educational supervision.	1.Students are able to identify individual technical practices in educational supervision appropriately. 2.Students are able to identify group technical practices in educational supervision appropriately. 3.Students are able to rationally relate the reasons for selecting supervision techniques based on conditions in the field.	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) 3 X 50	Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL)	Material: Practice of techniques in educational supervision. Reference: Wiles, Kimball. 1987. Supervision for Better Schools. New York: Prentice Hall, Inc.	5%

7	Students analyze supervision instruments and analyze issues/problems that occur in the educational supervision process.	1.Students are able to analyze educational supervision instruments 2.Students are able to prepare educational supervision instruments. 3.Students are able to analyze educational supervision issues/problems. 4.Students are able to provide solutions to educational supervision issues/problems.	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) 3 X 50	Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL)	Material: Supervision instruments References: Imron, A. 2011. Education Unit Level Learning Supervision. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%
8	Students master educational supervision conceptually.	1.Students can make inferences about school principal competency standards related to the implementation of supervision clearly. 2.Students can discuss the position of educational supervision in educational management or administration in an integrative manner. 3.Students can explain the background to the emergence of rational educational supervision. 4.Students can tell about the beginning of the concept of supervision systematically and systemically. 5.Students are able to correctly identify keywords for the meaning of educational supervision put forward by experts and relevant legal and regulatory policies. 6.Students are able to generalize the objectives of implementing educational supervision clearly. 7.Students are able to discuss the principles of educational supervision clearly. 8.Students are able to clearly explain the role	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) 3 X 50	Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL)	Material: Material for 1 semester References:	15%

		and function of supervision to increase professionalism, increase the motivation of educators and education staff and improve the quality of learning.  9. Students are able to differentiate types of educational supervision according to their precise function and role.  10. Students are able to identify individual techniques in educational supervision appropriately.  11. Students are able to identify group techniques in educational supervision appropriately.  12. Students are able to explain the scientific approach in learning supervision comprehensively.  13. Students are able to explain the scientific approach in learning supervision.  15. Students are able to explain artistic approaches in comprehensively.  15. Students are able to explain artistic approaches in comprehensively.  15. Students are able to explain artistic approaches in comprehensively.					
9	Educational supervision instrument assessment rubric	1.Students are able to develop individual supervision practice scenarios.     2.Students are able to develop group supervision practice scenarios.	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lecture and group discussion method 3 X 50	Lecture and group discussion methods	Material: educational supervision instruments Reference: Sagala, S. 2012. Learning Supervision in the Education Profession. Bandung: Alphabeta	5%
10	Students are able to plan and develop educational supervision scenarios in groups through independent study.	1.Students are able to plan and develop individual supervision practice scenarios. 2.Students are able to plan and develop group supervision practice scenarios.	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Group discussion, independent study 3 X 50	Group discussion, independent study	Material: Educational supervision Reference: Imron, A. 2011. Educational Unit Level Learning Supervision. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%

11	Students are able to plan and develop educational supervision scenarios in groups through independent study	1.Students are able to plan and develop individual supervision practice scenarios. 2.Students are able to plan and develop group supervision practice scenarios.	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Group discussion, independent study 3 X 50	Group discussion, independent study	Material: Educational supervision Reference: Sagala, S. 2012. Learning supervision in the educational profession. Bandung: Alphabeta	5%
12	1.Students are able to simulate at least one implementation of an educational supervision program (especially learning supervision) that has been planned. 2.Students are able to analyze the implementation of educational supervision programs through evaluation activities.	1.Students are able to demonstrate the implementation of an educational supervision program (especially learning) that has been planned effectively. 2.Students are able to evaluate the educational supervision program as a whole by paying attention to: 3 Supervision techniques - Supervision approaches - Views on educational supervision - Skills used in educational supervision - Instruments used in educational supervision	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Role Playing and FGD 3 X 50	Role Playing and FGD	Material: Educational supervision Reader: Prasojo, DL and Sudiyono. 2011. Educational Supervision Yogyakarta: Gava Media.	5%
13	1.Students are able to simulate at least one implementation of an educational supervision program (especially learning supervision) that has been planned. 2.Students are able to analyze the implementation of educational supervision programs through evaluation activities.	1. Students are able to demonstrate the implementation of an educational supervision program (especially learning) that has been planned effectively. 2. Students are able to evaluate the educational supervision program as a whole by paying attention to: 3 Supervision techniques - Supervision approaches - Views on educational supervision - Skills used in educational supervision - Instruments used in educational supervision	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Role Playing and FGD 3 X 50	Role Playing and FGD	Material: Educational supervision Reference: Sagala, S. 2012. Learning supervision in the educational profession. Bandung: Alphabeta	5%

14	Students are able to simulate types of observational educational supervision in groups. Students are able to analyze the implementation of educational supervision through evaluation activities.	1.Students are able to simulate types of observational educational supervision in groups. 2.Students are able to evaluate the educational supervision program as a whole by paying attention to: 3.Supervision techniques 4.Supervision approach 5.Views on educational supervision 6.Skills used in educational supervision 7.Instruments used in educational supervision	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Role Playing and FGD 3 X 50	Role Playing and FGD	Material: According to Library Topic:	5%
15	1.Students are able to simulate the type of lesson study educational supervision in groups. 2.Students are able to analyze the implementation of educational supervision through evaluation activities.	1.Students are able to simulate the type of lesson study educational supervision in groups. 2.Students are able to evaluate the educational supervision program as a whole by paying attention to: 3.Supervision techniques 4.Supervision approach 5.Views on educational supervision 6.Skills used in educational supervision 7.Instruments used in educational supervision	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Role Playing and FGD 3 X 50	Role Playing and FGD	Material: Evaluation of educational supervision References: Sergiovanni, T. and Starrat, RJ 1983. Supervisions: Human Perspective. New York: Mc. Graw Hill Book Company.	5%
16	Students master educational supervision, both at the conceptual and practical levels.	Students are able to answer questions that are conceptual and contextual (in the form of cases) correctly.	Criteria: Attached  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Role Playing and FGD 3 X 50	Role Playing and FGD	Material: material from meetings 1 - 15 Bibliography: Relevant articles published by indexed international journals and accredited national journals.	15%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	20%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	50%
3.	Practice / Performance	30%
		100%

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
   The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
  predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
  Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. **Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.